1	CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
2	
3	REGULAR MEETING
4	Thursday, December 2, 2004 9:08 A.M.
5	9.08 A.M.
6	HOLLWOOD DARK DAGE GOVERS
7	HOLLYWOOD PARK RACE COURSE Turf Club
8	Sunset Room, Fourth Floor 1050 South Prairie Avenue
9	Inglewood, California
10	
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	
14	
15	
16	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
17	JOHN C. HARRIS, Chairman
18	WILLIAM A. BIANCO, Commissioner
19	MARIE G. MORETTI, Commissioner
20	JERRY MOSS, Commissioner
21	RICHARD B. SHAPIRO, Commissioner
22	JOHN C. SPERRY, Commissioner
23	
24	Reported by: NEALY KENDRICK, CSR 11265
25	Job No.: 04-27042

1		A G E N D A	PAGE
2			
3		ion Items Discussion and action by the Board on the approval of the minutes of the regular	
4		meeting of September 15, 2004; October 5, 2004; and October 14, 2004.	6
5	2.	Presentation of the California Horse	
6		Racing Board Resolution to Roger Licht.	7
7	3.	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a	
8		Horse Racing Meeting of the Bay Meadows Racing Association (T), from February 2,	
9		2005, through May 8, 2005, inclusive.	8
10	4.	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for Approval to Conduct	
11		Advance Deposit Wagering of ODS Technologies, L.P. d/b/a TVG (ADW) for	
12		out-of-state multi-jurisdictional	
13		wagering hub, from January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2006.	19
14	5.	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct	
15		Advance Deposit Wagering of YouBet.Com, Inc. (ADW), for California multi-	
16		<pre>jurisdictional wagering hub and approval for out-of-state multi-jurisdictional</pre>	
17		wagering hub, from January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2006.	56
18	6	Discussion and action by the Board on the	
19	0.	Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering of XpressBet,	
20		Inc. (ADW), for California multi- jurisdictional wagering hub from January	
21		1, 2005, through December 31, 2006.	63
22	7.	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct	
23		Satellite Wagering of the Bay Meadows Racing Association (S).	77
24		<u>-</u>	
25			

1		A G E N D A (continued)	
2	8.	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct	PAGE
3		Satellite Wagering of the San Mateo County Fair (S).	77
4	۵	Discussion and action by the Board on the	
5	٦.	request by the Los Angeles Turf Club to implement the 10-day veterinarian's list	
6		for the 2004-2005 race meet, for any horse scratched after scratch time (late	
7		scratches) except for horses scratched at the gate.	120
8	1.0	Discussion on the status of Total Carbon	
9		Dioxide Testing and related issues and measures undertaken to complete the	
10		rule-making process.	124
11	11.	Discussion and action by the Board on the request of the California Thoroughbred	
12		Horsemen's Foundation, Inc., to approve two (2) persons to its Board of Directors	
13		pursuant to CHRB Rule 2049.	144
14	12.	Public hearing on the adoption of the following proposed amendments:	145
15		A. CHRB Rule 1843.5 - Medication, Drugs and Other Substances Permitted After Entry	146
16		in a race. B. CHRB Rule 1844 - Authorized Medication.	146 148
17		C. CHRB Rule 1845 - Authorized Bleeder Medication.	154
18	1 2	Report on the current workers' compensation,	
19	13.	health insurance and catastrophic insurance package provided to California jockeys by	
20		the Jockeys' Guild and the California racing associations.	165
21			103
22	14.	Discussion and action by the Board on the matter of the Board forming an ad hoc committee to study, examine, and recommend	
23		measures to improve California horse	106
24		racing's popularity and performance.	196
25			

1		A G E N D A (continued)
2	15.	PAGE Staff report on the following concluded race
3		meetings: NA - 203 A. Bay Meadows Operating Company at Bay Meadows from September 3 through November
4		7, 2004.
5		B. Oak Tree Racing Association at Santa Anita from September 29 through October 31, 2004.
6		C. Fresno District Fair at Fresno from October 6 through October 17, 2004.
7	16.	Election of CHRB Chairman and Vice-Chairman. 203
8		
9		mittee Report Report of the Ad Hoc Security Committee. Commissioner William Bianco, Chairman 124
10	0+h	
11	Oth	er Business
12	18.	General Business: Communications, reports, requests for future action of the Board. NA
13		
14	19.	Old Business: Issues that may be raised for discussion purposes only, which have already been brought before the Board. NA
15		arready been broagne before the board.
16	20.	Executive session: For the purpose of receiving advice from counsel,
17		considering pending litigation, reaching
18		decisions on administrative licensing and disciplinary hearings, and personnel matters, as authorized by Section 11126
19		of the Government Code. 6, 64 A. Personnel - Closed session for the purpose
20		of considering the appointment of an Executive Director pursuant to
21		Government Code Section 11126(a).
22		B. Board may convene an Executive Session to consider any of the attached pending litigation.
23		C. The Board may also convene an Executive Session to consider any of the
24		attached pending administrative
25		licensing and disciplinary hearings.

- 1 INGLEWOOD, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2004
- 2 9:08 A.M.

3

- 4 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: The meeting will
- 5 now come to order. This is a meeting of the --
- 6 regular meeting of the California Horse Racing Board,
- 7 Thursday, December 2, at Hollywood Park Racecourse.
- Present at today's meeting are John --
- 9 Chairman John Harris, Commissioner William Bianco,
- 10 Commissioner Marie Moretti, and Commissioner Richard
- 11 Shapiro and Commissioner John Sperry.
- 12 Before we go on to regular business,
- 13 we ask that those of you who are here to testify will
- 14 state your name and organization and, if you can,
- 15 give a business card to our court reporter. And so I
- 16 welcome you to today's meeting. And I now turn the
- 17 meeting over to Chairman John Harris.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: I'd like to welcome everybody.
- 19 I'm pleased that you could be here. We need to have
- 20 a short executive session. We could do Roger's
- 21 presentation before that unless if Roger has anything
- 22 he needs to get to. But if not, we'd like to break
- 23 for about 20 minutes and come back. So is that okay?
- MR. LICHT: Well, I'm staying anyway.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: You're staying? Okay. You can

- 1 think of a speech or something.
- Well, Jerry's not here anyway. So why
- 3 don't we go ahead and adjourn, do the executive
- 4 session? We'll catch Jerry. So we'll be back in
- 5 about 20, 25 minutes.
- 6 (The Board adjourns to executive
- 7 session: 9:10 10:02 A.M.)
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Let's get started. Sorry for
- 9 the delays.
- 10 We're back to our regular meeting
- 11 after going into closed session for the -- do the
- 12 items on that agenda. The first item here is the
- 13 approval of the minutes of September 15, October 5,
- 14 and October 14. Any audience or Commissioners have
- 15 any changes or amendments to that?
- 16 (No audible response.)
- 17 CHAIR HARRIS: Hearing none, will someone
- 18 move?
- 19 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: I'll move approval, Mr.
- 20 Chairman.
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: Second?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor?
- 24 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: Approved.

- 1 The next item on the agenda is
- 2 something that is very, very important to me
- 3 personally. I'm very close to Roger Licht. I'm
- 4 going to present the Resolution of the Board for his
- 5 service.
- 6 Roger? There he is.
- 7 MR. LICHT: Still here.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: I don't think I'll read the
- 9 whole thing because what I'd just like to say is that
- 10 Roger was a very, very important part of the Board.
- 11 During his term of service, he contributed a lot. He
- 12 really cares about racing, people in racing; and he
- 13 was always there. We probably e-mail back and forth
- 14 and call back and forth every few days on some issue.
- 15 He tried to move things forward.
- 16 Things didn't always happen the way we'd like. But
- 17 Roger is a real asset to the horse racing industry.
- 18 MR. LICHT: Thanks a lot, John. I appreciate
- 19 it.
- 20 (Applause.)
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: Let's see. Do we have a -- are
- 22 we going to sing -- what happened to our --
- 23 Yeah. Rod, do you want to do that at
- 24 the end of the meeting or shall we -- we ought to --
- MR. BLONIEN: Why not get it over with now?

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: Let's get it over with. Yeah.
- 2 This is a tradition for some of you who may not have
- 3 experienced it. The basically --
- 4 MR. BLONIEN: Well, Roger, needless to say, we
- 5 certainly wish you well in your future endeavors and
- 6 thank you for your years of service to the horse
- 7 racing industry and all that you did to move things
- 8 forward.
- 9 And with that, I would like to say,
- 10 both to you and your wife, "Happy trails to you until
- 11 we meet again. Happy trails to you. Keep smiling
- 12 until then. Happy trails to you until we meet
- 13 again."
- 14 (Applause.)
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: We'll hopefully see a lot of
- 16 Roger and "Mary Lou" (phonetic).
- 17 The next issue is discussion and
- 18 action by the Board on an application for a license
- 19 to conduct a horse racing meeting of the Bay Meadows
- 20 Racing Association from February 2 through May 8.
- 21 MS. NOBLE: Pat Noble, CHRB staff.
- 22 Bay Meadows Racing Association is a
- 23 new association. They filed their app to race 72
- 24 days. And they've made a change to that. Now they
- 25 want to race 71 days and just simulcast on Wednesday

- 1 April 20, due to Hollywood Park not being open. They
- 2 propose to race 611 races, which averages 8.6 races
- 3 per day. They'll be racing five days a week,
- 4 Wednesday through Sunday; 8 races on Wednesday,
- 5 Thursday, and Friday; 9 or 10 on Saturday, Sunday,
- 6 holidays, and days of special interest.
- 7 Their post time will be 12:45 P.M.
- 8 through April 18, with the exception of Sunday,
- 9 February 6, when they'll have an 11:15 A.M. post.
- 10 Then they'll go to a 1:05 P.M. post April 23 through
- 11 the end of the meet. Fridays -- February 4; April 8,
- 12 15, 22, and 29 -- will have a 7:20 P.M. post.
- 13 Items still needed to complete the
- 14 application is just the Thoroughbred horsemen's
- 15 agreement. We've received the fire clearance and the
- 16 workers' comp.
- 17 Staff recommends the Board approve the
- 18 application, conditioned upon receiving the
- 19 Thoroughbred agreement.
- 20 And, additionally, Bay Meadows
- 21 Racecourse does have not a covered receiving barn.
- 22 Staff recommends the Board advise Bay Meadows Racing
- 23 Association to have a covered racing barn in place
- 24 before their September 3, 2005, race meet.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: Any items or questions from the

- 1 audience or the Commission on this application?
- 2 MR. COUTO: Drew Couto, Thoroughbred Owners of
- 3 California.
- 4 I'd like to advise the Commission that
- 5 there is a horsemen's agreement signed with Bay
- 6 Meadows. So that will be provided to the Board
- 7 following the meeting. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: I'm not sure if Santa Anita
- 9 plans to do late afternoon racing on Friday evenings
- 10 and, if they do, if Bay Meadows was planning to do
- 11 that also or just go with their regular program.
- MS. THURMAN: Bernie Thurman, from Bay Meadows
- 13 Racing Association.
- 14 That was the purpose of the Friday
- 15 twilight cards. We'll try to coordinate with both
- 16 Hollywood Park, when they run on evenings Friday and
- 17 the two Fridays that Santa Anita will be racing in
- 18 the afternoon so we can dovetail those post time
- 19 schedules together.
- 20 CHAIR HARRIS: Oh, okay. What you're doing is
- 21 making yours an evening card. I see where you're at.
- MS. THURMAN: Correct. We did want to change
- 23 one post time, which was Super Bowl Sunday. We would
- 24 like to go at 11:15 to coordinate with Santa Anita,
- 25 which will be going at 11:00, first post.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. Drew --
- Oh, Norm Towne?
- 3 MR. TOWNE: Norm Towne, representing the San
- 4 Mateo County Fair. Just want to clarify that the
- 5 granting of a license here does not impact Items 7
- 6 and 8 on the agenda. And if it does, we'd like to
- 7 speak to that.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: I think -- unless there's an
- 9 objection, I will think we will cover 7 and 8
- 10 together and address those at that point.
- 11 MR. TOWNE: Thank you.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I have a couple
- 13 questions, please. As I understand it, this is a new
- 14 racing association. And can you tell me who "Bay
- 15 Meadows Main Track Investors" is, please?
- MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Shapiro, my name is Jack
- 17 Liebau. I'm the president of Bay Meadows Racing
- 18 Association.
- "Bay Meadows Main Track Investors" is
- 20 a real estate partnership that is funded by a number
- 21 of pension plans across the country. The largest is
- 22 in the State of Pennsylvania. And the state fund is
- 23 the largest investor in that.
- 24 The general partner in the fund is
- 25 called "Stockbridge Partners." The person who heads

- 1 Stockbridge Partners is one "Terry Fancher," who used
- 2 to head the real estate department of PaineWebber and
- 3 at one time was the person that was ultimately in
- 4 charge of the operation of Bay Meadows when
- 5 PaineWebber owned Bay Meadows.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And is it -- does Bay
- 7 Meadows Main Track Investors -- are they thinking
- 8 long-term of maintaining this facility as a racing
- 9 facility?
- 10 MR. LIEBAU: I don't know if anything is
- 11 forever. They are in process of seeking entitlements
- 12 for the property. As I'm sure you understand, there
- is a problem in getting entitlements, especially in
- 14 highly dense urban areas.
- Those entitlements are proceeding.
- 16 They have been proceeding probably since 2000. And I
- 17 don't know if we are -- if they are any closer to 'em
- 18 than they were then. There, of course, are concerns
- 19 that neighborhood groups have raised about density,
- 20 about traffic, things of those -- that nature.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: The reason I'm asking
- 22 is that, with the declining of on-track attendance
- 23 and so forth, what I'm trying to determine is whether
- 24 this new association is going to be investing and
- 25 making any improvements into the facility to attract

- 1 more on-track attendance and what steps are being
- 2 taken to do that.
- 3 MR. LIEBAU: With respect to that, I would
- 4 like to proudly point out that, in the spring meet at
- 5 Bay Meadows, on-track attendance was up 7 percent.
- 6 There was a decline in this last meet. I can assure
- 7 you that the Bay Meadows Racing Association's budget
- 8 will exceed -- the marketing budget will exceed that
- 9 of the spring meet, which was highly successful and
- 10 was, I think, one of the first meets in California,
- 11 other than Del Mar --
- 12 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Is the microphone
- 13 not working?
- MR. LIEBAU: I'm sorry.
- 15 -- was one of the first meets in
- 16 California, other than Del Mar, that showed an uptake
- 17 of that magnitude. That was the spring meet. We're
- 18 certainly committed to make the best of it.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Do you plan to do the
- 20 bicarb testing like other tracks are doing? Are you
- 21 instituting a program?
- MR. LIEBAU: That is included in our
- 23 horsemen's agreement.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: How extensive is it?

- 1 MR. LIEBAU: I think that we certainly aren't
- 2 going to test every horse. But it will be on a
- 3 random basis. And we are open to suggestions from
- 4 the California Horse Racing Board as to the number
- 5 that should be tested.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, if I can address
- 7 that, I'm very pleased to see the press release that
- 8 Santa Anita put out today where they're going to be
- 9 testing all horses, is my understanding of it. And
- 10 they're also going be implementing a penalty or
- 11 detention barn -- prerace detention barn.
- 12 Is Bay Meadows prepared to do the same
- 13 as Santa Anita is proposing to do at their upcoming
- 14 meeting?
- MR. LIEBAU: With respect to having a
- 16 detention barn? Is that your question?
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: My question --
- 18 MR. LIEBAU: I'm sorry. I don't have the
- 19 benefit of their press release so --
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It's my understanding
- 21 that Santa Anita is going to institute the
- 22 continuation of testing that's currently being done,
- 23 which is testing all horses for bicarb. And it's my
- 24 further understanding that they will be instituting a
- 25 policy which would include setting aside a barn as a

- 1 prerace detention barn for any horses that come up
- 2 positive or any trainers' horses that come up
- 3 positive.
- 4 If that's not correct, I hope somebody
- 5 will correct me.
- 6 MR. COUTO: Drew Couto, Thoroughbred Owners of
- 7 California.
- 8 The Bay Meadows agreement includes
- 9 language identical to that we crafted with Santa
- 10 Anita; so the same provisions that Santa Anita
- 11 pioneered, I think, will be included -- are included,
- 12 in fact, in the Bay Meadows agreement. And they will
- 13 be maintaining an area for the detention of horses
- 14 who do come up with a positive.
- MR. LIEBAU: One thing with respect to
- 16 security: I might add that we take over the facility
- on January 1. It's our plan to put in surveillance
- 18 cameras in the barns. We have somewhat of an
- 19 advantage over the other tracks in California in that
- 20 we have five big barns; and so the surveillance
- 21 cameras can be placed so that you're going to have
- 22 surveillance over most of the barn area.
- 23 We intend to sort of start out with
- 24 the "Barn 1," which is the barn that most of the
- 25 shipped -- "ship-in" horses are in. And probably

- 1 over 50 percent of our horses that run daily are
- 2 shipped into that barn. And we would hope that we
- 3 would learn from that, as far as placement and the
- 4 cameras that are employed and things like that.
- 5 But we certainly are committed to
- 6 putting in surveillance cameras and to increase our
- 7 security.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Are the ship-in
- 9 horses -- it's my understanding that you have 900
- 10 stalls and a thousand are used over at Golden Gate.
- 11 Are the ship-in -- when do the ship-in horses arrive?
- 12 Do they arrive at least 24 hours in advance of their
- 13 race to be located in that barn?
- MR. LIEBAU: No. Under the -- the CHRB
- 15 regulations require them to be in prior to the -- to
- 16 them to being treated by "Lasix" (phonetic). And I
- don't remember the exact time. But I mean it's,
- 18 like -- it's, like, 10:30 or something like that.
- 19 But they are coming from an approved
- 20 auxiliary facility. It's not like Kentucky where
- 21 horses might be shipping in from farms; or also
- 22 that's a practice in Florida.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, if I understood
- 24 the gentleman in the back -- and I didn't catch his
- 25 name --

- 1 MR. LIEBAU: Drew Couto --
- 2 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Oh, Drew? Okay.
- 3 -- I simply want to make sure that it
- 4 will be Bay Meadows Racing Association or the name of
- 5 your organization --
- 6 MR. LIEBAU: That's correct.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- okay -- that will,
- 8 in fact, be instituting the same policy that will be
- 9 instituted down here. Whether or not the horsemen's
- 10 agreement says it can be done, I want to just hear
- 11 that it will be done.
- MR. LIEBAU: We're committed to that.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: It might not exactly mirror it
- 15 but the, you know, probably --
- MR. LIEBAU: Not knowing what it is, but I
- 17 mean let's -- could we make this undertaking that we
- 18 will work with staff and satisfy staff as to what the
- 19 process is? I mean I'm not acquainted with exactly
- 20 what Santa Anita's doing. So I think that, if you
- 21 would delegate that to staff, we would work with
- 22 them.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: I commend you upon that. The
- 24 camera idea is excellent up there because it does
- 25 lends itself to a camera. We're going to have a

- 1 Board meeting up there sometime this spring and be a
- 2 good opportunity for us to get a report from you on
- 3 how it's working and different instances that it's
- 4 helped you.
- 5 Any other issues for the Bay Meadows
- 6 application?
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chairman, the only
- 8 other thing I note is that the simulcast organization
- 9 is proposed to be Northern California Off-Track
- 10 Wagering. I assume that's going to come up in a
- 11 later issue. We're not going to discuss that
- 12 aspect --
- MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Shapiro, that stands for
- 14 "NOTWINC," which is the entity that is responsible
- 15 for all of the satellite wagering in Northern
- 16 California as far as --
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: That is the existing --
- 18 MR. LIEBAU: Right. Down here, there's an
- 19 organization called "SCOTWINC." Up there, it's
- 20 NOTWINC.
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: That's a separate issue from --
- MR. LIEBAU: I won't go into what we would
- 23 call it if we merged, if it --
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: Do you have a head-on camera
- 25 for your turf course?

- 1 MS. THURMAN: I believe we've got one placed.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Good.
- 3 Any other issues on this application?
- 4 (No audible response.)
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, best wishes for a good
- 6 meet. And we'll hear from you a little later.
- 7 MR. LIEBAU: Thank you very much.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. Oh, yeah. Thanks for
- 9 that. Is there a motion to approve Item 3?
- 10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll move to approve
- 11 it, subject to the discussions that we had with
- 12 respect to security and surveillance.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: Second.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor?
- 15 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: The third is discussion and
- 17 action by the Board on the application for approval
- 18 to conduct advance deposit wagering of ODS
- 19 Technologies -- TVG -- for out-of-state
- 20 multijurisdictional race wagering hub from January 1,
- 21 '05, through December 31, '06.
- MS. NOBLE: Pat Noble, CHRB staff.
- 23 TVG has filed their application for
- 24 two-year approval. They will provide advance deposit
- 25 wagering services 365 days a year, 24 hours a day.

- 1 They're providing services to
- 2 Churchill Downs at Hollywood Park, Del Mar
- 3 Thoroughbred Club at Del Mar, Los Alamitos Quarter
- 4 Horse Racing Association at Los Alamitos Racecourse,
- 5 L.A. County Fair at Fairplex, and Oak Tree Racing
- 6 Association at Santa Anita Park.
- 7 The Thoroughbred horsemen's agreement
- 8 has not been received. Staff recommends the Board
- 9 approve the application, conditioned upon receiving
- 10 the Thoroughbred horsemen's agreement.
- 11 CHAIR HARRIS: I thought they were also doing
- 12 something with Bay Meadows; is that correct?
- MR. HINDMAN: Good morning, Commissioner --
- 14 Commissioners. John Hindman from TVG --
- 15 H-i-n-d-m-a-n.
- We are -- it happened after this
- 17 application was filed so -- but we do plan to.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. Is there a second?
- 19 Any discussion on this issue?
- Go ahead.
- 21 MR. COUTO: Drew Couto, again. Thoroughbred
- 22 Owners of California.
- I'd like to advise the Board that,
- 24 last evening, we reached agreement with TVG. So
- 25 there will be a horsemen's agreement coming shortly.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: When those agreements come
- 3 through on these horsemen's agreements, it would be
- 4 nice if the Board was informed or mailed the
- 5 agreement just so we know what happened 'cause so
- 6 often, you know, these things come up and they're
- 7 sort of pending and then they finally happen.
- 8 MR. COUTO: Mr. Chairman, we'd like to do
- 9 that, but unfortunately it took us until 9:10 last
- 10 night to get the agreement. But we got it done.
- 11 MR. O'HARA: Chairman Harris?
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. O'HARA: We put together some Power Point
- 14 slides. We can do it now, if you'd like.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: I think that would be good.
- 16 Yeah. This is the what? You're going into your
- 17 third year now or fourth year?
- MR. O'HARA: Going into our fourth year.
- 19 The slides take a few minutes, if
- 20 you'd like --
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: Why don't you take about five
- 22 minutes?
- MR. O'HARA: With me, I have Tony Allevato,
- 24 who is our Executive Vice President of Programming,
- 25 and also "Dimitri Pomerov" (phonetic), who runs our

- 1 web site and our web business.
- 2 So what we have is a couple slides
- 3 just telling you what we're up to and how things are
- 4 going and what we're planning to do, going forward.
- 5 And then we have a short 2-minute tape. So all in,
- 6 it's about 8 minutes' worth of information. And I'll
- 7 run through -- try to move quite quickly. Thank you.
- 8 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Identify yourself.
- 9 MR. O'HARA: Yes. Ryan O'Hara, President of
- 10 TVG.
- 11 First slide. So, first, what we're
- 12 trying to do in California -- and all these things
- 13 are things we're doing and that we want to keep
- 14 getting better and better at. First, we think we're
- 15 delivering quality television programming. As a
- 16 television company, that's one of our main goals and
- 17 the things we spend our effort and resources on.
- We've driving distribution. We'll
- 19 have a couple slides on that. We're televising those
- 20 California races, which we're proud to say. We're
- 21 leading California in ADW handle, which is another
- 22 one of our goals.
- We're returning a lot of revenue to
- 24 our track partners and their horsemen. And
- 25 self-serving, although I think it's true, we have a

- 1 great hard-working staff that's trying to make this
- 2 business and this industry better.
- 3 As far as results, we're now in 13.3
- 4 million households. I'll show you, later, the
- 5 growth. We do 4,300 races a year for California. We
- 6 have 56 percent of the market share in California.
- 7 And we've returned over 44 million to the industry,
- 8 which we're proud of. And we have over a hundred and
- 9 seventy- five California-based employees.
- 10 With that, Tony's going to show you a
- 11 quick sampling of some of the California racing we've
- 12 covered most recently, a look at some promos we're
- doing to promote people to sign up and to bet with us
- 14 and then a new --
- 15 (Video shown.)
- MR. O'HARA: Thanks, Tony.
- 17 So we have about 10 more slides.
- Tony, why don't you go through these
- 19 rather quickly?
- 20 MR. ALLEVATO: Tony Allevato, Senior Vice
- 21 President and Executive Producer, TVG.
- We set out with TVG, five years ago,
- 23 to become the ESPN of horse racing. And hopefully
- 24 we're on the path for that. We deliver eight -- up
- 25 to eight live races an hour, 14 hours a day, 7 days a

- 1 week. We're the only network in the country where
- 2 you can catch a live sporting event 14 hours a day.
- 3 We're proud of that.
- 4 TVG is all over the place. We're
- 5 behind the scenes at all the major racetracks,
- 6 covering major races worldwide and bringing fans
- 7 real-time odds, track conditions, and all the
- 8 handicapping information they need to make a wager.
- 9 But more important than that, we're
- 10 proud of the fact that we present the industry as a
- 11 sport, not just from the gambling end of it.
- 12 Everybody here, I think, is pretty
- 13 familiar with our program, "The Works," which takes
- 14 people behind the scenes to see all the workouts for
- 15 the two biggest events in the horse racing -- the
- 16 Derby and the Breeders' Cup.
- We also covered the sales. We, just
- 18 last month, covered the sale of Smarty Jones's dam
- 19 for \$5 million. That was live on TVG, which was
- 20 pretty exciting. We're quite proud of the quarters,
- 21 which is nightly from Los Alamitos.
- In fact, next week, for the third
- 23 consecutive year, on Wednesday afternoon we'll be
- 24 televising the post-position draw for the Champion of
- 25 Champions and the Los Al Million, live on Fox

- 1 SportsNet 2. That Saturday -- that Friday, we'll be
- 2 live from the track during the Los Al Million.
- And on Saturday, we're doing a
- 4 three-hour telecast on the Champion of Champions.
- 5 It'll be on Fox SportsNet 2. The final hour of that
- 6 show will go out on Fox Sports New York, Fox
- 7 Southwest, Fox South, and Sunshine Network.
- And then next year, we're going to be
- 9 introducing some new special programs. One of 'em is
- 10 going to be called "Early Birds." It's kind of,
- 11 like, the view of horse racing or you'll have
- 12 roundtable discussion early in the morning, kind of
- 13 previewing what's coming up throughout the day -- and
- 14 that will be a five-day-a-week show -- as well as
- 15 "The Tip Sheet," which is kind of a weekend preview
- 16 show and possibly a weekend stakes recap.
- 17 In addition to that, we're all
- 18 familiar with the major events. And we cover from
- 19 the local tracks and the Derby, the Belmont, Triple
- 20 Crown and our worldwide telecast of the Arc de
- 21 Triomphe and the Hong Kong Cup.
- 22 Recently we've made some changes with
- 23 our on-air product. We're trying to work closer with
- 24 Fox to enhance our product. If you look at our
- 25 ticker, we've changed that 'cause one of the

- 1 problems -- we've gotten complaints about it in the
- 2 past -- when you're watching TVG, it's hard to tell
- 3 what's coming up next.
- 4 So a lot of times, there are so many
- 5 races that we'll show, if you're planning on betting
- 6 a race from Belmont when you're going into the gate
- 7 with Churchill, you might not be able to make a
- 8 wager. Now, we've added the bottom line to the
- 9 ticker that tells people exactly how many minutes
- 10 there are to each race that's coming up.
- In far right corner, we've got a
- 12 "call" that actually lets people know if there's a
- 13 Pick 6 carryover, guaranteed Pick 4, or just a
- 14 reminder of how people can open a account.
- MR. O'HARA: So quickly, our results so far --
- 16 we're up 47 percent. We've added about a million
- 17 households. And then I mentioned the market share
- 18 earlier.
- 19 If you look at the handle graphics,
- 20 it's a obviously a good-looking curve. And we didn't
- 21 complete this year because of financial-disclosure
- 22 issues with TV Guide. But if you projected that out,
- 23 some people would say we have a three -- 300 million
- 24 mark.
- Next one is on distribution. It's the

- 1 same kind of curve. We're as good as our
- 2 distribution. So we spend a lot of time convincing
- 3 very tough cable operators why they should carry TVG
- 4 when they have hundreds of channels to choose from.
- 5 And we've been really successful, in the last 18
- 6 months, doubling in size. And we look forward to
- 7 continuing to do that, going forward.
- 8 We're also shown on Fox Sports. And
- 9 we're able to beam the signal out. Our partners are
- 10 all the usual suspects. And this year, we closed a
- 11 large one with Comcast that's just starting to roll
- 12 out. So in San Francisco and Fresno, we're getting
- 13 more distribution and in Chicago, Florida, New York.
- 14 And we'll continue to see that curve on distribution
- 15 really spike.
- This year, the category was up, which
- 17 was healthy for everybody -- 28 percent growth
- 18 overall is a good thing. I think, long term, the
- 19 category growing is real important in any industry
- 20 and especially in this. And we're proud that our
- 21 market share has also accelerated faster than the
- 22 category.
- 23 This year, handle -- a hundred
- 24 sixty-five million in California. We've returned,
- 25 believe it or not, 22 million back to the tracks and

- 1 their horsemen. And we pay back, you know, a high
- 2 yield. Most people are betting through the Internet.
- 3 And then about a quarter of the people bet through
- 4 the interactive voice-response system. They really
- 5 like those systems. And we have state of the art in
- 6 both.
- 7 If you look at our track -- our
- 8 payments back to the tracks, I picked our main, you
- 9 know, exclusive partners. Hollywood Park -- we've
- 10 had a nice run going from 4 million to 5 million to
- 11 6.6, so far, with the long meet still in front of
- 12 you. So that looks like further growth.
- 13 Del Mar puts on a phenomenal product.
- 14 And together we're growing that business.
- 15 Fairplex as well. You see a nice
- 16 growth trajectory, which we like.
- 17 Chilly and the horsemen at Oak Tree do
- 18 a wonderful job. And we're able to take that out to
- 19 people. And that's through November.
- 20 And then Los Alamitos, you know -- the
- 21 timing of their product really works well for us too.
- 22 It's prime time. And our fans really like it. They
- 23 like the fast pace and the short races and the great
- 24 product that the management and the horsemen put on
- 25 down there. So we're doing quite well there for them

- 1 and us.
- 2 As far as some people have asked me,
- 3 "Are these people new to the track or outside of the
- 4 track?" This an interesting statistic that I put
- 5 together yesterday. If you look at where people are
- 6 betting -- for instance, at Hollywood Park in '02,
- 7 about 68 percent of the bettors were outside, were
- 8 more than 20 miles away from Hollywood Park.
- 9 And that's actually increased. So as
- 10 our distribution has grown, we've gotten to places
- 11 beyond the core track areas. We're seeing the reach
- 12 of the sport go to new places and really drive those
- 13 areas for some people that might not drive 30, 40
- 14 miles to go to the track more than once a month.
- When you think about the
- 16 marketplace -- we get myopic thinking about the horse
- 17 racing industry -- but really it's a big bad world
- 18 out there. I think, on the television side, ESPN Fox
- 19 SportsNet and these niche networks -- like, the NFL
- 20 network, Golf channel and other networks -- are
- 21 really fighting for the consumers' attention and
- 22 time.
- 23 And that's who we're competing with to
- 24 try to get the consumers with these other, you know,
- 25 pretty powerful networks. So that's why our product

- 1 needs to be really good.
- 2 And on the gambling side, same as the
- 3 industry overall, Indian gaming gives people
- 4 opportunities to do different types of gaming. The
- 5 casinos, we see as competitors. The lottery is a
- 6 competitor for some of the smaller players. And then
- 7 offshore is a horrible, horrible situation; and it
- 8 affects us all.
- 9 So to finish, you saw -- this is one
- 10 of our spots getting people to sign up. We did
- 11 another one -- and we spent some good money on
- 12 this -- to show people actually how to bet, make it
- 13 simple, and show 'em how easy and fun it can be.
- We use the value of Fox and "News
- 15 Core" (phonetic) and TV Guide to help push our
- 16 product and our partners. So on this, we're able to
- 17 get the cover of TV Guide magazine for the Derby,
- 18 which is fantastic. And then we put in a TVG Derby
- 19 party guide -- told you how to make mint "jubilees"
- 20 and other things like that and give you incentive to
- 21 sign up.
- 22 Lastly, we promote out to our
- 23 partners -- the cable and satellite operators. So
- 24 our fifth anniversary was in August. And we'd say to
- 25 them, "Five years leading the field and we're just

- 1 getting going."
- 2 Same with broadcasting cable, which is
- 3 for other folks. This is a Breeders' Cup ad we did.
- 4 "If you can't go to the Breeders' cup, bet with us."
- 5 We're buying local media, when we can afford it, to
- 6 really tell people we're on air and to come visit us.
- 7 And then the final last two on here --
- 8 the next thing we're doing is interactive television,
- 9 where you can actually bet with the remote. And that
- 10 will be coming in June, 2005.
- 11 Before I joined here, I was in London
- 12 with BskyB and working on interactive television and
- 13 horse racing in particular. And it was really
- 14 successful there. So one of the things that I wanted
- 15 to do was make sure we did this. And I think it adds
- 16 a whole new kind of sexiness to the sport, to the
- 17 product, and to the brand.
- I think that's it. I guess there's a
- 19 couple last comments that the CHRB staff really did a
- 20 great job helping us, you know, getting us through
- 21 this application. John Hindman did a nice job.
- The Board, in the past, expressed its
- 23 desire for ADW providers to work cooperatively with
- 24 both the tracks and the horsemen. While it's our
- 25 firm belief that the formal agreements between ADW

- 1 providers and the horsemen are not required by state
- 2 law, we have had extensive discussions with the
- 3 horsemen's organizations and our partner tracks and
- 4 are pleased to say that we collectively have an
- 5 understanding regarding our ADW activities.
- And we appreciate your time. And
- 7 we'll keep trying to do a good job.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Thank you. Does the audience
- 9 have any comments on this?
- 10 MR. HOROWITZ: Alan Horowitz, Capitol Racing.
- I was wondering. I have a question
- 12 for the representatives of TVG. And that is, on
- 13 behalf of the harness industry here in California, I
- 14 was wondering whether they had any plans for the
- 15 1990 -- or excuse me -- for 2005 for expanding the
- 16 exposure and the growth of harness racing on the TVG
- 17 Network. I know that they've done some extensive
- 18 exposure of out-of-state harness racing programs.
- 19 And I think they've been a little
- 20 remiss with regard to exposure for harness racing.
- 21 And we've gotten some of our fans, who have TVG or
- 22 Direct TV, and they wonder why they can't -- they
- 23 certainly can bet the product, but they don't see
- 24 much of the product in the evenings.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: The issue is they -- they do a

- 1 lot of wagering on Capitol, but they're not showing
- 2 very often.
- 3 MR. HOROWITZ: Correct.
- 4 MR. ALLEVATO: Well, we do show Capitol Racing
- 5 on video streaming. So every race is available to
- 6 TVG account holders to be able to be viewed on the
- 7 Internet. And we -- it's kind of an extensive
- 8 process the way we put together our race schedule
- 9 every night.
- 10 Los Alamitos is a partner track of
- 11 ours. So they're always going to take priority over
- 12 any other track that's running at that time. So the
- 13 priority goes to them. And most of our coverage, if
- 14 you watch at night, is for Los Alamitos.
- 15 And a lot of times, they're coinciding
- 16 with Capitol Racing. But we try to get their races
- in as much as possible as well as other harness
- 18 racing from around the country.
- MR. HOROWITZ: I'd like to point out that
- 20 we've worked with Los Alamitos to make sure that our
- 21 signals do not overlap, wherever possible, so that
- 22 we're not coming in on top of quarter horse races per
- 23 se.
- 24 And I was hoping that, within the time
- 25 allotted between the quarter horse products on

- 1 nights, that we overlap the live quarter horse
- 2 product that there might be some opportunity for
- 3 exposure, whether it's the full race or the stretch
- 4 run or some exposure on the network, that would be
- 5 helpful. And we think it would be also mutually
- 6 helpful to the betting on the product.
- 7 MR. O'HARA: Okay. We -- I understand the
- 8 question. We'll -- we'll have to look into it. I
- 9 haven't thought about it that much yet. But we will
- 10 shortly.
- 11 MR. HOROWITZ: I appreciate it. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Are there any other questions
- 13 by the Commissioners or the audience? Other issues?
- 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I have a couple of
- 15 comments and one question. I appreciate -- the other
- 16 day I went over to TVG. And you are doing an
- 17 exceptional job. Your television is terrific.
- But as I mentioned to you, when I was
- 19 there, I think that the programming is all geared to
- 20 the already-established horse player. It is to
- 21 facilitate wagering on horse racing. And it's doing
- 22 a great job and so forth.
- 23 But what I don't see and what I don't
- 24 know, given your tie-ins with your parent company, is
- 25 there more that you could do to help facilitate

- 1 introducing new people, people that are novices and
- don't know how to wager, don't know how to read a
- 3 racing form?
- I mean you certainly have the
- 5 wherewithal to produce great pieces, that maybe there
- 6 is a tutorial that you could produce that would be
- 7 how to read a racing form and a tutorial on what an
- 8 experience of going to the racetrack involves and
- 9 explaining and showing what these racetracks are
- 10 about so that hopefully we can encourage new people
- 11 to actually come out and see the show live.
- 12 First question is are you willing to
- 13 do that, undertake that? And, two, is there another
- 14 way to air it other than just TVG, given your
- 15 tie-ins? Because I think anybody who logs on to TVG
- or watching TVG is already a horse player.
- MR. O'HARA: Very good questions. Yes. We're
- 18 very open to it, and we talk about it weekly.
- 19 One thing we found interesting -- we
- 20 saw what Rick Baedeker did at Hollywood Park with the
- 21 "BRF" (phonetic), where they put those Friday night
- 22 forms out. Did you see that?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It was terrific.
- MR. O'HARA: And it was terrific. And it
- 25 simplified how to bet. And I challenged kind of Tony

- 1 and his team to -- especially, you know, given some
- 2 of the consumer comments we've had -- to make it more
- 3 accessible.
- 4 So an example of things that they're
- 5 working on -- one is, you know, when someone -- when
- 6 a horse is 5 to 1, you don't necessarily get \$12.
- 7 Sometimes you're getting 11.20; sometimes, you're
- 8 getting 12.80. People really have problems
- 9 understanding why they're getting what they're
- 10 getting.
- 11 And so a piece of technology we're
- 12 working on is something that says, "If you put -- if
- 13 you bet 5 to 1 in this race, you'll get 10.80 or
- 14 you'll get 12.20," and making it simpler and more
- 15 understandable because people feel like the odds are
- 16 rigged and they're not necessarily getting a fair
- 17 shake.
- 18 And then Tony's also been working with
- 19 the Fox folks and trying to figure out ways to, on
- 20 their air, promote people to understand what horse
- 21 racing is and then to use times, when we don't have a
- 22 lot of races running, to be more exploratory and
- 23 explain more.
- 24 And so you'll see -- we're doing more
- 25 human interest features where "Todd Shrump"

- 1 (phonetic) hits the golf course or, you know, there
- 2 are these different things we're doing.
- 3 And then what we need to do is come up
- 4 with things that are, you know, are not going to
- 5 dominate your whole day but are going to take some
- 6 time when people are on our network and they can
- 7 learn how to either be a fan of horse racing or to
- 8 bet more often, with more frequency.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: Another point is that,
- 10 when we started ADW, it was with the intent of trying
- 11 to create as many jobs as we possibly could in
- 12 California. And I'm wanting to know whether or not,
- 13 now that you're successful, have you started to
- 14 create more jobs in California? Have you started
- 15 California telephone betting where California people
- 16 are hired?
- MR. O'HARA: Yeah. I mean we're a California
- 18 company. So our headquarters -- right by LAX in the
- 19 old Univision building with a hundred seventy-five
- 20 employees. They're very highly skilled -- a lot of
- 21 producers, programmers, broadcast operations,
- 22 executives, legal, finance, technology types.
- I think our average salary, you know,
- 24 is very high, you know. It's -- these are highly
- 25 skilled people. And I forget the exact number --

- 1 somewhere between seventy and 90,000 is the average
- 2 for these hundred-and-seventy-five people. So I
- 3 think, yes, we've been hiring. We're local.
- I think, as far as the ADW companies,
- 5 we're probably the biggest in California, as far as
- 6 hiring and employment. And we're really proud of
- 7 being in California and being local.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: Well, I appreciate the
- 9 knowledge on that; but at the same time, there was a
- 10 discussion in relationship to the fact that the --
- 11 the racetrack unions would benefit to some degree on
- 12 this betting. And I don't see it happening.
- MR. O'HARA: We do have, at the tracks -- we
- 14 don't have live phone operators 'cause people use the
- 15 internet or they use the "IBR" (phonetic). It's not
- 16 effective to have live operators. You get huge
- 17 spikes when you have to have 40-people-for-the-Derby-
- 18 and-1-at-night kind of thing.
- So the technology -- Internet's
- 20 fantastic. And that's the way that you really make
- 21 this business work. So we don't have any live, you
- 22 know, human operators.
- But at the tracks, at each track, we
- 24 have someone who takes deposits and opens accounts
- 25 for people. And those are, you know, at Hollywood

- 1 and Oak Tree and Del Mar and the like. And those --
- 2 those folks are part of the union.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: Okay.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: I think --
- 5 Mr. Castro, did you want to make a
- 6 statement?
- 7 MR. CASTRO: Yes, I do.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Go ahead. It's fine.
- 9 MR. CASTRO: Chairman Harris, Commissioners,
- 10 my name is Richard Castro, representing Pari-Mutuel
- 11 Employees Guild, Local 280.
- 12 I'm speaking in opposition to actually
- 13 all three ADW applications. I want to thank the CHRB
- 14 staff for including my letter in the packet. And I
- 15 want to know if I can assume that all of you got our
- 16 letter from our attorney David Rosenfeld? You do not
- 17 all have it?
- 18 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: As of yesterday,
- 19 Rick, we have not received the letter that I was
- 20 expecting.
- 21 MR. CASTRO: May I distribute it now? I've
- 22 only got a couple here, but I'll go through --
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Just go through the
- 24 presentation.
- MR. CASTRO: That will be fine.

- 1 What I'm using to make our argument
- 2 can be found in California horse racing law and
- 3 California horse racing rules and regulations.
- 4 Within the California horse racing law, Article 9 --
- 5 "Wagering" -- Section 19590 -- under this section,
- 6 you authorize pari-mutuel wagering only within the
- 7 enclosure.
- 8 "ADW licensees, in and out of state,
- 9 agree that their business -- businesses are conducted
- 10 within the enclosure."
- 11 That's extremely important for this
- 12 argument. Since this extends the definition of the
- 13 enclosure, this also mandates that these licensees
- 14 agree to all California laws, rules, and regulations.
- 15 Article 9, Section 19595, "Any form of
- 16 wagering or betting on the results of a horse race
- other than that permitted by the charter is illegal.
- 18 Also illegal is any wagering or betting on horse
- 19 races outside an enclosure where the conduct of horse
- 20 racing is licensed by the Board."
- 21 Article 9, Section 19604, Part B:
- 22 "'ADW' means 'a form of pari-mutuel wagering in which
- 23 a person residing within California or outside of
- 24 this state establishes an account with a licensee, a
- 25 Board-authorized betting system, or a Board-approved

- 1 multijurisdictional wagering hub located within
- 2 California or outside of this state.'"
- For all these previous statements, we
- 4 maintain this clearly expands the definition of the
- 5 enclosure to include all out-of-state hubs.
- 6 When you go to Article 9, 19604 --
- 7 "ADW may be conducted upon approval of the Board,"
- 8 which is what you're doing now.
- 9 Article 9, 19604, Part A, allows
- 10 partnerships, joint ventures and/or other
- 11 affiliations which -- we see this ties into our
- 12 master collective bargaining agreement, which we have
- 13 a master agreement in place with the various host
- 14 tracks in California.
- Under Title 4, California Rules and
- 16 Regulations, ADW Section 2070 -- "Definitions" --
- 17 Part M: "'Licensee' means 'an association or fair
- 18 licensed to conduct a horse race meeting only within
- 19 the enclosure and on the dates the Board authorizes
- 20 horse racing.'"
- 21 Title 4, Section 2072, allows for the
- 22 approval to conduct ADW by an out-of-state applicant
- 23 in Part A: "Applicants located outside of the state
- 24 must be Board approved," which, again, I'm saying
- 25 expands the definition of the enclosure.

- 1 Title 4, 2072, Part M: "The
- 2 applicant -- the out-of-state applicant consents to
- 3 the jurisdiction of California courts and the
- 4 application of the California law as to all
- 5 California wagers and operations."
- 6 This, we believe, if you expand it,
- 7 would also include our collective bargaining
- 8 agreement that we have with the host track. We
- 9 believe that this provision clearly binds our right
- 10 to the same or similar job classifications outlined
- 11 in our collective bargaining agreement. "Similar" is
- 12 the key word here.
- 13 Going back to the California horse
- 14 racing law, Article 9, Section 19604 "PC1," we
- 15 believe these ADW licensees must have a written
- 16 contractual agreement with the bona fide labor
- 17 organization that's historically represented the same
- 18 or similar classifications of employees nearest the
- 19 horse race meeting.
- 20 I maintain that, in this case, these
- 21 classifications of workers apply to the California
- 22 host track locations. For all these reasons I have
- 23 cited, along with the letter from our attorney David
- 24 Rosenfeld, which I will give you, we feel these
- 25 applications are -- violate the law and cannot be

- 1 approved without conditions.
- What he's saying, as I understand it,
- 3 is one person at a host track, I understand, is
- 4 actually paid by the track, not paid by TVG. And
- 5 that's fine. They have a reciprocal agreement. And
- 6 we don't have a problem with that.
- 7 But we believe that all these ADW
- 8 licensees have other jobs, similar jobs, similar
- 9 classifications to our collective bargaining
- 10 agreement. And it is those jobs that we would like
- 11 to sit down with them and have a collective
- 12 bargaining agreement with.
- 13 And we feel that, if CHRB granted
- 14 these licenses with the condition that they sit down
- 15 with us, we feel that we could come to a solution.
- 16 We're not trying to shut anybody down. We sincerely
- 17 want to be a working partner in industry. We want to
- 18 sincerely join with all of you to make racing better.
- 19 However, on this issue, we feel that
- 20 our interest and our support in helping to bring this
- 21 about in California have been ignored and it bothers
- 22 us. This upsets us. We'd like to see it changed.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Comments from the Board on
- 24 this?
- 25 MR. CASTRO: Maybe you would like to take a

- 1 minute to look at David Rosenfeld's letter. I think
- 2 it would be worthwhile, please.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I was going -- well, my
- 4 concern is I'm not sure if we can really superimpose
- 5 ourself on -- to force anyone into a collective
- 6 bargaining agreement, absent some election of their
- 7 employees.
- 8 But I guess one of the circumstances
- 9 really is do we need -- as part of the overall two
- 10 proposals, is there a license requirement that they
- 11 have some version of live operators or someone --
- MR. CASTRO: It's not necessarily just live
- 13 operators. I'm pretty comfortable that -- let's make
- 14 this a good one. Let's say John Harris places a
- 15 wager and he is very fortunate and he hits an "IRS
- 16 signup" (phonetic).
- Now, I'm assuming that John Harris
- 18 later on today will want to take me to the Harris
- 19 ranch and have a chicken-fried steak, mashed
- 20 potatoes, and gravy. So he's going to want his
- 21 money.
- 22 I'm very comfortable that someone will
- 23 write that check and or write something or do
- 24 something to transfer that money back to you so that
- 25 you can take me out to dinner tonight. That would

- 1 infringe on our contractual right.
- And, again, we're not trying to shut
- 3 anybody down. All we're looking for is an avenue to
- 4 open the door that these parties will sit and
- 5 negotiate with us. We have no problem with the CHRB
- 6 staff overseeing the process. We have no problem at
- 7 all agreeing to binding arbitration.
- 8 We would like to see this done by July
- 9 1, 2005. I don't -- frankly, I don't think we're
- 10 asking for too much.
- 11 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I have a comment. Mr.
- 12 Chairman, the points that Mr. Castro raises are --
- 13 whether or not the -- not just TVG but YouBet and
- 14 XpressBet, et cetera, have to engage in collective
- 15 bargaining is a whole other issue.
- 16 But I would harken back to the initial
- 17 days when we licensed the three businesses that we
- 18 have conducting ADW in this state. We had extensive
- 19 discussions then about a couple of things. One was
- 20 trying to create a California hub so California could
- 21 get more of the proceeds from this.
- 22 And the other really focussed on
- 23 creating more jobs. And I certainly appreciate the
- 24 high-level jobs that TVG has in this state. I think
- 25 that's worth something, a lot of something.

- 1 But I would also ask you, each of the
- 2 three companies, to actively sit down with these --
- 3 Mr. Castro and the folks and see what you can do
- 4 because this is not the first time we've had this
- 5 discussion.
- 6 These people deserve and were -- I
- 7 won't use the word "promised" -- but it was certainly
- 8 inferred that they were going to be part of the
- 9 discussion, that there were to be new jobs created
- 10 that would be labor jobs and that California would
- 11 gain more than it has.
- 12 I'm glad to see the proceeds going up
- 13 and our percentages going up, but I'm not sure how
- 14 much of this is actually accruing to California.
- MR. CASTRO: Eloquently spoken. Thank you.
- MR. O'HARA: I'll let John Hindman answer
- 17 because he knows the whole subject better than I do.
- 18 On the proceeds -- the 44 million -- most of the
- 19 money accrues to California because it's in
- 20 California. Then all the jobs that are here are the
- 21 hundred and seventy-five.
- I think they have union and nonunion
- 23 relationships throughout their corporate area. And
- 24 so I'm not sure that, for us, it's relevant; but let
- 25 me ask John.

- 1 MR. HINDMAN: Just a couple specific points to
- 2 clarify a few matters. We appreciate the Board's
- 3 concern on this issue. You know, first of all, we
- 4 know that there has been a lot of discussions over
- 5 the year.
- And for TVG, you know, our hub is
- 7 located out of state. And that was -- predated there
- 8 being ADW in Cal. So we have established there -- we
- 9 have people there who have worked for us a very long
- 10 time. For us to let them go to employ people in this
- 11 state -- it's very difficult decision for us to make.
- 12 Secondly, I respectfully disagree with
- 13 a few of the legal points that were made here today.
- 14 I think that Section 96074 is actually quite
- 15 particular when it comes to what the requirements
- 16 are -- the requirements for providers located in
- 17 California. And we certainly respect those.
- And when he was mentioning cash
- 19 distributed at racetracks, as the point was made
- 20 earlier, that cash is being distributed by somebody
- 21 at a mutual window here at Hollywood Park.
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: Any comments?
- 23 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: I have one comment. I'm
- 24 going back to your past. You used to work for
- 25 YouBet, didn't you?

- 1 MR. HINDMAN: No. I've worked for TVG always.
- 2 I'm sorry.
- 3 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Because when we first
- 4 went through and listened four years ago, there was a
- 5 lot of promises made that this would create union
- 6 positions and that was from everything that was
- 7 presented to us.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: No. I don't think they -- I
- 9 don't think they all made those representations.
- 10 TVG --
- MR. HINDMAN: TVG -- we never --
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: We can go back and research the
- 13 minutes. But I don't think they were made by
- 14 everybody.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: That may be true. But
- 16 it was implied that the track unions would benefit
- 17 from the process. And I think it's beholden to you,
- 18 whether you started the process at the beginning or
- 19 came in now, that you take an interest and look at
- 20 that as being something that should be done.
- 21 MR. CASTRO: Richard Castro, PM Employees
- 22 Guild. I believe that "Joe Lang" (phonetic) at one
- 23 time was your lobbyist.
- 24 And I believe that, if you go back
- 25 through the CHRB transcripts -- and I'll be more than

- 1 happy to do it, again -- you will find that Joe Lang
- 2 speaks at one of these meetings and acknowledged that
- 3 the agreement was and it was expected that they had
- 4 this goal of phone-wagering jobs with Local 280.
- 5 I don't exactly remember what his
- 6 exact words were, but Joe Lang did speak to that.
- 7 Ron Liccardo was president then and acknowledged that
- 8 phone-wagering jobs were promised to P.M.E.G. Local
- 9 280. That's a fact.
- 10 MR. HINDMAN: Again, I respectfully disagree
- 11 with that characterization.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Mr. Baedeker?
- MR. BAEDEKER: Rick Baedeker, Hollywood Park.
- I think, in terms of full disclosure,
- 15 it should be noted for the Board and everybody else
- 16 that has been involved in this last exchange --
- 17 Richard's and his union's support for the ADW
- 18 legislation -- the racetracks did agree to
- 19 effectively keep staffing -- use and keep the
- 20 staffing level at which it existed -- I think it was
- 21 in 1999, wasn't it? -- for the duration of the
- 22 contract with the unions when, as a matter of fact,
- 23 because of the business, we would have required fewer
- 24 clerks those years.
- 25 And yet we have the kept the staffing

- 1 level as high as they were in '99. And that's up for
- 2 renegotiation, as the contract will be renegotiated
- 3 over the next several months. But the union -- the
- 4 union has benefitted over the first few years of ADW.
- 5 While I'm here, I just feel compelled
- 6 to say that this is one of the few things in racing
- 7 that is a success story. And we should be careful
- 8 with both TVG as well as XpressBet and YouBet. We
- 9 want them to survive, and we want them to thrive.
- 10 They need to do well.
- 11 The TOC exacted its pound of flesh
- 12 over the last several days, doing business the way it
- 13 thinks it needs to. And I respect that. I know
- 14 Richard is looking out for the best interests of his
- 15 people as he sits there today. But let's not take
- 16 any of these for granted.
- 17 This is an important piece of our
- 18 business now. I can tell you that, you know, our
- 19 partner is TVG. These guys are great to work with.
- 20 I want to give you two examples.
- In the last couple of weeks, we made a
- 22 mistake in our program, where our \$400,000 Guaranteed
- 23 Pick 4, which we offer on Thursdays, was
- 24 inadvertently repeated -- I'm sorry -- which we offer
- on Saturdays, was inadvertently repeated on Sunday in

- 1 the program.
- 2 So we said, "Okay. We'll go ahead and
- 3 offer the guarantee."
- Within five minutes, these guys were
- 5 promoting the heck out of it on the air. It's almost
- 6 embarrassing how much they promoted it until the
- 7 betting closed the next day. Fortunately, we made
- 8 the guarantees. They're good partners.
- 9 We're doing a promotional things with
- 10 T.J. Simers of the L.A. Times. We've going to have
- 11 two teams of jockeys. Whichever team wins -- one was
- 12 chosen by T.J. Simers; the other, by "Frank Lyons"
- 13 (phonetic) of TVG -- we're going to benefit
- 14 charity -- either the Mattel Children's Hospital or
- 15 St. Margaret's Center here in Inglewood.
- 16 Here's the point I'd like to make:
- 17 Hollywood Park put out \$2,500 to benefit the charity.
- 18 Two thirds would go to the winner; one third, to the
- 19 loser.
- TVG, without being asked, said, "We'll
- 21 match that." They said, "We'll put up another
- 22 \$2,500."
- I just want to make the point that we
- 24 cannot take any of these three for granted. These
- 25 are new business. They not only are an important

- 1 part of our revenue streams, but they're great
- 2 partners for us.
- 3 And I understand that they need to be
- 4 great partners for Richard's union as well. But I
- 5 think that we should look at the entire picture here
- 6 and not get lost in some of these details, important
- 7 as they may be. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. We've got a pretty
- 9 lengthy agenda.
- 10 Go ahead.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
- Mr. Castro, the part that I'm not
- 13 clear on is it's my understanding that YouBet and TVG
- 14 and -- and I don't know about XpressBet -- will be
- 15 making money at that stage, in terms of
- 16 profitability.
- 17 Is that correct for TVG?
- MR. O'HARA: We actually don't disclose that
- 19 to the market 'cause we're a public company. So I
- 20 don't know if I can comment without asking our
- 21 investor-relations guy 'cause we actually don't say.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: They don't have people
- 23 here in California that are answering phones and
- 24 taking wagers. So what is it that you specifically
- 25 are asking of this applicant or the others?

- 1 MR. CASTRO: We would like to sit down with
- 2 them and bargain with them and go over what their
- 3 different job classifications that they have that may
- 4 be the same or similar to what we have in our
- 5 collective bargaining agreement.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So if you don't have
- 7 job descriptions that are similar, then you would
- 8 waive any issue; is that correct?
- 9 MR. CASTRO: I would hate to give up my legal
- 10 rights in this discussion, and I'm not an attorney;
- 11 so I think we would probably resolve that before an
- 12 impartial arbitrator.
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: There's really nothing stopping
- 14 you from talking to them now. The whole question is
- 16 that they've got to have live operators?"
- 17 MR. CASTRO: I think if you did give the
- 18 mandate that they sit and talk with us and make it
- 19 conditional that we come back to you by July 1st --
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Castro, have you
- 21 sat and talked with them about what type of jobs they
- 22 have?
- 23 MR. CASTRO: I'm a newly elected president of
- 24 Local 280. I don't know what discussions Ron
- 25 Liccardo, my predecessor, has had with them.

- 1 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Then can I suggest that
- 2 you give O'Hara a call and the other organizations
- 3 and have a telephone conversation with him and see
- 4 if, in fact, we're talking about anything, if there
- 5 are any jobs that would fall into the classification
- 6 of your --
- 7 MR. CASTRO: That's fair. We can do that.
- 8 That's fair.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
- Is that agreeable to you, Mr. O'Hara?
- 11 MR. CASTRO: I need to add that, in an effort
- 12 to save time, my presentation basically would apply
- 13 to the next two applicants.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. We'll stipulate that.
- MR. CASTRO: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: Could we have a motion on that?
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll move to -- no. I
- 18 don't -- I don't --
- 19 MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern. California
- 20 Thoroughbred Trainers.
- 21 I was disappointed hear that TVG
- 22 doesn't want to release their information on profits.
- 23 My recollection is that, when they were originally
- 24 licensed, they told this Board that, when they are in
- 25 a position where they are making a profit, they would

- 1 reverse the percentages that were paid to the
- 2 horsemen that they were getting.
- Now there's some question as to
- 4 whether they said that or whether they said when they
- 5 recoup their investment, which, obviously, would take
- 6 forever or a long time. So I doubt that's what they
- 7 said.
- 8 So we can certainly look back in the
- 9 minutes of when they were originally licensed to see
- 10 if they're following through with that promise they
- 11 made to the Board and if, in fact, that was the
- 12 promise they made to the Board.
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: It's really more of an issue
- 14 with the contract they would have with the tracks and
- 15 the horsemen -- that those are negotiated. And I
- 16 think that, hopefully, the horsemen and the track
- 17 would be negotiating aggressively, sort of
- 18 irregardless of if TVG was making money or losing
- 19 money or what happens.
- 20 MR. HALPERN: I agree with that, Commissioner,
- 21 as a condition of your giving 'em a license.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Halpern, I assume
- 23 that that applies to all three advance wager deposit
- 24 applicants; is that true?
- MR. HALPERN: Mr. Shapiro, I'm surprised that

- 1 I remember that TVG made that promise. And I can't
- 2 recall what happened with the others.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll move to approve
- 4 this.
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: There's a motion to approve the
- 6 TVG application. Is there a second?
- 7 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Second.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Second.
- 9 All in favor?
- 10 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 11 CHAIR HARRIS: Opposed?
- 12 (No audible response.)
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: So moved.
- 14 Okay. Now we move to the ADW
- 15 application for YouBet from January 1, 2005, to
- 16 December 31, 2006.
- 17 MS. NOBLE: Pat Noble, CHRB staff.
- 18 This is a two-year license for their
- 19 in-state location and a two-year approval for their
- 20 out-of-state location. They will provide advance
- 21 deposit wagering services seven days a week,
- 22 approximately 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M. Pacific time.
- The services will be provided to Bay
- 24 Meadows Racing Association at Bay Meadows Racecourse,
- 25 California Authority of Racing Fairs, Capitol Racing

- 1 at Cal Expo, Churchill Downs at Hollywood Park, Del
- 2 Mar Thoroughbred Club at Del Mar, Los Alamitos
- 3 Quarter Horse Racing at Los Alamitos Racecourse, L.A.
- 4 County Fair at Fairplex, Los Angeles Turf Club, and
- 5 Oak Tree Racing Association at Santa Anita Park, and
- 6 Pacific Racing Association at Golden Gate Fields.
- 7 Items missing are the Thoroughbred
- 8 horsemen's agreement, the horsemen's agreement for
- 9 Bay Meadows Racing Association, California Authority
- 10 of Racing Fairs, Los Angeles Turf Club, and Pacific
- 11 Racing Association.
- 12 Staff recommends approval of the
- 13 application conditioned upon receipt of the missing
- 14 items.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: I'm not really clear on whether
- 16 this would apply to all of these where a given ADW
- 17 provider is going to provide services for different
- 18 race courses.
- 19 Does that also imply that the
- 20 contracts they have with the horsemen and those
- 21 associations run the same period and, you know, this
- 22 two-year period coming up? Or does it just mean that
- 23 they are -- have, you know, some shorter period
- 24 and --
- MS. NOBLE: I believe, when they supply it,

- 1 it's meet by meet.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: It's meet by meet. So they
- 3 basically -- I mean it's a little complex because
- 4 we're really approving a two-year license for these
- 5 people but really don't necessarily have two years of
- 6 product out there?
- 7 MR. "TRUE": "Jeff True" (phonetic) for
- 8 YouBet.com.
- 9 There's no -- we get these meet
- 10 contracts as the meets come up and the TOC agreements
- 11 come with them. To the extent that we don't have
- 12 content agreement for the entire two-year period, I
- don't think anyone's ever been able to establish
- 14 that, given the complexity.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: I think that's all right. Just
- 16 to clarify -- just sort of a best-effort type of
- 17 thing as part of the product?
- 18 MR. "TRUE": Yes. I think, as to a practical
- 19 matter, when each of these meets come up, we say we
- 20 do or do not have those agreements in place. If we
- 21 don't, then we're not providing services for that
- 22 meet. Typically we've been able to come up with each
- 23 of them as they've come up.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: Any the issues on this or
- 25 questions from the audience or the commissioners on

- 1 this application?
- 2 MR. COUTO: Drew Couto, Thoroughbred Owners of
- 3 California.
- 4 We have an agreement, understanding
- 5 with YouBet as to hub fees. And that, as with all
- 6 the ADW companies, will apply at this time next year
- 7 in their two-year license. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Would be it possible for the
- 9 Board and, really, the industry, again, to get a
- 10 breakdown of how much it gets -- as to where all the
- 11 dollars all flow in these various arrangements? Or
- 12 are those not public?
- 13 MR. "TRUE": I don't know that I can answer
- 14 that. That would be a legal question as to what we
- 15 can disclose and what we cannot because, as to the --
- 16 I mean that, with that, of course, the income flow,
- 17 I'm certain that, if you requested it, we could do
- 18 some sort of "NDA" (phonetic) and inform the Board,
- 19 you know, at its discretion. I'm not sure we would
- 20 be able to make it public.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I think that we'd like
- 22 to see it. So why don't you request it and see if
- 23 you can provide it to us.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: As to all the providers?
- 25 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Correct.

- 1 MR. "TRUE": If I could ask, if you wouldn't
- 2 mind having staff direct a memo, telling me exactly
- 3 what it is you want to see.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: What we want is a simplistic
- 5 situation where you have a track, like, Hollywood is
- 6 running and TVG is carrying it and somebody's betting
- 7 in L.A.
- 8 But it gets more complicated when
- 9 someone is betting on Hollywood but they live in
- 10 Northern California someplace 'cause I understand
- 11 that, if someone goes to the track operating to the
- 12 north and it's --
- 13 MR. "TRUE": I certainly can't tell you how it
- 14 works.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: -- money that -- I'd like to
- 16 understand it a little bit better than I do.
- 17 MR. "TRUE": So would I.
- ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: We'll have John
- 19 Reagan coordinate with all the ADW companies, and
- 20 we'll get that information.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I have a question of
- 22 you. Can you --
- MR. "TRUE": Yes?
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Can you explain to
- 25 me -- it shows that TVG's your largest shareholder;

- 1 is that correct?
- 2 MR. "TRUE": That is no longer correct. They
- 3 recently divested themselves of all their YouBet
- 4 holdings.
- 5 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
- 6 MR. "TRUE": That should have been corrected.
- 7 I think this was actually -- the application was made
- 8 before that was actually accomplished.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. Can you also
- 10 tell us what steps you're taking to promote people
- 11 newcomers to come to the racetrack and come out live?
- 12 MR. "TRUE": Yes. I'm glad you asked. In
- 13 fact, in the capacity I'm functioning, the younger
- 14 age, the younger audience -- one of the things that
- 15 we do is purchase a schedule on ESPN-dot-com.
- 16 As a result of the some of the
- 17 outer -- the nonindustry advertising methods that
- 18 we've undertaken, we've been able to generate a
- 19 pretty good younger crowd. And our fastest-growing
- 20 group of acquisitions by age is in the 21 to 30
- 21 group. They're growing at a 22 percent annual clip,
- 22 and that's on top of 16 percent last year.
- The fastest-growing age group is in
- 24 the 21 to 30. Over a third of our new acquisitions
- 25 are 21 to 40. Part of what we're doing is

- 1 advertising in ESPN, providing live-streaming
- 2 services on ESPN-dot-com, which is a generalized
- 3 sport network.
- 4 So we've kind of hitched our wagon to
- 5 that train or that truck, rather, and have been able
- 6 to pull some people into horse racing that otherwise
- 7 wouldn't be exposed to it. We've also done things,
- 8 like, in addition to the Financial Times or non --
- 9 non, you know, other non-horse racing industry
- 10 publications such as local newspapers or use
- 11 billboards or things like that.
- We recently employed "Wayne Lucas"
- 13 (phonetic) as a spokesman. We'll be using him in
- 14 some advertisements that will, again, be put in some
- 15 non-horse race media. He's, we think, the best-known
- 16 name outside the industry. And we think using him
- 17 as spokesman will help raise our profile among people
- 18 that are not already horse racing fans.
- 19 We specifically don't do a lot of
- 20 advertising in California. I'll grant you that. But
- 21 nationwide we do a little bit more than what you
- 22 would see in California media.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Any issues? Have a motion on
- 24 this?
- 25 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: So moved.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor.
- 3 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 4 MR. "TRUE": Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: The next one -- XpressBet.
- 6 MS. NOBLE: Pat Noble, CHRB staff.
- 7 XpressBet filed their application for
- 8 a two-year license from January 1, 2005, through
- 9 December 31, 2006. They will provide advance deposit
- 10 wagering services as a California multijurisdictional
- 11 wagering hub during all times races are run, which
- 12 could be up to 24 hours a day.
- 13 Services will be provided for Bay
- 14 Meadows Racing Association at Bay Meadows Racecourse,
- 15 California Authority of Racing Fairs, Capitol Racing
- 16 at Cal Expo, Los Angeles Turf Club at Santa Anita
- 17 Park, Pacific Racing Association at Golden Gate
- 18 Fields.
- The harness horsemen's agreement has
- 20 been supplied. I don't know if the Thoroughbred is
- 21 completed or not. Staff recommends the Board approve
- 22 the application conditioned upon receiving the
- 23 Thoroughbred horsemen's agreement.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: The horsemen's agreement --
- MR. COUTO: Yes.

- 1 Again, Drew Couto on behalf of
- 2 Thoroughbred Owners of California.
- 3 An agreement was reached with
- 4 XpressBet yesterday as well, and it lasts for the
- 5 upcoming year. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: So that's for all the
- 7 California tracks that they do?
- 8 MR. COUTO: Yes. We have a fee agreement that
- 9 covers all their content. Thank you.
- 10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I thought that that
- 11 was a fun meeting.
- 12 MR. McALPINE: Jim McAlpine. I'm the
- 13 President and Chief Executive Officer of Magna
- 14 Entertainment.
- We have a Power Point presentation
- 16 that we would like to give. It would take a couple
- 17 of minutes to set up.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: It would be delightful.
- 19 MR. McALPINE: Good. See you in a few
- 20 minutes.
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: Do you want to -- we've got --
- 22 we wanted to reconvene into executive session. Is
- 23 that going to take too long? Why don't we go ahead
- 24 and do that? And we'll be back in about 20 minutes.
- 25 (The Board adjourns to executive

- 1 session: 11:13 11:38 A.M.)
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: We're back into regular session
- 3 after executive session. We have an announcement to
- 4 make that the Board has selected a new executive
- 5 director.
- 6 And that will be "Ingrid Fermen"
- 7 (phonetic). And Ingrid has had widespread support
- 8 amongst the Board and has great background in racing.
- 9 And I am pleased that she has accepted the job, and
- 10 we will be looking forward to working with her.
- 11 And I'd like to thank the Search
- 12 Committee. Jerry Moss and Marie Moretti did an
- 13 outstanding job of searching for applicants. Perhaps
- 14 some of the Commissioners would like to make a
- 15 comment.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I, too, would like to
- 17 thank the Search Committee and all of the
- 18 Commissioners who worked very hard to come to this
- 19 decision. And I also want to thank Dan "Schiffer"
- 20 and John Reagan, who were the other finalists and who
- 21 are tremendous, tremendous people and great for the
- 22 industry and a tremendous asset to all of us.
- I think Ingrid will be a huge help to
- 24 us and in helping us deal with some of our current
- 25 problems. And I just want to encourage everybody to

- 1 please give her your full support so we can bring
- 2 this industry forward.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. We'll move on to our
- 4 XpressBet presentation.
- 5 MR. McALPINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
- 6 Commissioners. I should also introduce the other
- 7 people at the head table with me today. "Ron
- 8 Charles" (phonetic) who is no stranger to any of you.
- 9 Ron is, as you know, the Executive Director of MEC
- 10 California's operations.
- 11 Ron Luniewski, beside him, is the
- 12 president of XpressBet. And on my left is "Richard
- 13 Peton" (phonetic), who's in our business-
- 14 development, fan-development group and is going to
- 15 help me with this slide presentation.
- If you'll bear with me, I'd direct
- 17 your attention to the slides; and I will walk you
- 18 through our presentation today.
- 19 First of all, in terms of our business
- 20 model, it starts with producing exciting live-racing
- 21 entertainment, maximizing distribution to customers
- 22 around the world, making it convenient and exiting
- 23 for customers to wager, and encouraging new customers
- 24 to participate in our game.
- 25 In terms of the importance of

- 1 television and account wagering to MEC as a company,
- 2 I think everybody understands that ADW is one of the
- 3 fastest-growing segments of pari-mutuel wagering.
- 4 ADW satisfies our company's desire to provide a fully
- 5 integrated customer experience for existing and new
- 6 racing fans at all venues, whether it's on-track,
- 7 off-track, or in-home.
- 8 And television is the ideal medium to
- 9 broadly distribute live horse racing to the world in
- 10 support of account wagering.
- 11 And, today, account wagering and
- 12 television are two cornerstones of the MEC global
- 13 business strategy. Just looking at account wagering
- 14 with respect to California, we view account wagering
- 15 as a extension of service to our traditional on-track
- 16 California customers.
- 17 And it is a critical service as we
- 18 integrate our customer strategy across all platforms.
- 19 It allows us to compete for new customers with other
- 20 forms of Internet entertainment. And it is part of
- 21 our commitment to and investment in California
- 22 racing.
- 23 Any profit, that XpressBet-HRTV makes,
- 24 has been and will continue to be reinvested into this
- 25 industry, including our California operations. And

- 1 ADW allows us to maintain the loyalty of existing
- 2 track customers and develop relationships with new
- 3 potential on-track customers.
- 4 As many of you know, MEC's California
- 5 racetrack investments were only the beginning. We've
- 6 invested more than \$250 million in the purchase of
- 7 Santa Anita, Golden Gate, San Luis Ray Downs, and the
- 8 lease to operate Bay Meadows for a period of years.
- 9 MEC is committed to developing new fans through the
- 10 establishment of new racing entertainment events such
- 11 as the Sunshine Millions.
- 12 As you know, we put \$1.2 million of
- 13 MEC's money into the purse supplement for those
- 14 races; and through 2005, our total commitment will be
- 15 3.6 million to purses. We also make a major
- 16 commitment, through NBC, to broadcast the races, and
- 17 the cost of that undertaking approximates \$3 million
- 18 over that same period of time.
- 19 And basically we see this as a major
- 20 coast-to-coast competition, showcasing California
- 21 racing and breeding. And we think it's met with
- 22 great response in its early years. And we thank both
- 23 the horsemen and the breeders in California who have
- 24 also shown tremendous support for this event. But
- 25 it's the kind of thing we can do in the future that

- 1 will help us attract new racing fans.
- 2 We've also made additional follow-on
- 3 investments of approximately a hundred-million
- 4 dollars in California -- from Front Runners
- 5 Restaurant to "Ceronas" (phonetic) to the
- 6 improvements in the Santa Anita apron, the new
- 7 entryway, the Golden Gate vet clinic, the barn
- 8 renovations at Golden Gate, substantial improvements
- 9 and expansion of the Santa Anita broadcast center,
- 10 and the HRTV California distribution and new-program
- 11 development -- all of which have been very important.
- 12 In terms of investing in new products,
- 13 XpressBet invested over \$650,000 in the development
- 14 and marketing of the "Magna 5 Pick 5," a new bet that
- 15 we introduced last year, which will be continuing in
- 16 2005.
- 17 The Magna 5 is a multitrack Pick 5
- 18 wager that is completed in less than an hour. Magna
- 19 5 typically included a race, each, from both Northern
- 20 and Southern California. And the Magna 5 drove over
- 21 \$500,000 in revenue for the California interest.
- 22 Another way to look at this: In our
- 23 opinion, this is a made-for-television bet. This
- 24 allows customers to watch a show, runs about an hour,
- 25 and see the excitement of five races; and for \$2,

- 1 have the opportunity to participate in a half-
- 2 million-dollar guaranteed pool.
- 3 Another investment we've made, which
- 4 we've unveiled recently, is the "Horse Wizard
- 5 Machine, " which represents an MEC investment to
- 6 develop new on-track customers through our XpressBet
- 7 platform. We couldn't, in fact, do this without
- 8 having account wagering and XpressBet in California.
- 9 They're simplified wagering machines.
- 10 They run on the XpressBet platform. And we've
- invested millions to bring this product to market.
- 12 And we're excited about, hopefully, introducing it at
- 13 other tracks and making it available to other tracks,
- 14 beyond MEC-owned properties, in the future.
- Just a quick update on HRTV: We have
- 16 listened to the industry, to the Commission over the
- 17 past few years, and believe we have heard a very loud
- 18 and clear message that television distribution was
- 19 important. And we have dramatically, within the last
- 20 six months, expanded our television distribution
- 21 almost tenfold.
- 22 Today HRTV is available on cable
- 23 systems in San Diego, Orange County, Santa Barbara,
- 24 Sacramento. And just this week, we signed an
- 25 agreement with Comcast that will provide carriage in

- 1 the Los Angeles market. HRTV is now available to all
- 2 Dish Network subscribers on the basic system. The
- 3 sum of this is that, after only two years of business
- 4 at HRTV, we now reach 11.5 million homes.
- For 2005, we've got a number of
- 6 programming initiatives and a number of marketing
- 7 initiatives that are aimed at attracting new fans and
- 8 appealing now to this 11-and-a-half-million-plus
- 9 subscribers.
- 10 On the XpressBet side, again, we've
- 11 listened to our customers, we've listened to the
- 12 horsemen, we've listened to some Commissioners who've
- 13 communicated with us. And we continue to make
- 14 significant investments in XpressBet to support our
- 15 customer.
- We created a new call center. We've
- 17 added a new customer-relationship management system.
- 18 We've made significant upgrades to the data center
- 19 and the network environment to satisfy increasing
- 20 customer demand. And we've created a new wagering
- 21 interface with improved information -- including
- 22 horse name, minutes to post, et cetera, and other
- 23 features -- that will launch this month.
- 24 XpressBet systems provide consumer
- 25 protection and quality service. If you look at what

- 1 we've accomplished, basically what we've done is
- 2 based upon the following: XpressBet and HRTV have
- 3 listened to our customers. We've listened to our
- 4 horsemen partners. And we're seeking the broadest
- 5 possible distribution of live horse racing.
- 6 XpressBet and HRTV are working
- 7 together with the TOC to strengthen the industry for
- 8 the benefit of all stakeholders. And we look forward
- 9 to the renewal of our license so that we continue
- 10 working to achieving our collective goals.
- 11 We're very pleased to continue to be
- 12 involved in California horse racing. We're energized
- 13 about the future. We understand the importance of
- 14 working with the horsemen to make sure that this
- 15 product and these services will, in fact, be growth
- 16 engines for our business.
- 17 And in the early stages, it was
- 18 tough -- I can tell you -- to get the kind of
- 19 carriage on cable and satellite. But as we promised
- 20 two years ago, we would get it. And today we have
- 21 got in excess of 11-and-a-half-million homes. And
- 22 we're proud of that accomplishment.
- 23 And I would like to thank our track
- 24 people, who have also been very instrumental. And
- one of the key focusses for 2005 will be a much

- 1 better track integration of the Horse Racing TV, the
- 2 XpressBet, and the on-track experience so that we
- 3 will be cascading customers from XpressBet, from HRTV
- 4 to experience the on-track opportunity of
- 5 participating in our game.
- 6 So, Mr. Chairman, hopefully, we'll be
- 7 favored with a relicensing coming out of today's
- 8 meeting.
- 9 CHAIR HARRIS: Any questions from the
- 10 Commissioners or the audience?
- 11 (No audible response.)
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: On your new form of wagering,
- 13 how is it? Have you expanded out to the XpressBet
- 14 that you had at Oak Tree? Is that out in other
- 15 tracks now?
- MR. McALPINE: You mean the "Horse Wizard"?
- 17 CHAIR HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. McALPINE: The "Horse Wizard" -- what we
- 19 did is we created four centers across the country --
- 20 in Northern California and Southern California as
- 21 well as they've been introduced at Laurel, and they
- 22 were at the Breeders' Cup at Lone Star Park.
- 23 And so we will use those as test
- 24 markets. To a certain extent, we use them as
- 25 showrooms so that we can bring other track operators

- 1 to see the "Horse Wizard" and its features and we'll
- 2 try to introduce it to the marketplace across all of
- 3 our tracks. And we will expand this winter meet at
- 4 Santa Anita with more machines to grow that piece of
- 5 the business.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: Any issues here? Can I have a
- 7 motion?
- 8 COMMISIONER MOSS: No. I just had one
- 9 question that, you know, I noticed you worked with
- 10 NBC in helping to promote some of the races,
- 11 certainly the Sunshine Million Race.
- MR. McALPINE: Yes.
- 13 COMMISIONER MOSS: Did anything ever come up
- 14 in those negotiations where you would like them to,
- 15 perhaps, show some races on their sports programs,
- 16 just general sports programs, you know, just to, in
- 17 that sense, help promote the sport at Santa Anita,
- 18 for example?
- MR. McALPINE: We have ongoing discussions
- 20 with NBC. I guess we've got two primary
- 21 relationships with them. One is with regard to
- 22 Sunshine Millions. The other is that, currently,
- 23 they're the broadcaster of choice of the Triple Crown
- 24 Productions. And we're a one-third owner in Triple
- 25 Crown Productions.

- 1 So we've got a decent relationship
- 2 with them. And, frankly, they've done a pretty good
- 3 job for the sport.
- 4 The other program that we're involved
- 5 in, through our association with the NTRA, is we,
- 6 together with the NTRA and other members of the NTRA,
- 7 make our races available for a series of shows, some
- 8 of which are on different networks, through the
- 9 January time frame right through to the springtime.
- 10 And I think there are opportunities to
- 11 expand that. To me, one of the biggest missing
- 12 ingredients with those shows is there's not enough
- 13 what I would call "cascading." You've got this great
- 14 opportunity. You're in front of 85 million homes.
- 15 And we're not telling people enough about the
- 16 on-track experience.
- 17 And one of the things that I think is
- 18 critical for 'O5 is to really make sure that those
- 19 broadcasts do show people not just the horse race
- 20 that takes place, which is absolutely critically
- 21 important, but also the rest of the things that you
- 22 could experience at a racetrack if you came out.
- 23 And I think that a little bit gets
- 24 caught up in the politics of Track Company A versus
- 25 Track Company B versus other members of the NTRA.

- 1 And one of the missions that we're
- 2 trying to accomplish in 2005 is to get that clutter
- 3 out of the way and say, "Look. Those are unique
- 4 opportunities -- an hour or two on a weekend. Let's
- 5 try to make them cascade right through the system and
- 6 make sure that the customer knows that there's
- 7 something exciting happening on the track, in
- 8 addition to being able to watch it in the living
- 9 room."
- 10 COMMISIONER MOSS: And, if NBC is amenable, I
- 11 mean perhaps all the advertising money that's used
- 12 from every track in the area should go NBC, provided
- 13 that they show a horse race every, you know, once in
- 14 a while on a sports program, to the general sports
- 15 audience, you know.
- I think it's, you know -- we all watch
- 17 them run off every other sport. And for some reason,
- 18 we just don't seem to get a horse race on there, you
- 19 know. I would think that, if you're doing business
- 20 with NBC -- whether it's you or whether it's
- 21 Hollywood Park in any capacity -- you could certainly
- 22 either buy the time or you could make sure that they
- 23 represent horse racing as their panorama of sports
- 24 programming. That's all I'm saying.
- MR. McALPINE: I agree with you. It's a great

- 1 opportunity.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Any further issues?
- 3 (No audible response.)
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: Can I have a motion?
- 5 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: So moved.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: It's moved. And seconded by
- 7 Marie to approve the XpressBet application.
- 8 All in favor?
- 9 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. I do appreciate your
- 11 expanded coverage on HRTV. You guys have done a lot
- 12 more in the last year or two, especially getting on
- 13 the dish.
- MR. McALPINE: Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. We've got two items
- 16 here -- Items 7 and 8 -- which I think we'll do
- 17 concurrently because they both deal with who will
- 18 operate the simulcast wagering facility at the San
- 19 Mateo -- well, at Bay Meadows or at the San Mateo
- 20 County Fair -- or that -- that right, really. Who's
- 21 going to present on that?
- MS. NOBLE: Pat Noble, CHRB staff.
- 23 Bay Meadows Racing Association
- 24 proposes to operate as a simulcast wagering facility
- 25 at Bay Meadows Racecourse. This is a change in

- 1 ownership, not in location. It's the existing site
- 2 that's being used. They will operate on all days
- 3 that pari-mutuel wagering is conducted by a licensed
- 4 California meet. All items have been supplied for
- 5 that one.
- 6 And for San Mateo County Fair --
- 7 they're proposing to be at a new location -- the San
- 8 Mateo County Expo Center. They will operate all-day
- 9 simulcasting as conducted in Northern California
- 10 except the days Bay Meadows Racing Association is
- 11 conducting live race meets.
- 12 There are numerous items missing from
- 13 that application. And they're listed in the staff
- 14 analysis. Staff recommends that you hear from the
- 15 representatives.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: Easy way out.
- Do we have representatives from Bay
- 18 Meadows and San Mateo County who would like to make a
- 19 short presentation of issues? As I see it, this is
- 20 really a legislatively -- interpretation of
- 21 legislative language. Jack or Rod? Someone?
- MR. BLONIEN: Mr. Chairman, Members: Rod
- 23 Blonien, on behalf of the Bay Meadows Racing
- 24 Association.
- 25 We would respectfully request that our

- 1 application to operate the satellite-wagering
- 2 facility be granted and that the application of the
- 3 Fair's be set aside and not acted on.
- 4 First of all, I would like to indicate
- 5 that we have met all the requirements, all the legal
- 6 requirements, for licensure.
- 7 The Fair, on the other hand, has nine
- 8 items that are missing, including approval from the
- 9 Department of Food and Agriculture, approval of
- 10 NOTWINC, and on and on. They're listed in your
- 11 analysis.
- 12 But I would also like you to note that
- 13 we are supported by nine labor unions, who have given
- 14 us letters of support. And we'll be passing those
- 15 out to you shortly. We are supported by the hotel
- 16 employees and restaurant employees, SEIU 280,
- 17 Teamsters 450, IBEW, Teamsters 665, SEIU 1877, and
- 18 the San Mateo Building and Trades Union, as well.
- 19 We also have some pictures of our
- 20 facility that we'll be --
- 21 Could you get a little faster?
- Okay. Thanks.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: I think we've got 'em already.
- MR. BLONIEN: Okay. You've got the pictures
- 25 of our facility? Mr. Shapiro, earlier, was wondering

- 1 about money being spent on capital outlay at Bay
- 2 Meadows.
- I don't know if you've been there in
- 4 recent years, but there have been substantial
- 5 improvements to the backside, in terms of stables and
- 6 quarters for the backstretch employees. And you can
- 7 see, in these pictures, it's a very attractive
- 8 facility.
- 9 Additionally, our facility -- not only
- 10 in terms of amenities is our facility superior to
- 11 that of the Fair's, but we can handle up to 10,000
- 12 people for satellite wagering.
- 13 The Fair, in their application,
- 14 indicates that they can accommodate 1,500 for general
- 15 admission and another 200 for premium admission; so a
- 16 total of 1,700.
- 17 Last year, there were a hundred-and-
- 18 fifty-one days of satellite wagering available when
- 19 live racing was not conducted. The average daily
- 20 attendance was 1,638. 50 percent of the time, the
- 21 attendance exceeded 1,500. On 39 days, the
- 22 attendance was between 2,000 and 3,319.
- So in terms of the facility that the
- 24 Fair's proposing, according to their application,
- 25 they would be very stretched in terms of handling the

- 1 2,000. And they simply could not handle 3,319.
- 2 And also we had 8 days, in addition to
- 3 the 39, when we had attendance in excess of 2,500 up
- 4 to the 3,319. So we think, in terms of amenities,
- 5 we're superior. We meet all the requirements of the
- 6 statute. And we have a larger facility that can
- 7 accommodate the crowds that have been attending Bay
- 8 Meadows in recent years.
- 9 You -- most of you were present at the
- 10 CHRB meeting in September, when we went through the
- 11 legislative intent behind the enactment of this
- 12 section. And we feel compelled to go through that
- 13 again. We're going to do it in brief fashion.
- 14 Mr. Shapiro, I know that you were not
- 15 there. You hadn't been -- I think it was prior to
- 16 your appointment.
- 17 And so we will go through the
- 18 legislative intent. You --
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: If you're going through
- 20 it for me, I'm aware of this already. And you can
- 21 save the time. You don't need to; but if you want to
- 22 do it, do it.
- 23 MR. BLONIEN: Okay. You received a letter
- 24 from the Council for the San Mateo County Fair
- 25 indicating that legislative intent should only be

- 1 considered when you're looking at a statute that is
- 2 ambiguous.
- 3 However, and that -- there are many
- 4 cases that support that. But what we're looking at
- 5 here is we're looking at a statute that gives this
- 6 Board the discretion of whether or not to issue that
- 7 license to San Mateo County.
- 8 The law says that the Board may
- 9 authorize satellite wagering from San Mateo County.
- 10 That's Section 19605.45.
- 11 Going down to the next section, it
- 12 says, "The Board may authorize the satellite." It's
- 13 all discretionary. And in determining whether or not
- 14 for you to utilize your discretion, you need -- you
- 15 need to look at legislative intent. What did the
- 16 legislature intend when they gave this Board the
- 17 discretion to issue a license?
- 18 And so what I'm going to try to do, in
- 19 hurried fashion, is to go through the legislative
- 20 intent behind the enactment of this statute. And I
- 21 think I can speak loud enough that everyone can hear
- 22 me. I don't need a microphone.
- But the first thing we'd point to is
- 24 the analysis from the Assembly Governmental
- 25 Organizations Committee. And just going down to the

- 1 bottom, it says, "This bill attempts to address the
- 2 problem by providing that, if Bay Meadows closes, the
- 3 Fair can operate satellite-wagering facilities on its
- 4 grounds, which is next to the San Mateo County Fair."
- 5 So it's premised on the closure of Bay
- 6 Meadows.
- 7 Next, we go to the analysis that was
- 8 submitted by the California Horse Racing Board,
- 9 signed by Roy Wood.
- 10 "Summary: This bill would allow San
- 11 Mateo County Fair to operate a satellite-wagering
- 12 facility on the fairgrounds or on leased property if
- 13 the Bay Meadows racetrack closes. This bill would
- 14 allow continuation of satellite wagering at San Mateo
- 15 County in the event that Bay Meadows racetrack
- 16 closes."
- Next, we have a letter, from the
- 18 author to the governor, after the bill has cleared
- 19 the legislature. And it says, "AB 2338 simply
- 20 provides the San Mateo County Fair may operate a
- 21 satellite-wagering facility on its Fairgrounds or on
- 22 leased premises in San Mateo County contingent --
- 23 contingent upon the closure of Bay Meadows."
- We have a letter from a member of the
- 25 Board of Supervisors in San Mateo County --

- 1 Mr. "Terry Hill" (phonetic). It says, "AB 2338 would
- 2 clarify the law by permitting satellite wagering in
- 3 San Mateo County if Bay Meadows closes."
- Then we come back, and we have two
- 5 letters from the general manager of the Fair. The
- 6 first one is addressed to "Jerome Horton" (phonetic).
- 7 It says, "In anticipation of the closure of Bay
- 8 Meadows" -- it goes on -- "in certainty of the
- 9 outcome, once the track is closed and communicated
- 10 for the passage of this bill."
- 11 Then we have a letter from Mr. "Rood"
- 12 (phonetic), again, the general manager, to the
- 13 governor when the bill's on the governor's desk. And
- 14 he goes, "This will result in no horse racing at the
- 15 facility in the future" -- talking about the closure
- 16 of Bay Meadows -- "when this occurs, the bill will
- 17 allow them to have satellite wagering."
- This is a copy of the enrolled bill
- 19 memorandum that the governor's staff prepares when
- 20 the bill file goes in to the governor.
- 21 And you will note that here it says,
- 22 "Bay Meadows closes its facility. San Mateo
- 23 currently conducts pari-mutuel wagering activities
- 24 and satellite-wagering facility housed in the Bay
- 25 Meadows grandstand. If and when Bay Meadows closes,

- 1 so does San Mateo's satellite operation."
- 2 Key words -- "if and when Bay Meadows
- 3 closes."
- 4 Governor Davis, then, after he read
- 5 the analysis, considered all of this issue in a
- 6 signing statement. That doesn't happen on every
- 7 bill. It's a somewhat -- it's not unusual, but it
- 8 happens infrequently.
- 9 "I'm signing AB 2338, which will allow
- 10 the San Mateo Fair to operate a satellite-wagering
- 11 facility on its grounds or on leased property if the
- 12 Bay Meadows Racetrack closes its facility. This bill
- 13 will allow for the continuance of satellite wagering
- 14 in San Mateo County in the event that Bay Meadows
- 15 Racetrack closes."
- I mean seldom do you see such weight
- 17 of legislative intent expressed across the board.
- 18 And you have the words, the letters from the manager
- 19 of the Fair saying, "This is going -- we're going to
- 20 make this application once the satellite-wagering
- 21 facility closes."
- Let me talk about what's in the best
- 23 interest of horse racing 'cause I think that is
- 24 the -- what this Board is really charged with doing.
- 25 If you decide to deny Bay Meadows's application, you

- 1 will have a situation where there will be only one
- 2 racetrack in the whole state that doesn't have a
- 3 satellite-wagering facility.
- 4 We all know that horse racing is very
- 5 fragile in this state. And we need -- more than
- 6 satellite-wagering facilities, we need racing venues.
- 7 We need places where people can take their horses and
- 8 run them. Right now, it's a touch-and-go situation
- 9 with respect to Bay Meadows because of the value of
- 10 the land.
- 11 The owner is making a commitment to
- 12 continue racing, at least in the near future. And
- 13 how far that goes out, I don't think anyone knows.
- 14 We also need to dial in and look at
- 15 what's happening in terms of Golden Gate Fields and
- 16 Casino "San Pablo" (phonetic). The governor has
- 17 signed a compact with the tribes for 2,500 slot
- 18 machines at Casino San Pablo, which is less than
- 19 seven miles from Golden Gate Fields.
- 20 At this point, the continued operation
- 21 of Golden Gate Fields is on the bubble because of
- 22 that facility. And I don't -- you know, last time I
- 23 talked about what happened to the Woodlands in Kansas
- 24 City when the riverboats got slot machines a short
- 25 distance away -- went from having record handle to

- 1 going out of business.
- We also know what happened in
- 3 "Canterbury" (phonetic) Downs. We also know what
- 4 happened at other places. This full-scale casino
- 5 gambling and horse racing is incompatible. And
- 6 unfortunately, horse racing ends up being the more
- 7 fragile part of the industry. And it goes away.
- 8 So if that happens, you're only left
- 9 with one facility in Northern California. And we
- 10 need to ensure that Bay Meadows continues to operate
- 11 as a racing venue.
- 12 I think that, overall, if you look,
- 13 again, at what's best for California horse racing; if
- 14 you take a look at the size of our facility, compare
- 15 it with their facility; if you look at the fact that
- 16 we have complied with all the requirements, including
- 17 the workers' comp that was referenced in your
- 18 analysis -- it's there now; we have satisfied that
- 19 requirement -- that you are compelled to grant us our
- 20 license and to set the one for the Fair aside.
- 21 And with that, I thank you. And I'd
- 22 be happy to answer any questions that you may.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I have a question.
- MR. BLONIEN: Yes, sir.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Let's cut to the chase.

- 1 How long can we count on Bay Meadows being there to
- 2 conduct horse racing? I mean I understand all those
- 3 arguments. And I couldn't agree with you more that
- 4 we want to see horse racing in Northern California
- 5 exist.
- 6 And both tracks are up in precarious
- 7 positions. How long are the owners of Bay Meadows
- 8 willing to commit to the California horse racing
- 9 industry to keep it open for horse racing? That's
- 10 what it comes down to.
- 11 MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Shapiro, I'm -- my name is
- 12 Jack Liebau, again. I'm president of the Bay Meadows
- 13 Racing Association.
- 14 I'm really not in any position to make
- 15 that commitment. I would say that every day that Bay
- 16 Meadows racetrack is open is better than having it
- 17 being closed. We certainly have put together
- 18 another -- a management team to run the track. Those
- 19 people have been given all long-term contracts.
- 20 So I mean there is no indication that
- 21 the track is going to close immediately.
- I would say that I have in my hand
- 23 here an article -- and I don't know whether people
- 24 put much faith in what's written -- but the article
- 25 is from the San Francisco Business Times. And it

- 1 says, "Next step in San Mateo track makeover hits
- 2 snaq. After a smooth approvals process for the first
- 3 stage of the Bay Meadows redevelopment, things are
- 4 starting to get complicated in -- for the developer
- 5 of Bay Meadows Land Company."
- I mean right next door to Bay Meadows
- 7 in San Mateo -- and I'm certainly not saying that
- 8 this is going to happen in San Mateo, but it's a fact
- 9 of life -- Redwood Shores just had a development that
- 10 was not quite as big as the Bay Meadows site. It was
- 11 approved by all of the agencies and the city council
- 12 and everything else.
- 13 There was a referendum. It was voted
- 14 down. There's just no control in California as to
- 15 how long it's going to take in the entitlement
- 16 process. Nothing can happen until you get the
- 17 entitlements. If the neighbors, which is called "The
- 18 Save Bay Meadows Committee, " goes for the referendum,
- 19 that's another thing.
- 20 If Bay Meadows had gotten its
- 21 entitlements in 2000, it would have been all for
- 22 office buildings because that's what the market was
- 23 at that point in time. As you know, there is no
- 24 market on the peninsula right now. We have
- 25 see-through office buildings.

- 1 So we're not only looking at it being
- 2 speculated as to when we will get those entitlements,
- 3 you got to speculate on what the market's going to be
- 4 at that point in time. And all I thought I can leave
- 5 you with is I don't think that we're going to be
- 6 going out of business in the near future. And every
- 7 day we're in business, I think it's in the best
- 8 interest of racing in Northern California.
- 9 CHAIR HARRIS: Could you also say that, by
- 10 being a satellite facility, is an additional reason
- 11 to stay there as a track?
- MR. LIEBAU: Oh, absolutely, because I mean
- 13 the stream -- the revenue stream to any live track
- 14 that it gets during the off season is extremely
- 15 important. I mean it -- I mean that's just a given.
- I mean there's no question that the
- 17 operation of the satellite facility is profitable and
- 18 contributes to our bottom line and, for that reason,
- 19 makes it possible for us to stay in business. If we
- 20 didn't have that, I can assure you that the demise of
- 21 Bay Meadows will be sooner than later.
- I'm not too sure that there isn't any
- 23 racetrack in California, probably other than Del Mar,
- 24 that's not sitting on land that at some point in
- 25 time, has more -- better use as far as the return

- 1 that can be derived from it, whether it's Hollywood
- 2 Park, Los Alamitos, or wherever. I mean it's just a
- 3 fact of life.
- I will say this, that, in 1992, when I
- 5 first went to Bay Meadows, it was predicted, at that
- 6 point in time, that we would be out of business and
- 7 as I -- you may or may not know -- Mr. Harris was
- 8 then chairman of the board. We were in proxy fights
- 9 about closing down Bay Meadows and moving everything
- 10 to Golden Gate Fields.
- 11 So I mean there's just no question. I
- 12 mean I -- it's, you know -- what? -- 12, 13 years
- 13 since Bay Meadows was supposed to be closed then.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, can we hear from the San
- 15 Mateo arguments too?
- MR. CARPENTER: First of all, thank you for
- 17 your time today. I'm Chris Carpenter, General
- 18 Manager of the San Mateo County Expo Center, San
- 19 Mateo County Fair.
- 20 And we do respectfully request your
- 21 approval on our applications for license to conduct
- 22 satellite wagering. I think, to begin, I'd like to
- 23 point out that, on two separate occasions, we have
- 24 met with Bay Meadows at length over a few days.
- 25 And this -- the last meeting just

- 1 recently ended Tuesday afternoon of this week to
- 2 negotiate another long-term agreement. Those
- 3 negotiations ended Tuesday in not putting together a
- 4 formal agreement.
- 5 And that also is why we're meeting in
- 6 front of you today, asking for a request to approve
- 7 our license for a satellite-wagering facility. There
- 8 are certain items missing in our application at this
- 9 point. But we are very much ready to move forward
- 10 very quickly and would even go so far as to say that
- 11 there are plans for this facility out there.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Is there a consensus by all the
- 13 parties that this -- the language is "may? I mean
- 14 the Board would have the right to give to either --
- 15 either facility? I mean is that a given? Or is San
- 16 Mateo taking the attitude that you should have it
- 17 because of the law?
- MR. McCARTHY: Niall McCarthy. I'm the
- 19 attorney for San Mateo County Fair, the one who
- 20 submitted the November 18 letter.
- 21 In fact, just the opposite is true.
- 22 The Board has essentially two options here. One is
- 23 to give no satellite-wagering license; and, two, is
- 24 to give it only to San Mateo County Fair.
- We had a discussion there -- the

- 1 legislative history. And what I found was
- 2 interesting was there was no discussion of the
- 3 statute. And the statute itself is extremely clear.
- What the statute says is, if the
- 5 entity who had a license in 2002 is not licensed in
- 6 the subsequent year, San Mateo County Fair has the
- 7 right to satellite wagering. And if you look at the
- 8 statute, there certainly is the word "may." But if
- 9 you read the entire sentence, it says, "The Board may
- 10 authorize satellite wagering in San Mateo County only
- 11 as provided in this section."
- 12 And the section then describes the
- 13 Fair has the exclusive right, the point being
- 14 relatively simple from the legal perspective that, if
- 15 a statute is unambiguous, you, as public officers,
- 16 have a duty to discharge the statute.
- 17 And the reason I would suggest that
- 18 Mr. Blonien didn't refer to the statute is because
- 19 just that. The statute is extremely clear that San
- 20 Mateo has that exclusive right -- San Mateo Fair.
- Does that answer your question?
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: Yes.
- 23 Any questions? Or maybe I guess Norm
- 24 is -- go ahead.
- MR. TOWNE: Yes. I'd like to speak briefly to

- 1 intent. If the intent of the legislation is, as Bay
- 2 Meadows Racing Association represents it --
- 3 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Identify yourself,
- 4 please.
- 5 MR. TOWNE: Norm Towne, representing San Mateo
- 6 County Fair.
- 7 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- 8 MR. TOWNE: If the intent of the legislation
- 9 is, as Bay Meadows Racing Association says it is,
- 10 that the trigger point for the Board to exclusively
- 11 license the facility to the San Mateo County Fair is,
- 12 in fact, the closure or cessation of racing at Bay
- 13 Meadows, we wouldn't have run the bill in the first
- 14 place because, if Bay Meadows closes or racing ceases
- 15 there, the only party that could have a
- 16 satellite-wagering facility in San Mateo would be a
- 17 fairground, namely, the San Mateo County Fair.
- We wouldn't have run the bill. No.
- 19 The intent, all along, was the fear that the owner of
- 20 the property, who had already demonstrated that they
- 21 were willing to take half of it and devote it to
- 22 nonracing uses, would continue to pursue development
- 23 and there would be no live racing and no satellite
- 24 wagering in San Mateo County to the detriment of both
- 25 the Fair and the then-operator Magna.

- 1 Magna and the Fair went jointly to the
- 2 legislature to get this bill passed. And that
- 3 specific language was inserted because it was in the
- 4 best interests of the Fair and the best interests of
- 5 the then-operator Magna not to have the property
- 6 owner be the licensee and/or a designated licensee of
- 7 the property owner in a subsequent year when Magna
- 8 wasn't licensed whose best -- who didn't have the
- 9 best interests of Northern California Thoroughbred
- 10 racing at heart.
- 11 In fact, the subsequent operator could
- 12 have been another breed operator, not to denigrate
- 13 any other breeds. But Magna and the San Mateo County
- 14 Fair and Thoroughbred racing in California --
- 15 Northern California in particular -- were best served
- by this bill because it's the best of both worlds.
- 17 The first preference of the Fair, all
- 18 along, has been for the Bay Meadows Racing
- 19 Association to continue to operate the live fair race
- 20 days and to continue to operate the satellite-
- 21 wagering facility in its present location.
- We have offered the Bay Meadows
- 23 Racing -- to the Bay Meadows Racing Association to
- 24 allow them to do that very thing, without
- 25 compensation, so long as they conduct a live race

- 1 meeting. I don't know what else the Fair can do in
- 2 this instance or how more fair they can be -- pun
- 3 intended. There is no loss to Bay Meadows.
- 4 The only issue here is "Who gets the
- 5 license? Who holds the license?"
- 6 If the Fair is willing to hold the
- 7 license -- as the law says, that's the only licensee
- 8 that this Board can recognize -- if the Fair is
- 9 willing to hold the license and allow the Bay Meadows
- 10 Racing Association exclusively to operate so long as
- 11 they conduct live racing, without compensation, why
- 12 is there any harm here?
- 13 And why isn't that in the best
- 14 interests of racing, speaking to Mr. Blonien's point?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Can I just clarify
- 16 something? So the Fair -- satellite wagering would
- 17 continue at Bay Meadows Racetrack, but it would be
- 18 operated by the Fair?
- MR. TOWNE: No. Based on our last offer to
- 20 the Bay Meadows Racing Association, as I understand
- 21 it --
- 22 And, Chris, you can correct me and
- 23 Mr. Liebau can also if it's not correct.
- 24 -- but the last offer, as I understand
- 25 it, was that the San Mateo County Fair would apply

- 1 annually to the Board for a license to conduct
- 2 satellite wagering at the Bay Meadows Racetrack and,
- 3 in our agreement, exclusively appoint the Bay Meadows
- 4 Racing Association as the operator of that satellite-
- 5 wagering facility, both during the time they're
- 6 running live racing and in the off parts of the year
- 7 when they're not conducting live racing, under the
- 8 Fair's license without compensation to the Fair, so
- 9 long as they are running live racing.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: So could you comment on that?
- 11 MR. LIEBAU: Yes. I can comment on two things
- 12 that Mr. Towne might want to address.
- 13 First of all, there's legislation that
- 14 has been passed where the Cow Palace could put a
- 15 satellite at their facility in Daly City. If the Cow
- 16 Palace put that facility in Daly City and this
- 17 legislation did not exist, the San Mateo County Fair
- 18 would not be able to have a satellite facility
- 19 because of the 20-mile limitation. That's a problem.
- 20 As far as what the proposal has been,
- 21 we have had proposals from this Fair board that we
- 22 frankly consider to be extortion. Their first offer
- was that they wanted us to pay 'em \$750,000 annually.
- 24 We don't even make that much from the satellite.
- Their second proposal was that, after

- 1 so many years, we would pay -- I think after five
- 2 years, we would start paying them \$250,000 a year.
- We were close to an agreement. But I
- 4 have in my hand here a letter from the county
- 5 counsel. It's completely contrary to what they're
- 6 now saying. I'll read: "This grant of an exclusive
- 7 right to Bay Meadows Racing Association will be --
- 8 will be without compensation to the Fair for five
- 9 years or until live racing ceases at Bay Meadows
- 10 Racecourse, whichever occurs" --
- 11 I have a little credibility problem
- 12 here. And I also have a problem with how the
- 13 California Horse Racing Board can grant a license to
- 14 the Fair that's a complete and total sham. They have
- 15 no interest in the Bay Meadows Racetrack. They have
- 16 no lease. They have nothing.
- 17 And are you going to license the Fair
- 18 and then just blink and have it be operated by the
- 19 Bay Meadows Racing Association? I mean this is --
- 20 what -- what --
- 21 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: The live racing
- 22 continues at Bay Meadows.
- MR. LIEBAU: Yes.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: We're not talking
- 25 about --

- 1 MR. LIEBAU: No. I understand that. I
- 2 understand. But I mean what they are suggesting,
- 3 which is contrary to the offer that was submitted to
- 4 us on -- at 12:00 noon on November 30, where they're
- 5 saying that, you know, "We don't want any money and
- 6 that it's forever until you quit" is just not true.
- 7 It's just not there.
- 8 And what they're -- what I was
- 9 thinking --
- 10 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Is that what you -- are
- 11 you in agreement with him?
- MR. CARPENTER: Well, no. I have the same
- 13 document. And as Jack read, I guess, which is what I
- 14 don't understand is this grant of exclusive right to
- 15 BMRI will be "without compensation to the Fair for
- 16 five years or until live racing ceases at Bay Meadows
- 17 Racecourse, whichever occurs sooner."
- 18 MR. LIEBAU: And what happens -- what happens
- 19 after the five years if we're still running?
- 20 MR. CARPENTER: If you're still running, it's
- 21 covered in there.
- 22 MR. LIEBAU: No. I --
- MR. CARPENTER: -- till live racing ceases --
- MR. LIEBAU: No, it does not, Mr. Carpenter.
- You know, you have to read. It says,

- 1 "whichever occurs first." If the five years comes
- 2 first and we're still running, you would -- there --
- 3 you're not saying it's without compensation.
- 4 MR. CARPENTER: Well, in a conversation that I
- 5 had with "Paul Scannel" (phonetic), who has been
- 6 leading up our negotiations on this, which is
- 7 assistant county -- Assistant County Manager for San
- 8 Mateo County, he stated that, in the negotiations, we
- 9 would even be willing to change that to say 6, 7, 8
- 10 years -- whatever Bay Meadows would like to see in
- 11 the agreement.
- 12 And we stand on that same premise.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Are you willing to make
- it say "the latter of"?
- MR. LIEBAU: Well, Mr. Shapiro, I don't know
- 16 whether they are or not.
- 17 I mean the problem is would the Horse
- 18 Racing Board be comfortable in licensing an entity
- 19 that has no prior experience in this business, that
- 20 doesn't have any interest in the property where their
- 21 satellite facility is going to be conducted, and is
- 22 not going to have anything to do with the operation
- 23 of the facility? It's a complete and total sham.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: I -- I think the only reason to
- 25 do that would be that if we felt that was the only

- 1 option we had because of the legislation.
- 2 But I think what's clear is that if
- 3 Bay Meadows -- if racing at Bay Meadows goes away and
- 4 it's developed, clearly the current Bay Meadows
- 5 operation would not have a satellite facility. They
- 6 wouldn't. It's just what's happening in the interim.
- 7 So really we're -- you're, in a way,
- 8 not that far apart with this offer. But I don't see
- 9 what the purpose of the offer is other than if, you
- 10 know, we legally couldn't license Bay Meadows.
- 11 MR. McCARTHY: If I can go -- Niall McCarthy
- 12 speaking.
- 13 If I could just go back to a
- 14 fundamental point -- it's certainly admirable that
- 15 both sides have sat down and tried to work this out.
- 16 But if I could just direct the Board's attention to
- 17 the Business and Professions Code, Section
- 18 19605.45 -- again, we saw this in the legislative
- 19 history -- no one actually told you what the statute
- 20 says.
- 21 And it is extremely clear. It says --
- 22 quote -- "The Board may authorize satellite wagering
- 23 in San Mateo County only as provided in this
- 24 section."
- It then states, "The facility may be

- 1 operated by the Fair, or the Fair may contract for
- 2 the operation and management of that satellite-
- 3 wagering facility."
- 4 There's only one option available
- 5 under this law as it's written, which is San Mateo
- 6 County Fair gets the license. So without going to
- 7 the factual history of why Mr. Liebau is wrong on his
- 8 criticisms, it's -- frankly it's irrelevant. And the
- 9 legislative history is irrelevant. We have a law
- 10 that is extremely clear, written by the legislature.
- 11 And if the Bay Meadows group has a
- 12 problem with it, frankly, their problem should be
- 13 directed to the legislature. But I would suggest,
- 14 respectfully, to this Board that your obligation
- 15 would be to follow this licensing requirement.
- I don't think there's really any
- 17 ambiguity in what the language says.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: So we have a obligation
- 19 between law, intent. Very frankly, it doesn't sound
- 20 like you're prepared to turn around and operate it.
- 21 You don't have all of the --
- MR. TOWNE: Let me speak to the preparation
- 23 thing, if I may. And I think Mr. Harris alluded to
- 24 this.
- 25 If, in fact, the Bay Meadows Land

- 1 Company goes before the planning commission, the city
- 2 council, and development rights move ahead for that
- 3 property and it's on a fast track and the license is
- 4 being held by the Fair and Bay Meadows is operating
- 5 their live meet and their satellite-wagering
- 6 facility, then we know that that facility is going to
- 7 be developed.
- 8 The San Mateo County Fair, just as all
- 9 fairs in California, who have spent \$67 million
- 10 dollars developing the satellite network, would be
- 11 prepared to put a facility in place, a first class
- 12 facility. We also, you know, are not -- we're trying
- 13 to be good citizens and do things in the best
- 14 interests of racing here. We're not holding anyone
- 15 up in terms of their monetary loss to Bay Meadows
- 16 Racing Association or to the industry in general.
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It's -- the real crux
- 18 of the issue here is that law states that, if Bay
- 19 Meadows Operating Company ceased to race -- to
- 20 exist -- okay? -- but now there's Bay Meadows Racing
- 21 Association. Okay?
- 22 And -- and they are conducting the
- 23 live racing. And crucial to their business is
- 24 conducting the simulcasting as well.
- Now you're stepping in, if I

- 1 understand this -- and correct me if I don't -- and
- 2 you're saying, "But the law says that, if Bay Meadows
- 3 Operating Company" -- unfortunately it named the
- 4 operator -- "ceases to exist, they don't have the
- 5 right. We have the right."
- 6 Isn't that really what your position
- 7 is here?
- 8 MR. McCARTHY: I'll just quote the statute for
- 9 you.
- 10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Don't quote the
- 11 statute. Just tell me -- just tell me. Isn't that,
- in layman's terms, what's happening?
- MR. McCARTHY: Layman's terms, you're
- 14 essentially correct. What it says is --
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. So --
- MR. McCARTHY: -- license.
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: All right. But there
- 18 is an active racing association. The racetrack is
- 19 still a racetrack and operating as a racetrack. So
- 20 aren't you really looking at a technicality as a
- 21 means to grab the simulcasting?
- MR. McCARTHY: Oh, not at all. I think the
- 23 problem with the premises your -- of your question is
- 24 that you're assuming Bay Meadows Operating Company is
- 25 essentially the same entity as the --

- 1 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No. I'm not.
- 3 didn't recognize that another racing association
- 4 conducting racing at the same time and the same
- 5 place, that's supporting the overall racing industry
- 6 by doing that, should have been able -- and it
- 7 probably was the intent, based on all the
- 8 communications I've seen -- that it was really the
- 9 intent that, so long as the track continued to
- 10 operate as a live racing facility, that's where the
- 11 simulcasting should be.
- What you're doing is you're saying,
- 13 "It doesn't matter that they're a live racing
- 14 association. Technically, the law says that their
- 15 ability to hold simulcasting expired in 2002, when
- 16 Bay Meadows Operating Company ceased to exist."
- 17 And I don't think that is in the
- 18 benefit of California horse racing. We appreciate
- 19 that you want -- or I appreciate that you want to
- 20 continue simulcasting and build a nice facility. I
- 21 wish they had a long-enough commitment that they
- 22 could do that on their facility.
- 23 But to take it away from the operating
- 24 track would put them at a tremendous unfair
- 25 disadvantage. I'm assuming you see that. Do you

- 1 not?
- 2 MR. TOWNE: Yes. Other than -- except that
- 3 all we're taking away from them is the technicality,
- 4 none of the practicality --
- 5 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No. You're using the
- 6 technicality to take away the license --
- 7 MR. TOWNE: The reality --
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- feature of their
- 9 business.
- 10 MR. TOWNE: The reality is they will still
- 11 operate their business just as they do --
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Unprofitably.
- MR. TOWNE: No. We're not extracting money
- 14 for that. There's no compensation required.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: There's just --
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: I mean I guess there's -- the
- 17 bothersome thing is it's not straightforward. It's
- 18 just more straightforward to license Bay Meadows.
- 19 And eventually, if they ever go away, it's going to
- 20 be San Mateo anyway.
- 21 But to have this period of time -- I
- 22 don't know what that really accomplishes.
- MR. BLONIEN: Mr. Harris --
- 24 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: But the --
- MR. BLONIEN: Pardon me.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Oh, I just wanted to --
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I guess we've got a case
- 3 here where the intent of the law -- as I remember the
- 4 law, when I was going through -- clearly the intent
- 5 was not the way the thing has played out.
- 6 MR. BLONIEN: We have a letter from Jerome
- 7 Horton, who's the chairman of the Assembly
- 8 Governmental Organizations Committee, which this bill
- 9 went through. And it's in support of the Bay Meadows
- 10 Racing Association application. And the letter's
- 11 being handed out to you.
- 12 Let me just quote the second-to-last
- 13 paragraph. "It's important to promote and encourage
- 14 the continuation of live racing. By stripping --
- 15 stripping the racetrack of its right to continue to
- 16 conduct satellite wagering, it jeopardizes the
- 17 racetrack's economic viability. It is important that
- 18 Bay Area racetracks, like Bay Meadows and Golden Gate
- 19 Fields, continue to receive public support and
- 20 visibility to maintain the vital industry's economic
- 21 stability."
- 22 And on this issue of legislative
- 23 intent, we found a case -- it's a brand-new case.
- 24 It's July 21 case from the Second District Court of
- 25 Appeal here in Los Angeles. We're going to hand that

- 1 to you as well. Let me quote from the case in part.
- 2 "Words are inexact tools, at best.
- 3 And for that reason, there is wisely no rule of law
- 4 forbidding resort to explanatory legislative history,
- 5 no matter how clear the words may appear on
- 6 superficial examination. Thus, where the statutory
- 7 language is not ambiguous, the intent of the
- 8 legislature is the end and aim of all statutory
- 9 construction."
- 10 Gentlemen and Miss Moretti, I got to
- 11 tell you: I think this is an issue of integrity.
- 12 How can you go to Sacramento, tell the Assembly G.O.
- 13 Committee, tell the Senate G.O. Committee, tell the
- 14 Appropriations Committees in both houses, tell the
- 15 governor that this will happen when Bay Meadows
- 16 ceases to exist as a racetrack, then come here and
- 17 say, "No. If there's a change in the operating
- 18 company, then this triggers"?
- 19 How can they do that? I mean I
- 20 wouldn't have the gall to go to the legislature and
- 21 tell them one thing and come here and tell you
- 22 something else. I mean good heavens.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Blonien, I spoke
- 24 with Assemblyman Horton. And he also offered to get
- 25 "Lou Papet" (phonetic) on the phone. And you're

- 1 right. And I think he made it very clear that the
- 2 legislative intent was for Bay Meadows, as long as it
- 3 operated as a racetrack, to continue to hold the
- 4 license.
- 5 The question is, if they're willing to
- 6 stand in, as a licensee, at no cost to you and would
- 7 commit to a sufficient term, why is that not
- 8 acceptable, then, to you? What are you giving up by
- 9 doing that?
- 10 MR. BLONIEN: Well, I, just from a --
- MR. LIEBAU: Just one minute, Rod.
- 12 One other part of their agreement is
- 13 that we pay 'em \$250,000 for planning on their
- 14 property.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: You know you're making
- 16 me dizzy with all this --
- 17 MR. LIEBAU: Yes. I understand. But I mean
- 18 the facts here are being somewhat skewed.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
- 20 MR. LIEBAU: I mean they're telling you that,
- 21 oh, we can be there forever, when their negotiator
- 22 has sent us a letter and said, "No." They're saying
- 23 it's without compensation.
- 24 You know, it's just baloney. They
- want 250,000 on top of all this for planning. You

- 1 know, let's get down and tell the truth, guys. Let's
- 2 get with the integrity.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. They want
- 4 \$250,000 for what? For planning?
- 5 MR. LIEBAU: Planning on their property, sir.
- 6 It's in the agreement.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. Is that true?
- 8 Are you willing to waive that, then?
- 9 MR. CARPENTER: Jack Liebau offered that in
- 10 negotiations. We did not ask for that.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. Well, let's say
- 12 he offered it and changed his mind. Are you willing
- 13 to --
- 14 MR. LIEBAU: If anybody thinks Jack Liebau
- would offer \$250,000, they're nuts.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: Maybe he thought it was \$250.
- 17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, Mr. Carpenter,
- 18 if he offered it and he didn't mean it, are you
- 19 willing to waive the \$250,000 and stand in their
- 20 place?
- 21 MR. CARPENTER: I can talk to Paul Scannel,
- 22 who was selected on San Mateo County to negotiate the
- 23 agreement. And, yes, I will talk to him.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And, Mr. Liebau,
- 25 would -- come on back now.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: The whole other part of the
- 2 issue, though, do we -- I mean, unless we absolutely
- 3 have to do it this way, do we really want to do it?
- 4 I mean it seems like we ought to have a more
- 5 straightforward process.
- 6 But I guess it's really a legal
- 7 question.
- 8 MR. BLONIEN: I mean if there are deficiencies
- 9 and you need to take action against the licensee,
- 10 that's the Fair. But the folks that are operating
- 11 are -- is Bay Meadows. I mean I don't think you want
- 12 to set this precedent -- well, I mean having someone
- 13 hold the license and somebody else operate.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: The only reason we'd do that
- 15 would be if we had some legal opinion that we
- 16 absolutely had to do that. But as I see it, it's
- 17 ambiguous enough and there's these court cases that
- 18 there's justification to just license directly.
- MR. BLONIEN: And there's one last thing: I
- 20 would respectfully request that, if there is a motion
- 21 made to grant the license to Bay Meadows, that it be
- 22 indicated that this is the opinion of the Board for
- 23 the best interest of horse racing because we've been
- 24 told that we're likely to be in superior court and
- 25 have this challenged.

- 1 And if you indicate this is for the
- 2 best interest of horse racing, it makes it much more
- 3 difficult for them to overturn the ruling of the
- 4 Board.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I'm told that our
- 6 deputy attorney general has an opinion on this. I'd
- 7 like to hear it.
- 8 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL KNIGHT: Yes. We've
- 9 been asked to look at the issue.
- 10 And we have. It's our informal advice
- 11 that the County's position is essentially the correct
- 12 one. That's how we come out on it for a couple of
- 13 reasons. The statute -- as they point out, the
- 14 language of the statute is unambiguous. It's very
- 15 clear what it says.
- And, secondly, in addition to -- and,
- 17 admittedly, the legislative intent was all talking
- 18 about the closure of Bay Meadows. No question about
- 19 that. They didn't seem to envision this scenario
- 20 even happening when the bill was going through the
- 21 legislature.
- However, there's another piece of
- 23 legislative background which was not brought out.
- 24 And that is that there was, in the same bill, this
- 25 trigger language.

- 1 This same trigger language was added
- 2 to another statute which allowed the County -- which
- 3 is a statute which allows the County to shop for a
- 4 new venue to race. And that statute already had, in
- 5 it, the trigger language of the closure of Bay
- 6 Meadows.
- 7 So this legislative change added the
- 8 trigger language of the licensee no longer existing
- 9 to a statute that already had the trigger language of
- 10 the closure of Bay Meadows. So we found that to be
- 11 significant in our legal analysis, you know.
- 12 Just so you know, that was the thought
- 13 process we went through.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: Did you --
- 15 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL KNIGHT: This is not
- 16 an absolute. There's no black and white on this.
- 17 This is our best advice.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: This case -- this Kramer
- 19 case -- somebody mentioned that. I mean the real
- 20 point is, is this the letter of the law or the intent
- 21 of the law? And it looks like this Kramer case talks
- 22 about that and says --
- 23 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL KNIGHT: There are
- 24 some cases -- and we've cited in our advice to the
- 25 Board as well -- there are cases that have said in --

- 1 if it make -- if it leads to an absurd result that
- 2 you can -- the courts have, in the past, ignored the
- 3 literal language of the law.
- 4 However, in this case, the intent is
- 5 clearly to continue satellite wagering in San Mateo
- 6 County, which is met by this. And that sort of went
- 7 into our thinking. Again, this is a balancing --
- 8 it's a sort of a -- it's a -- there's no black-and-
- 9 white square corners that you can reach on this kind
- 10 of a dilemma.
- 11 But we did conclude that was our best
- 12 advice to the Board that -- that the closure or --
- 13 I'm sorry -- that the change in the licensure did
- 14 trigger the language that now makes the County the
- 15 exclusive licensee or its -- it can contract, as was
- 16 pointed out by its counsel.
- 17 The statute specifically provides that
- 18 the County can contract for provision of satellite
- 19 wagering.
- 20 CHAIR HARRIS: But, clearly, if Bay Meadows
- 21 Operating Company had stayed in place, this wouldn't
- 22 be an issue. It seems to me that law maybe was
- 23 encouraged by Magna to give them better negotiating
- 24 strength with the landowner.
- 25 MR. DARUTY: Chairman Harris, Scott Daruty,

- 1 Chief U.S. Counsel for Magna Entertainment.
- 2 I would like to address that point if
- 3 I might. And I'd also like to address -- Norm Towne
- 4 had made some comments earlier that this legislation
- 5 was passed at the insistence or suggestion of Magna.
- 6 That is simply not correct.
- 7 It was passed at the behest of one
- 8 individual person. That person used to be in charge
- 9 of California's -- Magna's California operations. I
- 10 think we all know who that is. He's now arguing that
- 11 the statute doesn't mean what it says it means.
- No one within Magna's organization,
- 13 including myself, was aware of that statute until
- 14 after Mr. Liebau's departure. So, you know, we don't
- 15 really have a dog in this fight. And I just wanted
- 16 to make clear this was not some sort of Magna plot to
- 17 put ourselves in better negotiating position. Thank
- 18 you.
- 19 CHAIR HARRIS: If I could -- I think you had a
- 20 dog in the fight, but the dog left.
- 21 MR. McCARTHY: If I could, very briefly, I've
- 22 been waiting to circle back to you, Commissioner
- 23 Shapiro, on this issue of "Was it a technical change
- 24 in the law? Are we capitalizing on a technicality?"
- 25 If you have a chance and review the

- 1 letter brief we submitted, beginning on Page 4, you
- 2 will see that there are various triggering provisions
- 3 in the statute. The one we're talking about is the
- 4 "failure to relicense." But there's other triggering
- 5 provisions, which the attorney general just
- 6 mentioned, that specifically require a closing.
- 7 So the legislature had the option of
- 8 requiring the closure of Bay Meadows as the
- 9 triggering provision for this satellite wagering.
- 10 They chose not to do that.
- 11 Then we walk through the legislative
- 12 history after that. And one thing you'll find, as
- 13 you look at legislative history, is the purpose of
- 14 legislative debate is to get both sides of every
- 15 equation. And we detail the letters that essentially
- 16 oppose the ones you're seeing here.
- 17 The end result is really what matters.
- 18 In any type of legislative history, you'll have reams
- 19 and reams of paperwork on both sides. The key is how
- 20 the law ends up. And if you look at our brief, I
- 21 think you'll see it's more than a technical issue
- 22 we're arguing.
- The legislature had a specific option
- 24 to choose between closure and relicensing.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I appreciate that. But

- 1 having spoken to one of the authors and one of the
- 2 people that handled this legislation and knowing what
- 3 I think the intent was, which was similar to all
- 4 racing associations -- that they be allowed to
- 5 conduct simulcasting -- it doesn't make sense, the
- 6 position that you're taking.
- 7 I think that you are using what is a
- 8 glitch in the way the law was written to your
- 9 advantage. And I just think that it's unfair. And I
- 10 think that your application is woefully incomplete.
- 11 And I just think this is unfair to do to Bay Meadows.
- 12 I think that you should be giving -- they are the
- 13 rightful people, as long as they are operating
- 14 racetrack, a live racetrack, to operate the
- 15 simulcasting.
- 16 And I think that the law -- you made
- 17 your interpretation of it. But the authors of it
- 18 don't support that interpretation.
- 19 MR. McCARTHY: I think, on the horse racing
- 20 side, I'll let these gentlemen speak to the
- 21 application. On the legal --
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'm not a lawyer; so
- 23 don't talk to me about the law.
- MR. McCARTHY: All I can say is that, from a
- 25 plain-language perspective, if you look at a statute

- 1 and the legislature has the option of picking one
- 2 trigger or closure or another trigger -- this is in
- 3 the same statute -- your relicensing requirement --
- 4 and they pick the relicensing requirement, there's a
- 5 reason for that.
- 6 And the one gentleman you spoke to may
- 7 disagree with that reason. But we have to look at
- 8 end product is all I'm respectfully suggesting.
- 9 MR. BLONIEN: Just one last thing -- again,
- 10 the Kramer case. "Thus, even where the statutory
- 11 language is not ambiguous, the intent of the
- 12 legislature is the end and aim of all statutory
- 13 construction."
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: This application, basically,
- 16 is -- is it, if you get one and you just have it? Is
- it a yearly process or what?
- MR. BLONIEN: It's a yearly process.
- 19 CHAIR HARRIS: So if we do could it this
- 20 year -- I mean my suggestion would be to give it to
- 21 Bay Meadows this year. And you guys sort it out over
- 22 the year and get the legislative clarification, and
- 23 then we come back and go from there the next year.
- 24 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: I would like to make
- 25 that motion, John.

- 1 COMMISIONER MOSS: I second it.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Is it -- it's just -- I think
- 3 that the motion would be that that's -- the reason
- 4 we're doing it, it would be in the best interest of
- 5 racing.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SPERRY: Best interest of racing.
- 7 MR. TOWNE: Mr. Chairman, may I make one
- 8 point?
- 9 On the lack of some items in the -- in
- 10 the application of the San Mateo County Fair --
- 11 specifically, the approval of the California
- 12 Department of Food and Agriculture -- they also
- 13 reviewed the statute. And it is their
- 14 interpretation, as it is mine, that the approval of
- 15 the Department of Food and Agriculture is unnecessary
- 16 because Section 19605.45 specifically excludes those
- 17 sections that require the approval of the Department
- 18 of Food and Agriculture.
- 19 And while they're supportive of our
- 20 efforts, they don't believe that they should set a
- 21 precedent and step in where they're not asked to step
- 22 in specifically by statute.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: We've got a motion --
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll second.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: -- and a second.

- 1 Any discussion?
- 2 (No audible response.)
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor?
- 4 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I abstain.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: One abstention.
- 7 MR. BLONIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
- 8 Members.
- 9 CHAIR HARRIS: Thank you.
- Now we're to 9. This is the Santa
- 11 Anita Park's request for a 10-day vet-scratch rule.
- 12 Do we have somebody to present this?
- 13 Okay.
- MR. CHARLES: Hi. Ron Charles, MEC.
- I guarantee you this will be very
- 16 boring compared to that. Basically we're here -- the
- 17 Los Angeles Turf Club is here to request to implement
- 18 the 10-day veterinarian list for 2000 -- 2005, for
- 19 any horse scratched after scratch time -- late
- 20 scratches -- except for horses scratched at the gate.
- 21 The rule is in existence here in -- at
- 22 Hollywood now. The TOC, CTT strongly support this.
- 23 And I've spoken with your staff -- John Reagan, Roy
- 24 Minami -- and we've set up a meeting for December 13
- 25 to look at the complexities of what might be

- 1 involved --
- 2 (Commissioner Sperry and Commissioner
- Moretti leave the proceedings.)
- 4 MR. CHARLES: -- what this may involve with
- 5 the implementation of this rule.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I have spoken to you on
- 7 that. I think the devil's in the details on this
- 8 thing.
- 9 MR. CHARLES: Exactly.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: Conceptually, I think it's
- 11 probably okay. My concern is I really think we need
- 12 to think where we're trying to go to get maximum
- 13 participation and still have a fair system. And I
- 14 think the whole thing needs to be revisited.
- But I would be in favor of it, at this
- 16 time, as long as that's contingent on further
- 17 discussion.
- 18 MR. CHARLES: That's all we're asking right
- 19 now because we'd like to print our condition book.
- 20 And, then, I think the entire industry will be there
- 21 on the 13th, and we will resolve this issue so that
- 22 everyone feels it's fair.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Is that part of the horsemen's
- 24 agreement? What are the issues as to how much you
- 25 can scratch down to, you know, prior to scratch

- 1 time --
- 2 MR. CHARLES: That's more or less a house
- 3 rule. We right now -- at Santa Anita, we're
- 4 encouraging scratching down to 10. But I think that
- 5 will be part of the discussion on the 13th.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. So you've got comments
- 7 from CTT and TOC?
- 8 MR. CHARLES: Yes. Everyone's supportive of
- 9 this. And they will all be participating in the
- 10 December 13 meeting, as will your staff and the CHRB
- 11 Stewards Committee.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Anyone like to say anything?
- 13 All right.
- 14 MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern, California
- 15 Thoroughbred Trainers.
- I just wanted to make a comment for
- 17 the future. We are supportive, at this time, with
- 18 strong reservations, as we've had over the past
- 19 couple of years about this issue so that we'd just
- 20 like the Board to know, if -- we are planning on
- 21 working this out.
- 22 If this comes back next year, we would
- 23 just like the Board to be aware that, at this time,
- 24 we're doing it with strong reservations and, at that
- 25 time, would not want that raised as a reason for

- 1 continuing the program -- that we are now supporting
- 2 it.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. Yeah. I agree with
- 4 that. I think it's not a particularly good system we
- 5 have. But I'm not sure if we can design a lot
- 6 better one.
- 7 MR. CHARLES: Yeah. I think we all agree --
- 8 the system isn't correct. And that's what we'll be
- 9 dealing with on the 13th. Okay?
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: Sounds good. Okay.
- 11 So do we need to vote on that?
- 12 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Yes.
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. So I move that we adopt
- 14 the 10-day vet-scratch rule.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: Moved and seconded.
- 17 All in favor?
- 18 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 19 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who made the
- 20 motion?
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: I moved.
- 22 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- MR. HALPERN: Mr. Harris, would you clarify
- 24 that as to the period of time? I believe that's for
- 25 the Santa Anita meet?

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: Yes. For the Santa Anita
- 2 Spring Meet.
- 3 Okay. Got an update on the racetrack
- 4 security and TOC testing -- TCO2 testing.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Mr. Chairman, I believe
- 6 that's something that I've been asked to report on.
- 7 I think, if you could read Section 10,
- 8 it's an update on where we've been for the last year
- 9 just about on, Number 1, security and TCO2 testing.
- 10 Number 1, when the governor asked for
- 11 volunteers for this position, he didn't tell me I'd
- 12 have to be a Philadelphia lawyer. I'm not a lawyer.
- 13 I try to do things, as I see fit, that are right.
- 14 And I try to take into consideration all the parties
- 15 involved.
- This has been something that we've
- 17 worked on for about eleven months. And initially it
- 18 was led very strongly by Roger Licht, who did a hell
- 19 of a job, with some other people. One of 'em -- I'd
- 20 like to pay some compliments to three or four people.
- One of them is Ed Halpern. The other
- 22 one is Trainer "Derrell Vienna" (phonetic). The
- other one is a woman who's always been there and been
- 24 very supportive of a lot of good ideas -- Mrs.
- 25 "Headley" (phonetic).

- 1 There's been a lot of people that have
- 2 given input. And we tried to get together on
- 3 security cameras. Down at Del Mar, Del Mar
- 4 Thoroughbred Club spent approximately over a hundred
- 5 thousand dollars on cameras. Did it give us an
- 6 ultimate result? We think it has.
- 7 But, here again, we're still looking
- 8 to have detention barns. And my recommendation,
- 9 after going through and listening for the last year
- 10 and going with different ideas, is it's heading
- 11 towards making it mandatory that there would be
- 12 detention barns because the camera issue and having
- 13 video cameras throughout the race backstretch area is
- 14 pretty damned expensive.
- 15 And my recommendation to my Board here
- 16 would be that we should make our -- make it mandatory
- 17 to have a detention area at each one of our
- 18 racetracks and come up with the proper regulations to
- 19 enforce that.
- 20 So that's my recommendation after a
- 21 year. I know it's going to be expensive. These
- 22 racetracks -- Del Mar, Hollywood, Santa Anita, Oak
- 23 Tree -- they stepped up to the plate. They are the
- 24 reason that this CO2 testing has come to a reality --
- 25 by spending their own money, doing the testing. And

- 1 I think the testing is mandatory.
- 2 And I think the regulations that we
- 3 have that were in place right now with public notice
- 4 for the rule that's going to come down is a start.
- 5 And I think these people -- I take my hat off to
- 6 these racetracks because they stepped up when we had
- 7 a problem, they attacked it right away, and they
- 8 spent good money doing it.
- 9 And to me, they deserve a pat on the
- 10 back and a "Thank You." So my recommendation, after
- 11 going through almost a year, is -- a lot of people
- 12 now are back on recommending detention barns -- and I
- 13 know it's a major expense to a lot of the
- 14 racetracks -- but that would be my recommendation to
- 15 the CHRB Board. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: So are we talking about
- 17 detention barns across the board or just for horses
- 18 that, you know, when a certain trainer had a problem
- 19 or a horse who had a problem or just take all horses
- in the whole "cart"?
- 21 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Well, I think, right
- 22 now, because of the -- I think it would be probably
- 23 cost effective to do it to all the horses that are
- 24 going to be racing, John. Right now, we're doing
- 25 surveillance with different security guards on major

- 1 race days.
- 2 But I still think there's a lot of
- 3 people out there that I believe in that would like to
- 4 see it for all races, John.
- 5 COMMISIONER MOSS: Can I just make a
- 6 suggestion? I mean the only thing I'm thinking of a
- 7 detention barn -- I'm thinking it would perhaps
- 8 penalize those trainers that have never been pointed
- 9 to for excesses to the levels we're discussing
- 10 here -- the 37 or the 39.
- I would recommend, in my own sense,
- 12 perhaps, detention barns for those trainers that are
- 13 under surveillance -- for those horses by those
- 14 trainers that are under surveillance. But if a
- 15 trainer has been operating, certainly, on his own,
- 16 and not having had any experience or being pointed to
- 17 for having an excess reading come to any of his
- 18 horses, I don't know if that trainer should be so
- 19 penalized. That's what I'm suggesting.
- 20 CHAIR HARRIS: That's my concern. If we have
- 21 a -- if we've got a problem, we need to address it.
- 22 But I'm just concerned that across-the-board
- 23 detention barns would be a pretty big step for --
- 24 costly for both the horsemen and the tracks and it
- 25 might impact performance. And I'm just not sold that

- 1 that's the way to go.
- 2 Maybe we can hear from the horsemen.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Can I just add to that,
- 4 first, John?
- I agree it would be expensive.
- 6 However, I do favor prerace detention barns pretty
- 7 seriously. And I think that is a -- perhaps a
- 8 compromise would be that, for certainly any trainer
- 9 that's experienced any positive for any type of
- 10 medication -- I mean I don't think it should be --
- 11 maybe we should come up with the right classes -- but
- 12 I don't even want to limit it to TCO2 -- but those
- 13 trainers that have positives should be required to
- 14 report, with their horses, 24 hours in advance of a
- 15 race to a prerace detention barn.
- And I think we should, at least, have
- 17 a random race selected each day so that we are
- 18 keeping everybody on their toes. It's no different
- 19 than -- none of us like getting on airplanes today.
- 20 But we have to take precautions, and we didn't do
- 21 anything. And the industry needs dramatic help here.
- 22 And I would be in favor of, Bill, what
- 23 you're saying. But perhaps we could start out with a
- 24 random race selected by the stewards and, you know,
- 25 and see if we can't clean it up. It's one of the

- 1 most critical issues that is facing us.
- I have a couple other comments on the
- 3 report. I thought you did an excellent job in coming
- 4 up with this report and also to the racing
- 5 associations that supported it.
- One of the things that has come to my
- 7 attention is that, apparently, we have an issue -- as
- 8 outlined in this report, that we have a problem with
- 9 guards lifting the lip of horses so that we know
- 10 who's on the grounds and who isn't.
- 11 And I would encourage us to ask each
- 12 racing association to hire a "lip-raiser" or somebody
- 13 or define the hours that horses can come on and off
- 14 the grounds, as I don't think we know who's on the
- 15 backside of our racetrack in terms of who's coming in
- 16 and who's going out. And I don't think that's
- 17 acceptable.
- So I would strongly suggest that we
- 19 try to put a policy in place where somebody is
- 20 qualified who can read a lip back there so that we
- 21 know who we have on the grounds.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: I have no problem of,
- 23 you know, modifying the motion.
- One of the big areas of contention --
- 25 I don't think we want a security guard going into a

- 1 trailer in a confined area. But I've heard so much
- 2 about microchips -- implanting microchips into a
- 3 horse and actually having a scanning device where
- 4 that guard could actually just scan, rather than
- 5 going into a confined area and picking up somebody's
- 6 lip.
- 7 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. That's going to be the
- 8 wave of the future -- is us trying to sort out the
- 9 different technologies.
- 10 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: But I think we should
- 11 get to that technology, you know. Here, again, maybe
- 12 that's something for "New Business" to make a
- 13 recommendation that, you know, I'd like to see this
- 14 Board actually pursue that type of technology.
- 15 And it would be cheaper. They tell me
- 16 a tattoo -- they tell me -- is 60 to \$70. A
- 17 microchip is 10 to \$12, plus about \$25 to insert it.
- 18 So we're looking at about half the price and a lot
- 19 less discomfort for the horse.
- 20 CHAIR HARRIS: I think there's a national
- 21 program, I think, that the Jockey "Club" and then
- 22 others are working on. But we can't really take any
- 23 action on this right now. But we can direct staff to
- 24 investigate any, well, identification systems.
- 25 But also I'd like to just have staff

- 1 look at the costs -- sort of the cost benefits of
- 2 detention barns and various scenarios for those.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: In the interim, can we
- 4 at least make a request of the racing associations
- 5 that they employ a vet assistant or somebody that
- 6 would stand by to be willing to lift a lip until we
- 7 can come up with the chips?
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: I don't know if we really have
- 9 a problem, with ringers or anything like that, that
- 10 that we've got to -- I mean I don't know what the
- 11 end product we're trying to get to with lifting all
- 12 these lips.
- 13 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: One of the problems that
- 14 we've had was there was an awful lot of complaints by
- 15 people of horses being vanned off in the middle of
- 16 the night and then being brought onto the site after
- they go down and had some type of "shock-therapy"
- 18 treatment.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: That's right.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: So this is the biggest
- 21 reason that we even talked about having, you know, a
- 22 security guard read, you know, the identification
- 23 marks -- the tattoo. So there's a whole bunch of
- 24 different things that the trainers' associations, the
- 25 breeders' associations have given us that they would

- 1 like to see implemented.
- 2 And I was going to try to work that
- 3 out with Roy Minami and plus the new executive
- 4 director to see if we can have some charge into that
- 5 area to try to alleviate some of the things that
- 6 they've told me that's happening.
- 7 And we need to clean it up -- period.
- 8 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: If I may,
- 9 Commissioner Shapiro, this might be a good issue for
- 10 the Security and Licensing Committee to discuss
- 11 further and also get input from the associations on
- 12 adding an extra person to read the tattoos and to
- 13 talk about the microchips.
- 14 We could do some staff research on
- 15 that and do a kind of a presentation. But this might
- 16 be an issue for the Security and Licensing Committee.
- 17 CHAIR HARRIS: I think you have a good idea.
- 18 We really need to look at the whole thing. I don't
- 19 know if the problem is so much that horses, you know,
- 20 if we even had the right horse or the wrong horse --
- 21 we don't have any prohibition of taking a horse off
- 22 and bringing it back.
- But we need to look at the total
- 24 inventory systems in place. But I really don't think
- 25 there's a big problem in this area personally.

- 1 But if either Ed or Drew would like to
- 2 comment --
- 3 MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern, California
- 4 Thoroughbred Trainers.
- 5 Speaking first to that issue, there
- 6 are rumors floating around that there are people
- 7 shipping their horses off-track for "shock-wave"
- 8 therapy and then shipping 'em back in. Whether
- 9 they're true or not, who knows?
- 10 But it does raise the specter of the
- 11 fact that we don't know whether they're naming the
- 12 right horse, when they ship them out and ship them
- 13 back, because the horses are detailed, as they come
- 14 in and go out. But certainly we don't know whether
- 15 they're naming the right horses on the form.
- 16 The devil -- as with other things here
- 17 in this category of problems, the devil is in the
- 18 details. And figuring out just how to solve that
- 19 problem, if it is a real problem, is fairly complex.
- 20 And I would hope that you would send it to a
- 21 committee to study it, completely.
- Just for an example, if the tracks
- 23 were to limit the time you could come in and go
- 24 out -- well, we have horses coming from all over the
- 25 country and all over the world. And just by the

- 1 schedules of airlines and trucks, they come every
- 2 hour of the day, not necessarily with anywhere else
- 3 to go.
- 4 And so it becomes problematic not to
- 5 have the facility available when they get here. So
- 6 merely an example of why we need to look at this very
- 7 closely as to how we do it.
- 8 On the issue of overall security, I'd
- 9 like to thank Mr. Bianco and Mr. Harris for their
- 10 interest in this and their work and Mr. Licht.
- 11 Again, these are all issues -- especially the
- 12 detention barn, which, after four years of sitting
- 13 through meetings of how that works, I can tell you
- 14 the arguments on both sides are numerous and very
- 15 convincing.
- 16 And it's just not an easy -- easy
- 17 solution unless somebody's willing to pay a lot of
- 18 money, both for creation of the barns and for
- 19 staffing and for horsemen who have to put in extra
- 20 time to take care of the horses that are in there and
- 21 watch the horses that are in there.
- 22 So it's going to cost the whole
- 23 industry -- from owners to trainers to the tracks --
- 24 a lot of money, which may not be the most fruitful
- 25 way of handling the problem.

- 1 On the immediate front, in the
- 2 agreement that was cited earlier with Santa Anita,
- 3 through the work of Santa Anita staff and the TOC and
- 4 my office, we have come up with an agreement for
- 5 limited security barns for people who do reach CO2
- 6 levels of over 37.
- 7 And the idea of doing it for other
- 8 positives of certain classes certainly is worth
- 9 throwing into that mix at some point here. But we've
- 10 made a huge step forward with everybody's progress --
- 11 with everybody's cooperation in setting that up for
- 12 the Santa Anita meet.
- 13 And the same terms are going to be
- 14 incorporated in the Bay Meadows -- or already have
- 15 been, by agreement, into the Bay Meadows contract.
- 16 So we'll be doing the same thing up north.
- 17 And should someone have over a 37,
- 18 there will be the option of putting them in a
- 19 security barn for the remainder of the meet and then
- 20 should they have high readings after that, should it
- 21 continue, there are further penalties and more
- 22 serious penalties after that.
- 23 The -- probably just to let you know
- one of the terms of that and probably one of the best
- 25 terms of that is that we found that just sending out

- 1 security to barns, who have been found with positive
- 2 results on tests, hasn't solved the problem; that we
- 3 still see the problem in some of those barns that
- 4 have short-term security on them.
- 5 So this idea of putting those "barns"
- 6 into a security barn for a much longer period of
- 7 time, we hope, will solve that problem. Thank you.
- 8 MR. COUTO: Again, Drew Couto, Thoroughbred
- 9 Owners of California.
- 10 I'd like to echo what Ed just said.
- 11 We think the new language in the agreement is a good
- 12 first step in bringing this process. And as
- 13 Mr. Bianco knows, there's been a series of steps
- 14 escalating sort of the consequences of having a
- 15 positive.
- 16 Of recent -- as we concluded the
- 17 language related to the TCO2, we also began to
- 18 consider whether this could extend to Class I, II,
- 19 and III violations.
- 20 And with the help of the CHRB, I'm
- 21 sure that the CTT, the racing associations, and the
- 22 TOC would incorporate language that would extend that
- 23 detention to other violations other than the bicarb.
- 24 We're working with you. And we're glad to do it.
- 25 And I think we're making progress. Thank you.

- 1 MR. CHARLES: Ron Charles, MEC.
- 2 Just one point that Commissioner
- 3 Shapiro made -- and I think it's a good point -- with
- 4 regards to additional random testing: One of the
- 5 most troubling points of that in this last year, when
- 6 we have tried to introduce random testing, was there
- 7 was common knowledge as to who was going to be tested
- 8 as opposed to being random.
- 9 And it was frustrating to the other
- 10 horsemen when this information was out. And I'm just
- 11 wondering if we can do a little better job of
- 12 security, making sure that, if it's a race --
- 13 specific horses or whatever -- that that security --
- 14 no one absolutely knows who is going to be tested.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: I thought the random testing
- 16 was every horse in a given race and Dr. Jensen was
- 17 picking a race and it was very, very well -- you
- 18 know -- not -- it was very secretive, in the way it
- 19 was --
- 20 MR. CHARLES: The complaints to me were -- I
- 21 can just tell you -- over and over that so many of
- 22 the trainers knew which race was going to be the
- 23 random race. All I'm saying is "Let's just make sure
- of the security of that, as we go forward."
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: That was sure not the intent.

- 1 I don't know.
- 2 Mike, why don't you comment?
- 3 MR. MARTEN: Yes. Mike Marten, California
- 4 Horse Racing Board.
- 5 It was the official vets -- and,
- 6 locally, it would be Dr. Bell -- who made the
- 7 decision. And it was, as he has stated at the TOC
- 8 Medication Committee, that -- and I think, Ron, I
- 9 think you were there -- he said he made that decision
- 10 just -- just minutes before. And he just was
- 11 emphatic that this information did not get out.
- 12 We've both been around the backstretch
- 13 long enough to know that there are a lot of rumors
- 14 out there. This is one that, unless you're
- 15 challenging the credibility of Dr. Bell, that you
- 16 probably should ignore.
- 17 MR. CHARLES: I'm certainly not challenging
- 18 the credibility of him. I'm just saying, when it's
- 19 been out there, double-checking the security to make
- 20 sure the random test is --
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: Basically the only way it
- 22 could've gotten out is if you question the
- 23 credibility of Dr. Bell because he's the only one
- 24 that knew. So if it got out, you're saying that
- 25 Dr. Bell told somebody.

- 1 MR. CHARLES: I'm saying that's what the
- 2 rumors were. I'm not -- all I'm doing is trying to
- 3 protect us.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I'm just saying that I
- 5 mean it's great that we're getting it on the table.
- 6 But we've got to have rumors we can verify at some
- 7 point.
- 8 MR. CHARLES: Absolutely.
- 9 MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern, again.
- Just on that very issue, and so as not
- 11 to attack Dr. Bell, the latest rumor, as silly as it
- 12 may sound -- and this, again, points out why the
- 13 devil is in the details -- is that, when the horses
- 14 come in for a random race and suddenly the first one
- 15 that comes in is given a blood test or blood is
- 16 taken, somebody may be standing outside of the
- 17 receiving barn week. They can see in. They see that
- 18 blood is being taken. And they call back to the barn
- 19 and say, "This race is being tested."
- 20 Whether that happens or not or whether
- 21 that's somebody's James Bond imagination, I don't
- 22 know. But that story has gone around so --
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, they got to be pretty
- 24 quick 'cause -- unless they -- I mean there's
- 25 probably -- I mean you're looking at a horse, where

- 1 you're leading the horse over. And by then, I don't
- 2 think you got time to call back and -- I don't -- I
- 3 mean conceivably that could happen but --
- 4 MR. HALPERN: Conceivably, it could happen.
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: -- but I really think one of
- 6 the things in some of those initial tests -- a lot of
- 7 the tests were done on "route" races. So maybe
- 8 somebody could insinuate that distance races were
- 9 more likely to be tested.
- 10 MR. HALPERN: Well, I guess the point is that
- 11 we want to be careful about these things and make
- 12 suring -- making sure that we do it in the best
- 13 possible manner.
- MR. CHILLINGWORTH: Sherwood Chillingworth,
- 15 Oak Tree.
- We tested every horse. We tested
- 17 1,773 horses. We missed one, for temperamental
- 18 reasons. But I know that Santa Anita's planning to
- 19 do the same thing. So this discussion about random
- 20 testing may be applicable to Hollywood Park, but not
- 21 to either Oak Tree or Santa Anita.
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, let's move on to the --
- 23 basically that was the report -- oh, we got one more.
- MR. HOROWITZ: Alan Horowitz, Capitol Racing.
- On behalf of the harness industry,

- 1 we're very supportive of both the programs for CO2
- 2 testing and also detention barns.
- We've been using a detention barn for
- 4 two years. And we've been doing the testing of blood
- 5 gas on the winners of the first -- the first
- 6 finishers, the first two finishers, of every race for
- 7 about 10 years now. It's a postrace testing program,
- 8 which is a little different than what is currently
- 9 being used by the Thoroughbreds.
- 10 But what I'd like to point out is we
- 11 have, in our detention barn, two races picked at
- 12 random, unless they're stakes races, in which case,
- 13 those races come in. And we have a limited use of
- 14 stalls in the facility. We lease the facility in Cal
- 15 Expo.
- And so if we were to have a Saturday
- 17 night where we have a hundred-twenty-five horses in,
- 18 it would be prohibitive for us to designate the
- 19 number of stalls. That would be about at a tenth of
- 20 the stalls or actually almost even 15 percent of the
- 21 total stalls that we have allotted to racehorses at
- 22 the Cal Expo facility.
- We are very, as I say, very supportive
- 24 of it. And we've done this on our own because we
- 25 think it's necessary and we've had the support of the

- 1 horsemen. If we're looking to do it beyond a certain
- 2 number of races per night, I think we would have
- 3 significant difficulties having enough room for
- 4 horses on the grounds. And then we don't really have
- 5 a lot of off-training -- off-site training
- 6 facilities. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR HARRIS: So since you do that on your
- 8 own, which I commend you for it, how do you sanction
- 9 the -- any offender?
- 10 MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, you want to know? The
- 11 first high test, the horse gets 30 days -- it can't
- 12 enter. And when that horse is in for 90 days, he's
- 13 got to up show up and be tested. He has to be in
- 14 detention.
- The second high test is -- we've
- 16 worked out an agreement, with our horsemen, where the
- 17 horse -- the horse is essentially -- if it's the same
- 18 horse, the horse is excluded.
- 19 And the trainer of record, if it's the
- 20 same trainer of record having two successive high
- 21 tests in the course of a 12-month period, is denied
- 22 the use of the privileges. He's essentially not
- 23 allowed to race at the race meet.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: The horse or the trainer?
- MR. HOROWITZ: The horse -- if a horse has a

- 1 second high test, the horse is essentially barred
- 2 from racing -- period. No -- no number of months.
- If a trainer has a second high test,
- 4 they are essentially -- they lose the right to race
- 5 and access to the backstretch.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: So you know that's -- that's --
- 7 MR. HOROWITZ: That's a pretty severe penalty.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Has it ever been challenged as
- 9 not having due process or something?
- MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, the horsemen's agreement is
- 11 supportive of it. And we had a challenge with regard
- 12 to the CO2 testing program because it wasn't a Board-
- 13 operated program.
- 14 And, frankly, since it was a
- 15 contractual item, the -- after several months of
- 16 moving through the legal process, the party that was
- 17 aggrieved by it essentially dropped the lawsuit.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, I'd encourage -- you
- 19 know, I'd like to see more things like that occur in
- 20 the Thoroughbred sector so we're not sitting around
- 21 here at 2:00 in the afternoon talking about it.
- MR. HOROWITZ: Well, I -- we're not looking
- 23 for points on this thing.
- 24 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah, I know.
- MR. HOROWITZ: Our point is that, if the Board

- 1 is going to consider doing this -- for example, for
- 2 every horse that's in -- that that wouldn't be
- 3 particularly egregious to our --
- 4 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Alan, I don't think
- 5 that's what the intent was. You guys are doing a
- 6 great job. And you're the model for this. So --
- 7 MR. HOROWITZ: Oh, we don't want to be the
- 8 model. We just want to continue doing what we're
- 9 doing. Thank you.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. Anything else on this?
- 11 (No audible response.)
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Let's move on to Number 11. It
- is a request from the California Thoroughbred
- 14 Horsemen's Foundation.
- Who's going to present this?
- MR. REAGAN: John Reagan, CHRB staff.
- 17 Commissioners, this is an
- 18 administrative item. Two new directors have been
- 19 appointed to the CTHF board. And, per our rule, they
- 20 must be approved by this Board. And we recommend
- 21 their approval.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So moved.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: Second? I'll second.
- 24 All in favor?
- 25 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.

- We got -- did we lose somebody?
- 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have one more
- 3 coming.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: Are you okay on these two new
- 5 directors?
- 6 All in favor say, "Aye."
- 7 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Ayes have it.
- 9 Let's move on to the public hearing
- 10 and adoption of the proposed -- following proposed
- 11 amendments. Dr. Jensen?
- DR. JENSEN: Dr. Ron Jensen, Equine Medical
- 13 Director for the California Horse Racing Board.
- 14 The racing industry has long asked for
- 15 uniformity in drug testing and medication policies.
- 16 The rule changes -- the medication rule changes that
- 17 you see before you are a result, in part, of
- 18 recommendations by an organization known as "The
- 19 Racing Medication and Testing Consortium, " which is a
- 20 national organization made up of representatives from
- 21 all facets of the racing industry.
- 22 They have drafted these rules -- these
- 23 rule recommendations we have adopted or have modified
- 24 to fit into the medication rules in California.
- 25 There are three different rules involved. And with

- 1 your permission, I think maybe it would be best to go
- 2 through the three, one at a time, if that suits you.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay.
- 4 DR. JENSEN: The first rule -- 1843.5 -- has
- 5 been -- all three of these have been subject to
- 6 public notice and have received public comments.
- 7 The first rule -- 1843.5 -- the change
- 8 in that rule has a provision where a practicing
- 9 veterinarian or a veterinarian other than an official
- 10 veterinarian or the racing veterinarian should not
- 11 have contact with the horse that's in the race that
- 12 day.
- 13 This language was crafted at a
- 14 Medication Committee meeting in August. And at that
- 15 meeting, there were -- there were no representatives
- 16 from the nighttime track -- the quarter horses and
- 17 the standardbred tracks.
- 18 When this was put out to notice, it
- 19 was pointed out that it probably is not a good idea,
- 20 with the quarter horse and with the standardbred
- 21 tracks, because they race at night and there is no
- 22 official veterinarian on the grounds during the
- 23 daytime.
- 24 And the rule has stated that the --
- 25 after the -- the practicing veterinarian should not

- 1 have contact with the horse on race day without
- 2 permission of the official veterinarian. So I think
- 3 the comment that was submitted is well taken. And I
- 4 think that that probably needs to be modified to
- 5 specify a time before racing.
- 6 And so my recommendation on this would
- 7 be that Rule 1843 not be acted -- 1843.5 not be acted
- 8 on at this time and that it be -- the language be
- 9 crafted so that it's got enough flexibility to affect
- 10 all the racing breeds at all the racetracks in
- 11 California.
- 12 1844 --
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Would you favor it
- 14 being enacted just applicable to daytime racing,
- 15 though, at this time?
- DR. JENSEN: I think it should be applicable
- 17 to all -- all breeds.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: All breeds running in
- 19 the daytime -- you wouldn't have a problem with that?
- 20 DR. JENSEN: No. No. I would. I think what
- 21 the comment brought out was that there's a lot of
- 22 veterinarians are asked to look at a horse prior to
- 23 the racing -- prior to the administration of the
- 24 bleeder medication, either for soundness or for
- 25 whatever question. And that would certainly preclude

- 1 them from doing that.
- I think what we need to do is craft a
- 3 language that says a specific time period, such as
- 4 four hours prior to racing or after the
- 5 administration of the bleeder medication, that they
- 6 should not have contact.
- 7 CHAIR HARRIS: I think that would be better
- 8 'cause it would be silly to have a colicky horse at
- 9 4:00 in the morning or something that you couldn't
- 10 call a vet because you couldn't find an official vet.
- 11 DR. JENSEN: I think also that we have to make
- 12 clear that there has to be some flexibility in that;
- 13 if, for whatever reason, the official veterinarian is
- 14 not around or can't be contacted, if it's an
- 15 emergency, that the vet absolutely has the right to
- 16 treat that the horse and report to the official
- 17 veterinarian.
- So that would be my recommendation.
- 19 CHAIR HARRIS: Is that the only change in that
- 20 one so we can --
- 21 DR. JENSEN: Yes.
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: -- deal with that one and go on
- 23 to 44?
- DR. JENSEN: 1844 changes the levels of
- 25 authorized medications, specifically flunixin and

- 1 ketoprofen. The change in flunixin is recommended
- 2 from a present regulatory level of 500 nanograms to a
- 3 level of 20 nanograms. The level of ketoprofen
- 4 currently allowed by CHRB rules is 50 nanograms. The
- 5 recommendation is that it be changed down to 10
- 6 nanograms.
- 7 There have been some comments that
- 8 those recommendations may be too low.
- 9 The literature -- the recommendations
- 10 by the RMTC were made after a thorough review of the
- 11 literature, the scientific literature that's in
- 12 place, concerning these two medications and have
- 13 determined that these levels -- if the medications
- 14 are given at the manufacturer's recommended dose, by
- 15 the intravenous route only, at least 24 hours prior
- 16 to racing, they should not usually have any
- 17 difficulty with attaining these levels.
- 18 If those medications are given at a
- 19 different route or at a different dose, there may be
- 20 some difficulty. I think the literature is pretty
- 21 sound on these -- on these recommendations for these
- 22 levels based on the scientific literature.
- What I would suggest is that this rule
- 24 be passed -- 1844 -- as it is written with the
- 25 understanding that we have some sort of phase-in

- 1 period to adjust veterinarians' and trainers'
- 2 medication practices to conform to these particular
- 3 rules and that the phase-in period last perhaps 60
- 4 days and that the present rules be used in
- 5 determining violations.
- 6 If the levels are greater than the
- 7 recommended recommendations -- recommended levels,
- 8 that there be a warning and some counseling with the
- 9 trainer or the horsemen involved and try to ease into
- 10 this regulation without too much trauma. And that
- 11 would be my recommendation -- 1844.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: So that, if we were to approve
- 13 this today, this would go into effect today because
- 14 it's been out for comment already?
- DR. JENSEN: No. And I'm not expert on this,
- 16 but I believe it has to go to the second --
- 17 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Yeah. If the
- 18 Board approves this today, then it would be probably
- 19 between 30 and 60 days before it actually becomes
- 20 effective. The adopted rule must go through the
- 21 Office of Administrative Law. They have 30 days to
- 22 approve it. And then it goes to the Secretary of
- 23 State. They have 30 days to approve it.
- So we're talking somewhere around 60
- 25 days. And so I think, within that period of time, we

- 1 could start kind of --
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, I think --
- 3 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: -- once it's
- 4 adopted, we could start phasing it in.
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I think we could call
- 6 them, you know -- if somebody had a -- was in
- 7 violation, they'd get called. It's just that the
- 8 stewards would take into consideration that there
- 9 was, maybe, a phase-in period.
- Basically, the "butazolidin," which is
- 11 more what they're really using 24 hours out anyway,
- 12 is not changing.
- DR. JENSEN: That's correct.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: I think a lot of people, like,
- 15 prior to that were using "banamine" or "ketafin" or
- 16 something, and that's the one that's going down.
- DR. JENSEN: The last time I checked, which
- 18 has been a couple of three years, but the use of
- 19 flunixin, which is the one of the permitted
- 20 medications, nonsteroidal medications, with a
- 21 regulatory level, is around 10 percent throughout the
- 22 whole state. Now, there are variations.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: I think the thing is they're
- 24 not using it -- they're using it further out, though.
- 25 They're not using it as their sole --

- DR. JENSEN: In these 10 percent, that was
- 2 their sole -- that was their declared nonsteroidal.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I think that this -- as
- 4 I understand it, a lot of people are using "butisol"
- 5 as the nonsteroidal whatever it is. But they're
- 6 using the others further out, where they figure they
- 7 can get by with it testing low enough.
- 8 DR. JENSEN: That could be. Yes. That could
- 9 be. But like I said, there're only -- there is some
- 10 breed variation. There is some track variation. The
- 11 standardbreds use -- about 20 percent of the horses
- 12 are declared to race with "banamine" or flunixin.
- 13 And the fairs are somewhere around 16 percent. But,
- 14 overall, it's about 10 percent. So it's not the
- 15 greatest --
- 16 CHAIR HARRIS: I mean I think they're all
- 17 getting used. It's just they're not -- by the time
- 18 the horse runs, some of 'em are at low-enough levels,
- 19 it doesn't matter. But, now, if we lower the levels
- 20 more, it's going to matter. But I think people can
- 21 live with it.
- DR. JENSEN: But that -- again, that would
- 23 be -- my thought would be that, during the phase-in
- 24 period, that there would still be a violation if
- 25 they're over the levels that are currently in place.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah.
- 2 DR. JENSEN: If they're between the levels
- 3 that are in place and the recommended levels, then
- 4 there would be a warning and some counseling, as best
- 5 we could, to make sure that they can comply with the
- 6 rule.
- 7 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: What we're asking
- 8 for the Board to do now at this point is to adopt
- 9 that regulation, and then the staff will work out the
- 10 phase-in and changes.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll move to adopt the
- 12 regulation, which also should include that there will
- 13 be a 60-day phase-in period, which will be written
- 14 into the rule or the --
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: It wouldn't be written into the
- 16 rule, I don't think.
- 17 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: It won't be
- 18 written into the rule.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- into the procedures
- 20 of the way it's implemented.
- 21 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: -- into the
- 22 process.
- You need a second.
- 24 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Second.
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor?

- 1 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 2 DR. JENSEN: 1845 deals with changes in the
- 3 authorized bleeder-medication procedure. The new
- 4 recommendation would not require a horse to
- 5 demonstrate "E.I.P.H." or bleeding to qualify to race
- 6 with an approved bleeder medication. Prior to this
- 7 time, there had to be some witnessing of the bleeding
- 8 episode or the "I.P.H." episode to qualify for
- 9 Lasix -- or for bleeder medication, I should say.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: So effectively, it was written
- 11 that way; but, in actuality, that didn't necessarily
- 12 happen?
- 13 DR. JENSEN: The fact of the matter is that
- 14 most horses bleed. I mean if you look long enough,
- 15 hard enough, you will find a horse will exhibit
- 16 "I.P.H." or bleeding. So it just became apparent
- 17 that it was probably -- it was unnecessary to have
- 18 that requirement that they demonstrate some bleeding
- 19 because, in some jurisdictions, the official
- 20 veterinarian has to certify -- he has to witness the
- 21 bleeding.
- 22 And that caused all kind of problems
- 23 when a horse came from a jurisdiction that didn't.
- 24 So in the interest of uniformity, it has been
- 25 determined that it would be best not to have that.

- 1 Still, trainers of those horses still
- 2 have to notify the official veterinarian that they
- 3 intend to race with bleeder medication and the public
- 4 will still be notified via the program.
- 5 The other change in this Rule 1845 is
- 6 that there will be a minimum and a maximum dose.
- 7 That's prescribed right now. It's 250 milligrams --
- 8 not more than 250 milligrams. The present proposed
- 9 regulation has a range of 150 milligrams to 500
- 10 milligrams. And the reason for that is that there
- 11 are different-sized horses and that maybe one dose
- 12 doesn't fit all so that you need to adjust the dose
- 13 accordingly.
- 14 And they've also demonstrated that
- 15 that doesn't have any deleterious effect on the
- 16 dilution of the urine sample or other testing of
- other drugs. There's been a comment that -- oh, I'm
- 18 sorry.
- In addition to that, a horse that's
- 20 declared to race with furosemide -- with Lasix; trade
- 21 name Lasix -- must show a level in either the blood
- 22 or the urine, or it's a violation. And the thought
- 23 there is that, if a horse is advertised, announced to
- 24 the public that it's racing with furosemide, then he
- 25 should -- it's not unreasonable to expect him to show

- 1 a level in the postrace sample. So that's a bit new.
- We've had a comment that maybe 150
- 3 nanograms -- a hundred-fifty milligrams is not low
- 4 enough, that some horses would receive as much as --
- 5 as little as 50 milligrams.
- But, again, in my view, it's
- 7 considerably less than the manufacturer's recommended
- 8 dose -- hundred-and-fifty nanograms -- a
- 9 hundred-and-fifty milligrams is less than the
- 10 manufactured -- manufacturer's recommended dose.
- 11 And, again, that doesn't seem
- 12 unreasonable, to me anyway, that, if a horse is
- 13 listed to race with furosemide, that he should show a
- 14 detectable level.
- The other aspect of this rule change
- 16 is that the specific gravity of all urine from horses
- 17 that are subjected to testing, be measured. And the
- 18 specific gravity is a measurement of dilution of the
- 19 urine. The concern with the use of diuretics -- the
- 20 diuretic furosemide -- is that it dilutes the urine
- 21 where it makes the detection of other drugs in the
- 22 urine more difficult.
- 23 So the real concern with it is, is
- 24 that you don't want to have a dilute urine. So all
- 25 urines are going to be subjected to specific gravity.

- 1 If those horses have a specific gravity, a low
- 2 specific gravity below 1.010, then the corresponding
- 3 blood sample of that horse will be measured for --
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 (Mr. Marten brought Dr. Jensen a
- glass of water.)
- 7 DR. JENSEN: -- for a quantitation of
- 8 furosemide. And the upper level of furosemide that
- 9 will be permitted is a hundred nanograms. And the
- 10 hundred nanograms, if it's exceeded, would be
- 11 considered a violation -- excuse me -- a violation.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: So this is new. Previously, as
- 13 I understood it, there was really no test for upper
- 14 levels of Lasix.
- DR. JENSEN: There is a test available, but
- 16 it's not utilized. It's not in the rule.
- 17 CHAIR HARRIS: This would be a new thing here
- 18 that you would have a way to see if people were
- 19 giving too much Lasix.
- DR. JENSEN: Too much or inappropriate -- in
- 21 an inappropriate manner because the rule requires --
- 22 continues to require that furosemide be given
- 23 intravenously only.
- 24 There has been a concern expressed, by
- 25 Dr. Hester of Truesdail Laboratories, that maybe

- 1 the -- that the violation should be if there's a low
- 2 specific gravity or a high furosemide level in the
- 3 blood -- a low specific gravity or a high level of
- 4 furosemide.
- 5 And the intent, in my view, is to be
- 6 concerned about the level of furosemide if it causes
- 7 a dilute urine. So if it doesn't cause a dilute
- 8 urine, the thought is that there's no necessity to
- 9 quantitate that level of furosemide in the blood.
- 10 And that's the national recommendation to be had.
- 11 And I don't want to speak for
- 12 Dr. Hester. If he would like it address that, he
- 13 certainly will do that.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: He's going to address that.
- Go ahead, Dr. Hester.
- DR. HESTER: First, I want to say that I'm
- 17 very supportive of what we're going to do here. And
- 18 my suggestions here are really to try to avoid
- 19 controversy. In fact, I've been seeing all day where
- 20 the wording somehow gets twisted by attorneys later
- 21 down and keeps us from doing what we want to do here.
- 22 Aside from the dilute urine, there has
- 23 been some studies at Pennsylvania that have raised
- 24 some issues that furosemide may, in fact, have some
- 25 performance-enhancing effect. Not everybody agrees

- 1 with their interpretations of their own data, but
- 2 there is that point of controversy out there.
- 3 And if there is some worry about
- 4 furosemide having some enhancing effect, everybody
- 5 needs to be on a level playing field, in terms of how
- 6 much they give the animal and when.
- 7 And the -- really the only effective
- 8 way of determining whether they have given the proper
- 9 amount, the proper time out, is to quantitate -- be
- 10 able to quantitate the plasma. So that's what I'm
- 11 saying has to be one of the criteria that we can --
- 12 can enforce.
- 13 I'm really concerned about the way the
- 14 wording is here -- just the wording. If you look at
- what's proposed in the wording, it implies that you
- 16 have to have both a low specific gravity and a high
- 17 plasma level before there's a violation. Now, that's
- 18 my take on reading it. So I let other people put
- 19 their opinions on that.
- 20 My recommendation is that we make the
- 21 wording very clear that, if there is either a low
- 22 specific gravity or a level that is higher than the
- 23 recommended level in the plasma, that it's a
- 24 violation. So that -- that's kind of where -- where
- 25 I'm coming from on this.

- DR. JENSEN: I think that it --
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: All right. Dr. Arthur had a
- 3 comment and then --
- DR. "ARTHUR": I'm Dr. "Arthur" (phonetic). I
- 5 have represented Oak Tree on the RMTC for four years,
- 6 and exactly what Dr. Hester was talking about was
- 7 discussed.
- 8 The reason it needs to be "and" --
- 9 "the low specific gravity 'and' a furosemide
- 10 level" -- is that horses can have a low specific
- 11 gravity that is not caused by furosemide. That's
- 12 been done, shown by research by Dr. "Soma" (phonetic)
- in the past and what this does.
- 14 And the only issue we're really
- 15 concerned with is whether or not the urine is dilute.
- 16 And if it's dilute because somebody has manipulated
- 17 it with furosemide -- that's the issue in terms of
- 18 this authorized medication program.
- 19 So that's why it has to be specific
- 20 gravity below "ten-ten" or "ten-twelve" -- I can't
- 21 remember what it is -- and a 100-nanogram level in
- 22 the plasma. So they go together. And it's been
- 23 reviewed. And this program's been applied in certain
- 24 jurisdictions. Otherwise, you're going to have
- 25 inadvertent positives on this.

- 1 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: But, Dr. Arthur,
- 2 you're satisfied with the language as it is now?
- 3 DR. "ARTHUR": Yes.
- 4 DR. JENSEN: I neglected to say that the rule
- 5 also includes that, if you have a horse that does not
- 6 have a urine sample to measure the specific gravity
- 7 in -- and occasionally you get a horse that you do
- 8 not get a urine sample on -- then that corresponding
- 9 blood sample is automatically quantified and the rule
- 10 that -- of the hundred-nanogram limit applies.
- 11 DR. HESTER: I'd like to -- I have more of the
- 12 recommendation. And one is that, first of all, I
- 13 like quantitating the blood.
- 14 The other is that there be the ability
- 15 to test samples that have been found to be suspect or
- in which there has been a drug confirmed; that the
- 17 lab be allowed, if not required, to test the plasma
- 18 to see if there's been an effort to dilute that
- 19 sample to perhaps make it harder to find.
- 20 And if that's the case, there would
- 21 be, of course, two penalties applied to the person,
- 22 one for putting a drug in that shouldn't be in and
- 23 another for trying to hide it.
- 24 There's also more that I suggested,
- 25 too, that, regardless of what we decide here to do,

- 1 is that, when we have, in the next paragraph down --
- 2 "Threshold Levels" -- that, if it is found that there
- 3 has been, through testing, an effort to dilute the
- 4 urine, that we waive the threshold levels and say,
- 5 "Instead of having these thresholds in urines that
- 6 you have to be above a certain level for those drugs,
- 7 if it is found that there is dilution effect that's
- 8 taken place because of illegal medication, I would
- 9 simply waive the threshold.
- 10 "That, if there is any of the drugs
- 11 for which we currently have a threshold found, that
- 12 any level be a positive if there is concurrently
- 13 evidence that there's been an effort to dilute the
- 14 urine to prevent that kind of violation."
- So I've suggested some wording to that
- 16 effect so that a dilution is not going to be a very
- 17 high-level class violation.
- 18 If someone dilutes the urine and
- 19 somehow gets around having a positive for a Class II
- 20 drug, that seems like a small penalty for him to pay
- 21 when, in fact, he's given a more -- a more potent
- 22 drug to try to alter the race; that I'm just
- 23 suggesting we put some words, below those thresholds,
- 24 to say, "Okay. If you're found to be using dilutant,
- 25 thresholds would help."

- 1 So that's another suggestion I put in.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: I would be under the impression
- 3 there wouldn't be as much dilution of urine. I mean
- 4 wouldn't it be the other way -- that there would be,
- 5 like, Lasix or something would concentrate urine or
- 6 dilute urine?
- 7 DR. JENSEN: No. It -- it -- the furosemide,
- 8 as you know, creates an increase in urine volume.
- 9 So, therefore, if you do have a prohibited --
- 10 another prohibited drug in the urine, you're looking
- 11 for it in a much larger volume of fluid than you
- 12 would be if it wasn't dilute. So that --
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I guess you're -- I
- 14 guess you're dehydrating the horse somewhat; but in
- 15 that process, you dilute the urine.
- DR. JENSEN: You may dehydrate the horse, but
- 17 you're diluting the urine.
- DR. HESTER: I also added in there -- we're
- 19 talking about CO2, down the road. It is my
- 20 understanding that, if they're giving a horse a huge
- 21 dose of bicarbonate, one of the major effects is that
- 22 it also causes a massive production of urine in the
- 23 process.
- 24 And I would not only suggest we waive
- 25 the threshold levels for a positive for a Lasix

- 1 violation but we also waive the thresholds if it's
- 2 also found that the horse has a CO2 -- total CO2
- 3 violation but because that process also dilutes the
- 4 urine.
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: I think we're trying to get a
- 6 national standard, though. And I kind of hate to
- 7 have a separate situation here.
- 8 DR. "ARTHUR": Well, that would -- Dr. Arthur,
- 9 again.
- 10 You know what Dr. Hester has said is
- 11 actually correct as long as you use urine threshold
- 12 levels. The ultimate goal of the RMTC is to use
- 13 blood levels, which is not -- which are not affected
- 14 by urine dilution. That's in the future.
- What we've tried to do is make our
- 16 recommendations based on scientific evidence. Trying
- 17 to manipulate our deal, with what Dr. Hester is
- 18 talking about, without actually having research to
- 19 back it up, I think is -- would be an awkward
- 20 situation. His point is well taken.
- 21 I just don't think it's necessary for
- 22 this rule. And it's a side issue on this, and it
- 23 could be addressed at a different time.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Dr. Jensen, are you
- 25 recommending that we pass this rule as it is

- 1 currently written?
- 2 DR. JENSEN: That's correct. Yes.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll offer the motion
- 4 to do that, please.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Second.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: All in favor?
- 7 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 8 DR. JENSEN: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIR HARRIS: Be sure that all the practicing
- 10 veterinarians in California at the tracks know these
- 11 things are coming along too.
- 12 Okay. We've got jockey insurance
- 13 program.
- 14 MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, John Reagan, CHRB
- 15 staff.
- 16 After a couple of regrettable and
- 17 horrendous accidents outside of California, in which
- 18 jockeys were permanently disabled, quite a bit of
- 19 conversation has taken place as to what kind of
- 20 coverage jockeys have. This specific item is --
- 21 staff was requested to determine the insurance
- 22 available to California jockeys.
- We have done that. First of all, as
- 24 we all know, California is a workers' comp state. So
- 25 a jockey injured on the job has that program

- 1 available to them.
- 2 The Thoroughbred tracks offer an
- 3 additional item, from the TRA, which, based on the
- 4 signing of a waiver or not, you are either a Class I
- 5 or Class II level. And the eligibility requirements
- 6 and the benefits attached thereof are included in
- 7 this package as Exhibit 13A.
- 8 There's a kind of a binder cover here
- 9 that outlines those. So the California jockeys not
- 10 only have the workers' comp but they have additional
- 11 insurance available to them for serious injury and
- 12 whatnot. And, as I say, those are included in this
- 13 package for your review.
- 14 Finally, California jockeys have
- 15 another unique program available only in one or two
- 16 other states, but it started out in California. And
- 17 that's the funding of a health and welfare program
- 18 for California jockeys from uncashed refunds. That
- 19 runs about a million dollars a year and is
- 20 administered by the Jockeys Guild.
- 21 For your review, we included the 2003
- 22 financial statements -- well, not "financial
- 23 statements" -- but the review of the schedule of
- 24 costs for that program. So obviously we'll be
- 25 getting the 2004's in the next few months. And we

- 1 will certainly have that for you.
- 2 But for your review, you can look at
- 3 this report. And it talks about the various health,
- 4 dental, and vision; a self-insurance program; life-
- 5 insurance cost; disabled; injured; and some other as
- 6 well as some administrative costs.
- 7 So I think, to be brief here, I think
- 8 the California jockeys seem to have a pretty good
- 9 program. I'm sure we can always improve it. But I
- 10 think, compared to the rest of the nation, they seem
- 11 to have a pretty good program. I'm sure others may
- 12 want to say something about that.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: John, I appreciate
- 14 that.
- 15 Is there anybody from the Jockeys
- 16 Guild here?
- 17 MR. REAGAN: They were earlier.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes.
- MR. REAGAN: He's still here.
- 20 MR. FISS: Albert Fiss, with the Jockeys
- 21 Guild.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: How are you?
- Obviously the reason that we want
- 24 to -- we're trying to understand this at or at least
- 25 I'm trying to understand this -- as well as with all

- 1 the notoriety has gone on as of late, it caused us --
- 2 me -- to have serious questions and wanted to make
- 3 inquiry as to the health of the Jockeys Guild and
- 4 understand that the funds that are there for the
- 5 benefit of the jockeys are there and try to get a
- 6 better understanding, from you, as to what the
- 7 situation is.
- Racing doesn't need more black eyes,
- 9 but this is certainly a huge black eye for us. And
- 10 it seems the Jockeys "Club" is at the center of it.
- 11 So perhaps you could --
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Jockeys Guild.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- shed some light on
- 14 what the situation is.
- MR. FISS: Well, there's a bit of history that
- 16 goes along with this. And it's going to take some
- 17 time, if you'll allow me to.
- 18 Back in August of 2002, the Jockeys
- 19 Guild had a family health insurance plan through a
- 20 company called "Ullaco" (phonetic) -- called Union
- 21 Labor Life. That plan -- or the Jockeys Guild that
- 22 was paying the premiums on that plan was subjected to
- 23 extremely high increases in the premiums. That
- 24 occurred over an extended period of time,
- 25 approximately six, seven, eight months.

- 1 The key reason for the increase in the
- 2 cost of the premiums, as stated by the underwriters
- 3 to the former management of the Guild, effectively,
- 4 was due to a single accident that occurred at
- 5 Prescott Downs in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 6 If I could digress for just one
- 7 minute, that family health insurance plan -- the
- 8 "Ullaco" plan, had two functions. The functions --
- 9 the primary function was that of providing family
- 10 insurance -- family medical and health insurance for
- 11 jockeys and their families. The Guild at the time
- 12 had about 610 members.
- 13 And the secondary part of the policy
- 14 was to provide medical-claim payments for jockeys
- 15 that were injured, in nonworkers' comp states, due to
- 16 on-track injuries. So, effectively, it was a -- it
- 17 was utilized as a catastrophic policy for -- for
- 18 injuries occurring in the 33 nonworkers' comp states.
- 19 Arizona is a nonworkers' comp state;
- 20 so when the jockey at Prescott Downs -- at Prescott,
- 21 Arizona, was injured, in August of 2000, her medical
- 22 bills, once they exceeded the hundred-thousand-
- 23 dollar cap that the -- that Prescott Downs had on
- 24 jockey -- on-track injuries for jockeys, the Jockeys
- 25 Guild's medical plan kicked in.

- 1 Over the course of approximately six
- 2 months, those medical bills totalled in excess of
- 3 \$650,000. So, consequently, all those medical bills
- 4 were paid by the Jockeys Guild's family health
- 5 insurance plan -- the "Ullaco" plan.
- 6 As those medical bills came in, the
- 7 former manager of the Jockeys Guild would go back to
- 8 the Board of Directors of the Jockeys Guild and ask
- 9 for an in -- an approval to pay this -- an increased
- 10 premium. First, it was 10 percent. Then, it was 15
- 11 percent. Then, it was 25 percent.
- 12 Ultimately, in February of 2001, it
- 13 became -- the underwriters for the "Ullaco" plan said
- 14 they were going to have to increase it to 43 percent.
- 15 That meant that the total cost of the plan would go
- 16 from \$2.8 million to over \$4 million.
- 17 At that point in time, it was
- 18 recommended, by the executive committee, that they do
- 19 not renew that insurance policy and, rather, cancel
- 20 the insurance policy.
- 21 In order to -- for political reasons,
- 22 in order to protect their jobs, the Jockeys Guild
- 23 management decided that they needed to place a
- 24 one-year -- purchase a one-year policy of
- 25 catastrophic injuries for jockeys that were injured

- 1 in the nonworkers' comp states, where the bills rose
- 2 above a hundred-thousand dollars.
- 3 That one -- that one-year policy
- 4 covered approximately 400 jockeys, in a -- in an
- 5 industry that has over 1,300 active, licensed jockeys
- 6 that -- that make race-riding their primary
- 7 profession. It was obviously a Band-Aid that was
- 8 insufficient to cover all the jockeys in this
- 9 country.
- 10 The policy itself cost somewhere in
- 11 the neighborhood of \$450,000. So if you extrapolate
- 12 the necessity to cover the other 900, the 1,000
- 13 jockeys that aren't covered -- that weren't covered
- 14 under that plan, you're looking at a total cost on a
- 15 catastrophic policy of well over a million dollars.
- The Guild has limited resources. So
- 17 when we -- when you --
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Excuse me. Do all of
- 19 the 1,300 jockeys pay into the Guild? Do they, all
- 20 1,300 of them, pay in -- premiums?
- 21 MR. FISS: When we -- when we -- well, I'll --
- let me finish with the little bit of history that's
- 23 left in this explanation.
- 24 A few months later, the executive
- 25 committee of the Board of Directors of the Jockeys

- 1 Guild decided that they wanted to change managers and
- 2 use somebody from outside the industry to come in,
- 3 evaluate their organization, evaluate the industry,
- 4 and see what they could do to improve the quality of
- 5 life for jockeys around the country.
- 6 The suggestions that we -- one of the
- 7 suggestions that we made was that, in order to be an
- 8 organization that had some kind of clout in this
- 9 industry, you needed to -- they needed to increase
- 10 their membership from 510 members to closer to 1,200
- 11 to 1,300 members.
- The difference is that, back then,
- 13 that the 610 members rode approximately 53 percent of
- 14 all the mounts ridden in this country. Today, the
- 15 Guild has an active member membership base of 1,260
- 16 members and ride 95 percent of all the mounts ridden
- 17 in this country. And with that, obviously, comes an
- 18 increase in the cost of the benefits.
- 19 The Guild charges, currently, \$3 per
- 20 mount to its members. And so, consequently -- so,
- 21 consequently, you can see that the numbers don't add
- 22 up with regards to purchasing both on-track accident
- 23 insurance coverage and family medical insurance.
- 24 And so we had to make a decision,
- 25 based on our recommendation to the Board of

- 1 Directors, as to which insurance product they wanted
- 2 to purchase: a catastrophic policy that basically,
- 3 from our position, covers the racetracks in the
- 4 nonworkers' comp states for obligations that are
- 5 wholly their -- their obligations? Or do we protect
- 6 jockeys, their spouses, and their -- and their
- 7 children?
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. So 1,300 jockeys
- 9 have paid into a plan, but 1,300 jockeys aren't going
- 10 to get the benefits if there is more than one or two
- 11 injuries? Would be that correct?
- MR. FISS: I don't understand the question.
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: The health plan -- they paid
- 14 into it --
- MR. FISS: No. Of the 1,300 active members in
- 16 the Guild, approximately 600 of them optioned for
- 17 a -- for the family health insurance plan. They paid
- 18 an additional amount of money to that family health
- 19 insurance plan.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: All right. But I'm
- 21 trying to focus on the catastrophic issues.
- 22 MR. FISS: Okay.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I mean, clearly,
- there's a major problem here.
- MR. FISS: Not in California, though.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: You have to separate
- 2 California --
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I know we're a
- 4 workman's comp state and --
- 5 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, in addition to that, as I
- 6 understand, in California, not only do the jockeys
- 7 have a million dollars of catastrophic insurance if
- 8 they sign a waiver -- if they don't, it's 100,000, I
- 9 guess; I'm not sure if that waiver issue is a big
- 10 issue or what; is that true?
- 11 MR. FISS: Yeah. Based on the old TRA
- 12 negotiation document, that's correct.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So our jockeys,
- 14 assuming they've all signed the waiver, have a
- 15 million dollars' worth of coverage; is that correct?
- MR. FISS: In essence, it is. In essence, it
- 17 is. It's really -- it's not just a million dollars.
- 18 It has degrees of --
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: A million four, a
- 20 million one.
- 21 MR. FISS: Sure. Sure. Sure.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I saw the chart.
- MR. FISS: Uh-huh.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. And how do they
- 25 know that their premiums are being properly managed?

- 1 MR. FISS: Well, we don't purchase that
- 2 catastrophic policy. The racetracks do.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: That's a TRA function or --
- 5 MR. FISS: That's a -- well, the TRA's the
- 6 negotiating arm of the racetracks -- of about 60
- 7 percent of the racetracks in this industry. And the
- 8 agreement is such that the TRA member tracks can --
- 9 can agree to abide by the agreement between the
- 10 Jockeys Guild and the TRA or it can -- or it can
- 11 disavow themselves from the agreement.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Are the jockeys in California,
- 13 at the tracks in California, under that?
- 14 MR. FISS: Yes. All tracks in California
- 15 effectively abide by the TRA agreement.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Is John Reagan still
- 17 here?
- No? Oh, okay.
- Well, then, Roy, maybe you can answer
- 20 it. And the CHRB contributes money to the Jockeys
- 21 Guild in terms of some fund; is that correct?
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: We don't contribute any money.
- MR. FISS: No. As a matter of fact, at this
- 24 time, I'd like to take the opportunity to thank the
- 25 state legislature in California and former Governor

- 1 Wilson, who were the ones that actually passed
- 2 legislation to what you're speaking about.
- 3 CHAIR HARRIS: The fund -- it's uncashed --
- 4 uncashed mutual tickets --
- 5 MR. FISS: Correct.
- 6 CHAIR HARRIS: -- or something. And it
- 7 accrues --
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And who's the guardian
- 9 of those funds?
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: We allocate it out to them,
- 11 based own their request, I guess.
- 12 MR. FISS: It's a combination of the CHRB and
- 13 the TOC that are the guardians of the fund. We are
- 14 the -- we are the -- currently contracted as the
- 15 administrators of the money.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And do you provide us
- 17 with financials --
- 18 MR. FISS: Yes.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- of the Jockeys
- 20 Guild?
- 21 And they're current on those?
- MR. FISS: Yes.
- 23 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Yes. So far,
- 24 they've provided us with audited financials for 2003.
- 25 And we have yet to receive request from them for 2004

- 1 and, in which case, I think the Jockeys Guild is
- 2 already on notice that we would be demanding a --
- 3 audited financials before we release that money,
- 4 again, for 2004.
- 5 MR. FISS: That's correct. One of the
- 6 problems is that, because they are uncashed tickets,
- 7 they have a, I believe, 180-day moratorium on them
- 8 for people to cash these tickets, in case they are
- 9 lost in their wallet or whatnot.
- 10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Obviously, the reason
- 11 I'm asking this questions --
- 12 MR. FISS: Sure.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- are the articles
- 14 that I've read recently which allude to -- and I'm
- 15 not saying it's true or it's not true --
- 16 misappropriation of funds and other irregularities
- 17 with the Jockeys Guild.
- 18 And I want to make sure that our
- 19 jockeys have all the coverage that they're paying
- 20 for. We have no jurisdiction outside of the state.
- 21 But it's certainly a horrible
- 22 situation that's occurred. I know "Gary Bursar"
- 23 (phonetic). And so I'm upset that a situation like
- 24 this exists.
- 25 And I just want to make sure that

- 1 we're getting everything -- well, I'd like to
- 2 understand and know how the Jockeys Guild is
- 3 currently organized and what steps are being taken to
- 4 rectify the situation. So that's the reason for the
- 5 question.
- 6 MR. FISS: I see.
- 7 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Other question -- has
- 8 there been a drop-off since we've gone to the advance
- 9 deposit wagering? I was always concerned that we'd
- 10 have a drop-off where there wouldn't be that many
- 11 uncashed tickets.
- 12 MR. FISS: You know, I asked -- I asked John
- 13 Reagan the same question.
- 14 And he said that he had to do a study
- 15 to determine that. I don't have the numbers to
- 16 determine that, one way or the other. What we get
- 17 under the -- under the statute in California is, I
- 18 believe, 20 percent of the total value of the
- 19 uncashed tickets. Whether or not that has gone up or
- 20 down is an unknown.
- In fact, I talk about that to the
- 22 California jockeys all the time. I let 'em know that
- 23 this is something that, five years from now, with
- 24 automatic reconciliation could, in fact, be a problem
- 25 for them. We do need to find a new -- an alternative

- 1 source for this money that they're currently getting.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, yeah. It would have to
- 3 be legislated, I think. But I think that the
- 4 California system is a pretty good system, where
- 5 the -- I mean most independent contractors are not
- 6 paid -- they don't get their health insurance paid.
- 7 MR. FISS: It's a model system. If you think
- 8 about it, California does the three things that are
- 9 needed to be done in every other state. Number 1,
- 10 they provide on-track accident insurance coverage
- 11 that that is at workers' comp levels. Number 2, they
- 12 provide money for subsidy of family health insurance
- 13 to jockeys. And, Number 3, the catastrophic issue is
- 14 covered as well.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah.
- MR. FISS: What's happening -- what you read a
- 17 lot of, in the press, with regards to the other
- 18 states or especially the nonworkers' comp states, is
- 19 that they do not distinguish between those three
- 20 different issues.
- 21 For example, that insurance policy
- 22 that was purchased by the former management of the
- 23 Guild, on one-year basis, was a million-dollar
- 24 catastrophic policy that kicked in after the hundred-
- 25 thousand dollars paid by the racetrack for medical

- 1 bills.
- 2 Gary Bursar, as an example -- his
- 3 total bills were seven-hundred-and-fifty or \$800,000.
- 4 That means that that catastrophic policy would have
- 5 paid those medical bills to the doctors and the
- 6 hospitals.
- 7 And I'm not here to suggest that they
- 8 shouldn't get paid. But it does -- it does nothing
- 9 to go to the fact that he's going to need a hundred-
- 10 and-twenty to \$150,000 a year for caregiving for the
- 11 rest of his life.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: On these -- this catastrophic
- 13 program we have in California -- on the waivers --
- 14 are those -- as I understand it, that's a waiver the
- jockey signs saying he will not sue the track?
- MR. FISS: Except for cases of negligence,
- 17 yes.
- 18 CHAIR HARRIS: Okay. How about -- is the
- 19 owner covered by any of those waivers -- the owner of
- 20 the horse or the trainer of the horse he's on?
- 21 MR. FISS: I can't -- I don't know.
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. Probably not.
- 23 Ed or Drew, do you know that?
- MR. COUTO: I'm sorry. I was --
- 25 CHAIR HARRIS: We're just talking about these

- 1 waivers that the tracks have, saying -- for
- 2 catastrophic -- basically they provide a million
- 3 dollars in coverage if the guy signs a waiver.
- 4 But does that waiver also address
- 5 lawsuits against owners and trainers?
- 6 MR. COUTO: I don't believe it does.
- 7 I was just asking Mr. Baedeker about
- 8 the catastrophic coverage here. I'm not sure what it
- 9 covers because the workers' comp statute basically
- 10 covers all the medical and associated benefits with a
- 11 industrial-related accident or an accident on the
- 12 track.
- So it must provide some coverage to
- 14 the tracks, as between the tracks and the riders.
- 15 But I'll let Mr. Liebau probably answer that
- 16 question.
- 17 MR. LIEBAU: No. If the waiver is signed by
- 18 the jockey, he has no -- he has supposedly --
- 19 supposedly -- given up his claim against the track.
- 20 I don't exactly know how it's paid out.
- 21 But I do know that there was accident
- 22 at Bay Meadows with "Marco Castenada" (phonetic).
- 23 And we all hunted around -- everybody -- to try and
- 24 find the waiver so that they would get the coverage
- 25 under the million dollars besides workers' comp.

- I did take a look, recently, because
- 2 this issue came up. And I think that, at Santa
- 3 Anita, of the top 20 or so jockeys, about 12 of 'em
- 4 had signed the waiver. And at Bay Meadows at that
- 5 time, of the 16 top, 12 had signed.
- And I don't quite know what the, you
- 7 know, the opinion is of the Jockeys Guild is with
- 8 respect to these waivers. But I think that the
- 9 tracks -- that we have probably been not as
- 10 aggressive as we should have been in giving the
- 11 jockeys the opportunity to sign these waivers if they
- 12 were so inclined.
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I would suspect that
- 14 some of 'em didn't sign this because they didn't ever
- 15 get around to it or know about it or whatever. But
- 16 it seems like something we need to get done unless
- 17 there's something, you know, they've got some theory,
- 18 legally, they don't want to sign 'em but --
- 19 MR. FISS: Well, right now, it's currently --
- 20 you can effectively say it's currently under
- 21 negotiation. Right now, we are negotiating with the
- 22 TRA to renew that contract. We've added numerous
- 23 items to the -- to the suggestion box, if you will,
- 24 of what we would like to be -- have included in
- 25 that -- in that document.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, this isn't really the
- 2 Jockeys Guild's problem exactly but -- well, sort of
- 3 if you're in the Jockeys Guild. But I've always been
- 4 concerned that the workers' comp coverage that
- 5 owners basically have is through the trainer and that
- 6 there could be, if it's that clear, that owners are
- 7 covered or at least as a workers' comp employer could
- 8 not be sued or not --
- 9 MR. COUTO: Well, Mr. Harris, on that issue,
- 10 we've begun discussions with AIG recently to have
- 11 them to name all owners, within a barn, as additional
- 12 insureds. And it appears that they will do that in
- 13 the next policy period. So that will cover all
- 14 owners.
- The question I have is that, under
- 16 Business Code -- Business and Professions Code
- 17 19612.9, as Mr. Fiss notes, TOC is the party
- 18 responsible for negotiating the agreement, with the
- 19 Jockeys Guild, regarding health and welfare benefits
- 20 paid under unclaimed refunds.
- 21 We appreciate the information,
- 22 financial information, that the Guild's provided as
- 23 far as how the money's been used; but I'm not aware
- 24 at this point -- perhaps it's something we missed --
- 25 I'm not aware of any documents indicating what

- 1 coverage has been purchased and what benefits have
- 2 been paid and the cost of those.
- It's unclear to us, really, if this is
- 4 a self-insured program, if it's insured by a third
- 5 party or what. And we'd like to ask that the Board,
- 6 pursuant to 19612.9(b) obtain -- it says, "The
- 7 organization shall make available to the Board all
- 8 records and documents necessary for the performance
- 9 of these duties."
- To the extent they haven't yet been
- 11 provided, I'd ask the Board to request clarification
- 12 as to what policies have been purchased with the
- 13 moneys paid under this provision. Has it been
- 14 limited to California riders or former California
- 15 riders, as required by this section?
- 16 And just some clarification because of
- 17 the, as Mr. Shapiro said, the stories and notoriety
- 18 lately, I think we all have to be prudent -- in
- 19 particular, TOC -- since we are the party negotiating
- 20 this contract, we need to know that there is coverage
- 21 in place and who it's with and how it's being
- 22 administered. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: You could do that, really,
- 24 absent the Board's involvement, probably.
- MR. FISS: Absolutely. I think it's already

- 1 been provided. But I'll check with John Reagan and
- 2 make sure that it is, if it wasn't.
- 3 One thing that the Commission may want
- 4 to be aware of is that the policy that -- the family
- 5 health insurance policy that is provided to the
- 6 jockeys here in California is -- was the same -- is
- 7 the same policy that's provided to the jockeys -- all
- 8 jockeys in all states that have pari-mutuel racing.
- 9 Recently, we changed network
- 10 providers, based on the request of the Southern
- 11 California Thoroughbred Jockeys to increase the
- 12 number of hospitals and doctors in the network. We
- 13 were successful and able -- successfully able to do
- 14 that, at very minimal costs, starting in October of
- 15 this year.
- 16 The -- the other -- there was a
- 17 question that Drew had asked.
- 18 And I wanted to answer it immediately
- 19 if I could. But I blanked out a little bit here on
- 20 what the question was.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Is there anything that
- 22 the Guild can do, though? I mean a million dollars
- 23 today, unfortunately, isn't a lot of money for a guy
- 24 that -- these guys are putting their life on the line
- and they take a spill. You know, their doctors'

- 1 bills can be through the roof.
- 2 And if they're denied their -- a way
- 3 to earn a living from this point forward, what do
- 4 they do? I mean, you know, they can't earn a living
- 5 doing the livelihood that they once did.
- 6 MR. FISS: That is correct.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Is there any notion or
- 8 any thought being given that the Guild has to
- 9 build -- to buy an umbrella policy or anything above
- 10 the million dollars for the benefit of the jockeys?
- 11 MR. FISS: No. If you look at the -- let's
- 12 look at workers' comp rates. Here in California, I
- 13 believe it's \$66 per --
- 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: They're outrageous.
- 15 MR. FISS: -- per mount. In New York, it's
- 16 somewhere around 30, \$35 per mount; in Maryland,
- 17 probably somewhere around the same; New Jersey, as
- 18 well. The jockeys pay, into the Guild, \$3 per mount.
- 19 It's pretty easy to do the math.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, I understand
- 21 that --
- 22 CHAIR HARRIS: I think really what's needed is
- 23 just the individual jockeys need to be counseled on
- 24 different options they have for disability insurance
- 25 or life insurance or whatever they want but --

- 1 MR. FISS: And our position is that it's
- 2 the -- it's the duty of the Guild to negotiate those
- 3 types of benefits on the behalf of jockeys.
- 4 CHAIR HARRIS: The question is are those
- 5 negotiated benefits that are paid by somebody else?
- 6 Or I could see some of them being paid by somebody
- 7 else. But I don't know if the industry can really
- 8 provide, you know, unlimited benefits.
- 9 And it seems like the individuals need
- 10 to also look at their own situation and decide that
- 11 they want to buy some supplemental insurance.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I mean do you meet with
- 13 them and counsel them? I mean these may not be guys
- 14 that are focussed on insurance. They're one of the
- 15 more horrible things to have to focus on. Okay?
- 16 None of us really likes it.
- 17 But do you sit down with them? I mean
- 18 I can't imagine why any jockey would not sign that
- 19 waiver. And I would think, as the Guild, you're in
- 20 there telling each one, "Hey, you know, Bob. You'd
- 21 better -- you need to sign this in case you get
- 22 hurt."
- I don't know why there wouldn't be a
- 24 hundred percent of the jockeys signing it. Maybe
- 25 there's some other reason I don't understand. But

- 1 are you in there talking to the jockeys --
- 2 MR. FISS: Yes.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- and -- and providing
- 4 them with alternatives for umbrella coverage and
- 5 other things?
- 6 MR. FISS: Yes. But our primary -- the first
- 7 thing we tell them, though, is that -- to be quite
- 8 frank, is that it's our position that it is the
- 9 racetrack's responsibility to provide that coverage.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: I don't know if that's -- I
- 11 mean I agree that there should be, you know, funds
- 12 expended for that. But I think you're just sort of
- 13 sending them the wrong signal if you give them the
- 14 idea that you're taking care of everything, that they
- 15 don't have to take care of anything.
- 16 And the individual needs to be, you
- 17 know responsible, like all of us are, and look at
- 18 their own situation and hope that the Guild is
- 19 representing them well too. But in any kind of a
- 20 business or government or whatever -- it doesn't just
- 21 have unlimited insurance.
- 22 MR. COUTO: Mr. Shapiro, I'd like to clarify
- 23 something. Again, if a rider's injured in an
- 24 accident on the track, they are covered -- full
- 25 medical -- under the workers' compensation.

- 1 Mr. "Fitz" -- Mr. Fiss just indicated
- 2 that that's \$66 a jock mount here in California.
- 3 It's actually 115 -- \$116 a jock mount. We pay \$50
- 4 through a subsidy to bring it down to 66.
- 5 Anyway, the coverage provided under
- 6 workers' comp would pay all medical bills, unlimited,
- 7 for that injury. And we were advised last week that,
- 8 beginning 2005, the weekly permanent disability
- 9 benefit will be in excess of \$800 in the State of
- 10 California -- \$800 per week for any permanently
- 11 disabled rider with a full disability.
- So above that \$800 per week, as
- 13 Mr. Harris indicated, as with all of us, we would
- 14 purchase an individual disability policy to cover
- 15 loss of income above whatever we're going to receive
- 16 through the workers' comp program.
- 17 But that's currently the insurance
- 18 that exists for work-related injuries in California.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I appreciate that. I'm
- 20 trying to make sure that it's getting filtered down
- 21 to the jockeys themselves so that the certain -- any
- 22 jockey doesn't find himself in the position where he
- 23 thought he had coverage -- he doesn't have enough
- 24 coverage -- he's got \$800,000 worth of bills, and he
- 25 has no livelihood.

- 1 MR. FISS: Right.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: I think the thing is that you
- 3 can -- that the bills are going to be taken -- the
- 4 medical bills are okay. The problem is that you've
- 5 got somebody that's making five or 600,000 a year and
- 6 then, you know, they're basically disabled and
- 7 they're getting their 800 a week. I mean it's, you
- 8 know --
- 9 MR. FISS: No. It's both. Even the medical
- 10 bills aren't being paid.
- 11 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, the medical bills are --
- 12 in California, are.
- 13 MR. FISS: In California --
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: In California, if a jockey had,
- 15 you know, if a jockey had, you know -- I mean "Sam
- 16 Lemonky" (phonetic) could have \$2 million worth of
- 17 medical bills. They're going to get paid. But the
- 18 problem is the life-style change he has to go through
- 19 because he is, you know, a very high-earning person
- 20 that suddenly is not a high-earning person. And
- 21 that's, I think, what the jockeys need to look at. I
- 22 think, as Richard mentioned, that it sends 'em the
- 23 wrong signal if they sort of feel everything is kind
- 24 of taken care by somebody else, where it's really
- 25 not.

- 1 MR. FISS: Right. But, remember. You have
- 2 to -- you really have to segregate the jockeys into
- 3 two classifications -- those that make enough money
- 4 to purchase insurance on their own and those that
- 5 can't. The majority of them --
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: You have to address --
- 7 MR. FISS: -- can't afford --
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- them into
- 9 classifications too. Yeah. It's great for the top
- 10 10 riders who can afford it. But the top -- the
- 11 below -- you know, the lower riders -- they need to
- 12 understand what they're putting on the line and what
- 13 they're getting into.
- 14 And my concern is that some of them
- 15 may be great riders but not great businessmen or may
- 16 not being advised and may not understand. And I just
- 17 feel an obligation that this Board has and this
- 18 industry has to make sure that we're disseminating or
- 19 you're disseminating information to them that allows
- 20 them to make informed decisions. That's all.
- 21 MR. FISS: Right.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And it doesn't sound,
- 23 from what I have read, that a lot of that was done.
- 24 I mean we have a jockey who, in the country, who
- 25 thought he had more coverage, is paralyzed, and he's

- 1 penniless.
- 2 MR. FISS: More than just -- more than just
- 3 one, quite frankly.
- 4 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And that's horrible.
- 5 MR. FISS: You're absolutely right.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And that's not a
- 7 tolerable situation for an industry that deals in
- 8 billions of dollars.
- 9 MR. FISS: That is correct.
- 10 CHAIR HARRIS: But it doesn't exist -- in
- 11 California, it is a different situation.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I agree it's different
- 13 here. But I just want to make sure -- and I don't
- 14 know if Chris is still there. Maybe he wants to
- 15 weigh in on making sure that, you know, from a
- 16 perspective of a jockey. I don't know.
- 17 (Brief interruption as reporter
- 18 changes paper.)
- 19 CHAIR HARRIS: But that is a problem, I think,
- 20 that, in California, even in California, that there
- 21 would have -- that would be an issue with his ongoing
- 22 income being if he was -- especially if there's some
- jockey that only made twenty-five or 30,000 a year
- 24 wouldn't be as abrupt of a life-style change, at
- 25 least. But it certainly would for the higher.

- 1 And so it seems like -- I think
- 2 every -- the problem is, I think we're just concerned
- 3 that these jockeys understand what they have and what
- 4 they don't have. And, you know, some of the things
- 5 they don't have, maybe there's some way to get it
- 6 or -- individually or collectively.
- 7 Okay. Anything else on this?
- 8 MR. McCARRON: This is Chris McCarron,
- 9 speaking on behalf of myself.
- I just want to say, "Thank you very
- 11 much, Mr. Shapiro, for your concern." It's very
- 12 refreshing to -- not to take anything away from any
- 13 of the other Commissioners, but it's very refreshing
- 14 to hear someone from -- in your position to be
- 15 offering a great deal of support and concern and
- 16 asking the right questions of not just the Jockeys
- 17 Guild but of the industry itself.
- 18 And as a retired jockey, I appreciate
- 19 that a great deal. And --
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Chris, are there any
- 21 suggestions that you have that we, as a Board, should
- 22 be paying attention to or that we should be doing to
- 23 make sure that the jockeys are informed? I don't
- 24 know how it works with the jockeys and how they're
- 25 advised.

- 1 Are there procedures that should be in
- 2 place?
- 3 MR. McCARRON: Well, I think my major concern,
- 4 as being a retired California jockey, would be
- 5 that -- and for all those other California jockeys,
- 6 any retired California jockeys, is that the Board and
- 7 its staff do the due diligence to make sure that the
- 8 moneys that are received from the uncashed tickets,
- 9 from scratches, is actually going to California
- 10 jockeys.
- I think that's a very important issue,
- 12 and I'm pleased that the TOC raised that issue. I
- 13 think it's crucial to the success of that program,
- 14 the long-range success of that program, because of
- 15 the fact that ADW will eventually have a great impact
- 16 on that.
- 17 And I agree with Albert that
- 18 alternative funding needs to be looked at, you know,
- 19 along in the future. But I also feel strongly that
- 20 those funds should be used for California jockeys.
- 21 CHAIR HARRIS: But that -- is that the case
- 22 where California has a distinct fund that money's
- 23 going in and out of? Or is that basically part of a
- 24 national fund?
- MR. FISS: No. It's distinct.

- 1 CHAIR HARRIS: It's a separate fund -- state?
- 2 'Cause the problem with a national fund would be, if
- 3 you get a nonworker comp state, as you pointed out,
- 4 that an injury would be using up a lot of the health
- 5 stuff. With California, it's a good situation, where
- 6 you've got both the workers' comp and the health
- 7 insurance.
- 8 MR. FISS: Correct.
- 9 MR. COUTO: And, just one more thing -- I
- 10 would be remiss if I didn't also thank TOC. It was
- 11 TOC, in fact, that initiated this program and brought
- 12 it -- I believe that's the case anyway -- that Mr. Ed
- 13 Friendly and his friends brought this idea to the
- 14 Board. And it was through the Board's impetus that
- 15 this program was put into place. I'm very
- 16 appreciative.
- MR. MARTEN: Mike Marten, Horse Racing Board.
- I did have a conversation with John
- 19 Reagan on this because I've had inquiries from the
- 20 media.
- 21 And he explained -- this should answer
- 22 Drew's questions -- that a full audit, he went over,
- 23 verified that everything was aboveboard and that the
- 24 funds were segregated. He used that word --
- 25 "segregated."

- 1 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: So is there some ongoing
- 3 effort? It seems like one thing that's pretty easy
- 4 to fix is these jockeys that haven't signed up for
- 5 the million-dollar coverage, unless they, you know,
- 6 are -- consciously don't want to do it.
- 7 But I think with the -- the Jocks
- 8 Guild ought to get them signed up if they don't have
- 9 some objection to it.
- 10 Okay. Anything else on this?
- 11 (No audible response.)
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Let's move on to --
- 13 MR. FISS: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR HARRIS: Thank you, Albert.
- 15 -- to 14 is an item that Richard
- 16 Shapiro brought up. It's discussion and action by
- $17\,$ $\,$ the Board on the Board forming an ad hoc committee
- 18 to study, examine, and recommend measures to improve
- 19 California Horse Racing's popularity and performance.
- I'll let him elaborate.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Anybody who's sat
- 22 through this extremely long meeting -- I apologize
- 23 for that -- probably has an idea of where I'm coming
- 24 from. Racing -- 20, 30 years ago -- was flying at
- 25 32,000 feet. And things were great. We're at 5,000

- 1 feet today. And the plane isn't headed upward. It's
- 2 headed down.
- 3 And I am frustrated and concerned that
- 4 we, as an industry, need to step back. And we have
- 5 to take very harsh and dramatic steps to revive
- 6 getting people to the racetrack. I'm not a fan of
- 7 the advance deposit wagering only because I think it
- 8 encourages people to not come out and see the show.
- 9 Consequently, there's not a lot of
- 10 people at the -- here at the track. And so the
- 11 tracks don't improve the facilities. And we sit here
- in antiquated facilities that are uncomfortable.
- 13 Whether you're a patron or whatever, just to watch
- 14 the races, you're sitting by yourself.
- 15 And so what I would like to do is I
- 16 think that we know we're not, in the near future,
- 17 going to get any relief from slots. Maybe there's
- 18 some way to do it. But I don't see it in the near
- 19 future, since it takes a constitutional amendment.
- 20 And I think that we need to step back
- 21 and create some committee that will get off its ass
- 22 and figure out what we're going to do, as a
- 23 stopdash -- stopgap measure, to try and improve
- 24 things.
- I think we're making great strides in

- 1 the security and the medication. And I hope those
- 2 continue. But we have to figure out how to bring
- 3 people back here. Otherwise, we're going to become a
- 4 studio sport. Sacramento gets 250 people a night at
- 5 their races. They are a studio sport. There's no
- 6 on-track attendance.
- 7 Yesterday there was 3,300 people here.
- 8 This place -- I'm not picking on Hollywood Park --
- 9 but there are -- there are lots of steps that aren't
- 10 being taken. And I think we have to figure out how
- 11 we're going to market ourselves to get new people to
- 12 come here.
- 13 And so I would like to see if we can't
- 14 have some form of ad hoc committee that's -- and I
- 15 know that -- I'm not a big fan of committees either.
- 16 They usually sit around and talk and nothing happens.
- 17 If that's what's going to happen, I'm not interested
- 18 in a committee either.
- But I'm hopeful that we can go to this
- 20 governor with a plan, hoping to get some relief;
- 21 that, perhaps, we can demonstrate to the governor --
- 22 who, I think, is not anti-racing at all -- that we
- 23 deserve a break.
- I don't think we're going to be able
- 25 to walk in and say, "Well, give us more money,"

- 1 because the State's getting less and less. But there
- 2 are things that we need to do to help ourselves to
- 3 demonstrate that we get some support.
- 4 So it would be my hope that there
- 5 would be people from various segments of the
- 6 industry -- and it's not limited to any segment --
- 7 including the racetracks themselves, that perhaps
- 8 could band together and come up with a better way to
- 9 market it, be it, you know, tutorials that are given
- 10 on campus at colleges and CD-ROMS and things that are
- 11 more of the 21st Century 'cause, I mean, the way
- 12 we're doing it isn't working.
- So I would just like to try and see if
- 14 we can set up a committee to do that.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: Would you like to -- I mean
- 16 what do you propose? Do you want to pick people or
- 17 people get to you --
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, I'm obviously
- 19 very accessible. And if there are people that are
- 20 interested in it -- I will be contacting various
- 21 racetrack managements to ask them to participate in
- 22 it.
- 23 And I would hope that we'd get
- 24 representatives that are owners and trainers and
- 25 jockeys and anybody else that can help us promote the

- 1 sport back to what we can make it to be.
- 2 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. I think we all
- 3 benefit -- or are damaged by the, you know, the lack
- 4 of growth. And it's pretty alarming that we're not
- 5 showing any growth. And obviously expenses are going
- 6 up and but we've got a sport that's been around
- 7 forever. And there's some way to bring it back. I
- 8 know we've talked about it forever.
- 9 But I think we need to really try to
- 10 reinvent ourselves.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: How was the Ad Hoc
- 12 Security meeting -- committee formed?
- 13 CHAIR HARRIS: I don't know. Sort of
- 14 haphazard.
- 15 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: The Chairman
- 16 appointed two Board Members as part of the committee.
- 17 And from there, they selected and received volunteers
- 18 from various segments of the industry to work on the
- 19 security and licensing -- the security -- the Ad Hoc
- 20 Security committee. So we could probably do the same
- 21 thing here.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: I think it would be important
- 24 to get -- I mean basically get owners, trainers,
- 25 jockeys, labor --

- 1 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I think Jerry Moss will
- 2 join me in that. And so perhaps we can try to get
- 3 some people that will get on that committee.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: John, can we get a
- 5 report on the marketing dollars that we're spending
- 6 now because I don't see the return that I feel that
- 7 we should be seeing.
- 8 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. We have a -- well, a
- 9 couple ways we're doing that. But, you know, we
- 10 should cover that at a meeting. Basically there's a
- 11 California marketing fund, and then there's also the
- 12 NTRA efforts. And then --
- 13 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: I think, in the future,
- 14 if we don't have something with a family theme to it,
- 15 then I think we'll continually go downhill. So I
- 16 don't think we've gotten our money's worth out of the
- 17 plan that's in effect right now.
- 18 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: Mr. Chairman, what
- 19 I would suggest, then, is, if Mr. Shapiro and
- 20 Mr. Moss are members of the ad hoc committee, then
- 21 anybody from the industry who wishes to
- 22 participate -- why don't you send a letter or e-mail
- 23 or telephone call to my office?
- I'll connect as a central
- 25 clearinghouse. And then I'll make sure that

- 1 Commissioner Moss and Shapiro get those names. Then
- 2 from there, they could form the ad hoc committee. So
- 3 I would suggest -- say, within the next 10 days -- if
- 4 you could get your names to me, then we'll form the
- 5 committee.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And, Roy, I don't know
- 7 if there are people here from Magna or Churchill, but
- 8 I would certainly want to invite them and Los Al and
- 9 Bay Meadows and whatever other tracks there are to
- 10 participate in that.
- 11 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR MINAMI: I think what we
- 12 could do is we could put out a press release after
- 13 the Board meeting.
- So, Mike, if you'll include that in
- 15 the press release.
- MR. BLONIEN: Mr. Chairman and Members, Rod
- 17 Blonien.
- 18 Mr. Shapiro, I'd also suggest that you
- 19 try to include members of the public. We have a
- 20 couple of fan groups, and it might be good to invite
- 21 them, too, from the user standpoint and see what
- 22 their thoughts are.
- 23 CHAIR HARRIS: And I think it's, you know --
- 24 as Richard mentioned, sometimes we can meet to death
- on these things. But I think that this, if we could

- 1 get it off to a good start and get the right people,
- 2 we could come up with some exciting ideas.
- 3 Okay. The last is the election of
- 4 chairman and vice-chairman.
- 5 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'd like to move that
- 6 we elect John Harris as our Chairman and William
- 7 Bianco as our Vice-Chairman.
- 8 COMMISIONER MOSS: Second the motion.
- 9 CHAIR HARRIS: It's been moved and seconded.
- 10 All in favor?
- 11 COMMISSIONERS' VOICES: Aye.
- 12 CHAIR HARRIS: Well, I appreciate the
- 13 confidence. And I hope to do well, going forward.
- 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: You get all the work.
- 15 CHAIR HARRIS: Yeah. Jeez. This is
- 16 actually -- this is not necessarily a long-term job.
- You guys got to move pretty quick.
- 18 The meeting -- yeah. I think the
- 19 meeting is adjourned. We're going to skip the --
- 20 those racing reports till later.
- 21 (Proceedings concluded at 2:38 P.M.)
- --000--

23

24

25